



---

REF: 2010-35-INF-627 V1  
Distribution: Public  
Date: 18.04.2011

Created: CERT3  
Reviewed: TECNICO  
Approved: JEFEAREA

---

**CERTIFICATION REPORT FOR EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION  
PROFILE (ASS-PP), Issue B**

---

Dossier: 2010-35 EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PP Issue B

---

References:

- [EXT1108] Certification Request of EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PP Issue B
  - [EXT-1201] Evaluation Technical Report of EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PP Issue B, 01.03.2011, Ed. 1.0, CESTI-INTA
- 

Certification report of EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION PROFILE ISSUE B, as requested by EADS-CASA in [EXT-1108] dated 13-12-2010, and evaluated by the laboratory CESTI-INTA, as detailed in the Evaluation Technical Report [EXT-1201] received on March 8<sup>th</sup> 2011, and in compliance with CCRA and SOGIS for components up to EAL4.



## Table Of Contents

<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>3</b>
PP SUMMARY .....	3
SECURITY ASSURANCE COMPONENTS .....	3
SECURITY FUNCTIONAL COMPONENTS .....	4
<b>IDENTIFICATION</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>SECURITY POLICIES</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>ASSUMPTIONS AND OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT</b> .....	<b>5</b>
THREATS .....	6
OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT OBJECTIVES .....	7
<b>TOE ARCHITECTURE</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>DOCUMENTS</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>TOE TESTING</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>TOE CONFIGURATION</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>EVALUATION RESULTS</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>COMMENTS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE EVALUATION TEAM</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>CERTIFIER RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>GLOSSARY</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>SECURITY TARGET</b> .....	<b>11</b>



## SUMMARY

This document constitutes the Certification Report for the protection profile “EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS”, Issue B, developed by EADS-CASA.

Developer/manufacturer: EADS-CASA

Sponsor: EADS-CASA

Certification Body: Centro Criptológico Nacional (CCN) del Centro Nacional de Inteligencia (CNI).

ITSEF: CESTI-INTA

Protection Profile: -

Evaluation Level: CC v3.1 r3 EAL3.

Evaluation end date: 01/03/2011.

All the assurance components required by the level EAL3 have been assigned a “PASS” verdict. Consequently, the laboratory (CESTI-INTA) assigns the “PASS” VERDICT to the whole evaluation due all the evaluator actions are satisfied for the EAL3 methodology, as define by of the Common Criteria [CC-P3] and the Common Methodology [CEM].

Considering the obtained evidences during the instruction of the certification request of the EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION PROFILE, Issue B, a positive resolution is proposed.

### ***PP Summary***

Air Segment Systems are these systems used by the aircraft crew or by the aircraft computers and sensors to store, record or manage information during mission.

This TOE reference to a military-purpose Air Segment Systems which allows to fly the aircraft, to manage the mission data and to use data links.

### ***Security Assurance Components***

The protection profile was evaluated with all the evidence required to fulfil EAL3, according to CC Part 3 [CC-P3].

Assurance Class: Protection Profile Evaluation (APE)

Assurance Components:

- APE\_CCL.1
- APE\_ECD.1
- APE\_INT.1
- APE\_OBJ.2
- APE\_REQ.2
- APE\_SPD.1



## **Security Functional Components**

The security functional components contained in this PP are based on the components in [CC-P2]. The functional components satisfied by the protection profile are:

- FAU\_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation
- FAU\_SAR.1 Audit review
- FAU\_SAR.2 Restricted audit review
- FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
- FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation
- FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control
- FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control
- FDP\_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
- FDP\_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
- FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes
- FDP\_RIP.1 Subset residual information protection
- FDP\_UCT.1 Basic data exchange confidentiality
- FDP\_UIT.1 Data exchange integrity
- FIA\_UAU.1 Timing of authentication
- FIA\_UAU.7 Protected authentication feedback
- FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification
- FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes
- FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
- FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of management functions
- FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles
- FPT\_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

## **IDENTIFICATION**

Protection Profile: EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION PROFILE, Issue B

Document no. : DT-T-MEP24-10002

Evaluation Level: CC v3.1 r3 EAL3

## **SECURITY POLICIES**

The usage of the Protection Profile implies to implement some organizational policies that assure the commitment of different demands of security. The details



about them are included in the Protection Profile. In synthesis, the necessity settles down to implement the following organizational policies.

#### **P.ACCOUNTABILITY**

The users of the TOE shall be held accountable for their actions within the TOE.

#### **P.AUTHORISED\_USERS**

Only those users who have been authorized access to information within the system may access the TOE.

#### **P.NEED\_TO\_KNOW**

The TOE must limit the access to, modification of, and deletion of the objects to those authorized users which have a “need to know” for that information. The access rights to specific data objects are determined by the owner of the object, the role of the subject attempting access, and the implicit and explicit access rights to the object granted to the role by the object owner.

### **ASSUMPTIONS AND OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT**

The following assumptions are constraints to the conditions used to assure the security properties and functionalities compiled by the protection profile.

In order to assure the secure use of a product compliant with this protection profile, the TOE, it is necessary to start from these assumptions for its operational environment. If this is not possible and any of them could not be assumed, it would not be possible to assure the secure operation of the product.

#### **A.CLEARANCE**

All persons requiring access to the Aircraft TOE which manage sensitive data shall have a clearance that dominates the protecting marking of that data, prior to any authorised access.

#### **A.AUDIT\_REVIEW**

The ISM shall inspect the security audit and accounting log(s) on a regular and sufficiently frequent basis to detect any patterns of user behaviour that may be a threat to security.

#### **A.CRYPTO\_MANAGE**

Information marked as ‘CRYPTO’ shall always be handled and stored in accordance with its Protective Marking and Caveat.



## **A.INSTALL**

All software or hardware installed in the Aircraft TOE equipment shall be subjected to rigorous configuration management procedures, stringent quality control and comprehensive testing.

## **A.PHYSICAL\_ACCESS**

In controlled areas and/or scenarios all personnel accessing the Aircraft where the TOE is located shall be reliably identified before access is granted.

## **A.TAMPER\_SEALS**

Tamper seals shall be fitted to Aircraft TOE equipment dependent on the design and as deemed necessary by the Security Accreditation Authority.

## **A.SYOPS**

All users will be trained in accordance with their duties and will read, understand, and obey all the relevant Security Operating Procedures.

## **A.USER\_CONFIDENCE**

Privileged users shall be trusted not to abuse their privilege.

## ***Threats***

This section describes the security threats that are to be countered by the TOE, its operational environment, or a combination of the two.

## **T.CAPTURE**

Hostile forces capture the equipment while combat, transport or accessing premises and steal information.

## **T.DATA\_CORRUPTION**

An attacker from inside or outside the organisation gains access to the equipment of the information system and corrupts or delete the sensitive information in an unauthorised manner.

## **T.EAVESDROPPING**

Someone inside or outside the organisation connects a sniffer device to the network to store and analyse transmitted information.



### **T.INFORMATION\_THEFT**

Someone inside or outside the organisation accessing digital media with the intention of stealing and using the information on them.

### **T.REMANANCE**

An attacker recovers information from removed electronic media.

### **T.TELECOM\_FAILURE**

An attacker, through sabotage or disturbance of the telecom installation, gains access to the telecommunications equipment.

### **T.UNAUTHORIZED\_USE**

An attacker from inside or outside the organisation accesses the information system and uses one of its services to penetrate it, runs unauthorised operations or steal information.

### **T.UNTRUSTWORTHY\_DATA**

Outside sources send false data being used inside the organisation compromising the system.

### ***Operational environment objectives***

The TOE requires the cooperation from its operational environment to fulfil the requirements listed in the Protection Profile. This section identifies the IT security objectives that are to be satisfied by the imposing of technical or procedural requirements on the TOE operational environment. These security objectives are assumed by the Protection Profile to be permanently in place in the TOE environment. With this purpose, the security objectives declared for the TOE environment are the following.

### **O.E\_ADMIN**

Those responsible for the administration of the TOE are competent and trustworthy individuals, capable of managing the TOE and the security of the information it contains.

### **O.E\_AUDITDATA**

Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that the audit functionality is used and managed effectively. In particular:

a) Procedures must exist to ensure that the audit trail for the product (i.e., all networked components containing an audit trail) is regularly analysed and archived, to allow retrospective inspection.





- b) The auditing system must be configured such that the loss of audit data is minimised upon planned or unplanned shutdown or lack of available audit storage.
- c) The media on which audit data is stored must not be physically removable from the platform by unauthorised users.

### **O.E\_AWARE**

The personnel must be made accountable and informed of possible sanctions. The personnel must be made aware of the obligation of professional secrecy and discretion. The system user shall be informed about the accounting of their activities in the system.

### **O.E\_INSTALL**

Those responsible for the TOE must establish and implement procedures to ensure that the hardware, software and firmware components that comprise the system are installed and configured in a secure manner.

### **O.E\_LOCATE**

While not flying or being transported, the operational environment must ensure that the TOE shall be located within controlled access facilities of the MOB which will prevent unauthorised physical access. The physical controls at the MOB will alert the system authorities to the physical presence of attackers within the controlled space where the TOE is located.

### **O.E\_NO\_KEYS\_LEAK**

To avoid sensitive data compromise the crypto keys must be correctly managed.

### **O.E\_PROTECT**

The operational environment must ensure that the TOE hardware and software critical to security policy enforcement shall be protected from unauthorised physical modification including unauthorised modifications by potentially hostile outsiders.

### **O.E\_SECOP**

Those responsible for the TOE must establish and implement procedures to ensure that the users will be trained in accordance with their duties and will read, understand, and obey all relevant Security Operating Procedures (SecOPs).

## **TOE ARCHITECTURE**

The typical Air Mission Systems functionality is functionality related to:





- general purpose, which includes such hydraulic system; fuel system; etc. (excluded of the security problem)
- management, which includes flight control and management functions; navigation systems; etc.
- mission, which includes mission monitoring and control; payload management; data recorders; etc.
- communication, which includes dialog with traffic air control; interconnection with other allied aircrafts; etc.

Depending on the type of aircraft the TOE will need other software, in example, if the aircraft is a manned aircraft, the TOE could require operating systems as Microsoft Windows or Linux; or RDBMS as Microsoft SQL Server or Oracle. However if the aircraft is an unmanned aircraft it will require a RTOS.

## DOCUMENTS

The protection profile is just one document identified as: “EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION PROFILE, Issue B”.

## TOE TESTING

Not applicable.

## TOE CONFIGURATION

Air Segment Systems are systems located in the aircraft and are used on-flight and on-ground.

These systems shall implement security functions to protect the sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure based on cryptography, identification and authentication, access control or secure erase, as well as an integrity control of the information.

## EVALUATION RESULTS

The protection profile “EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION PROFILE, Issue B” has been evaluated using the Common Evaluation Methodology, v3.1 r3 [CEM], for conformance to the Common Criteria, v3.1, r3 [CC-P3].

All the assurance components required by the level EAL3 have been assigned a “PASS” verdict. Consequently, the laboratory (CESTI-INTA) assigns the “PASS” VERDICT to the whole evaluation due all the evaluator actions are satisfied for the EAL3 level.



## COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE EVALUATION TEAM

The following recommendations to the users of Protection Profile are highlighted as the result of the evaluation process.

The reader of this protection profile should be noted that the Protection profile "EADS Air Segment Systems Protection Profile. Issue B":

- claims conformance to "Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Version 3.1 Revision 3 July 2009".
- is CC Part2 Conformant
- is CC Part3 Conformant
- claims conformance to package EAL3
- does not claim conformance to another PP
- the conformance required for this Protection Profile is demonstrable

## CERTIFIER RECOMMENDATIONS

Considering the obtained evidences during the instruction of the certification request of the protection profile "EADS AIR SEGMENT SYSTEMS PROTECTION PROFILE, Issue B", a positive resolution is proposed.

This certification is recognised under the terms of the Recognition Agreements [CCRA] and [SOGIS] for components up to EAL4 according to the mutual recognition levels of them and the accreditation status of the Spanish Scheme.

## GLOSSARY

**CC** Common Criteria

**CCN** Centro Criptológico Nacional

**CCRA** Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement

**CEM** Common Evaluation Methodology

**CESTI** Centro de Evaluación de la Seguridad de las Tecnologías de la Información

**CNI** Centro Nacional de Inteligencia

**EAL** Evaluation Assurance Level

**INTA** Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial

**ISM** Information Security Manager

**IT** Information Technology

**ITSEF** Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

**MOB** Main Operating Base

**PP** Protection Profile



**RDBMS** Relational Database Management System

**RTOS** Real Time Operating System

**SecOps** Security Operating Procedures

**SOGIS** Senior Officers Group for Information Security

**TOE** Target of Evaluation (the product that will be compliant with this PP)

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following standards and documents have been used for the evaluation of the product:

[CC-P1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation- Part 1: Introduction and general model, Version 3.1, r3, July 2009.

[CC-P2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional components, Version 3.1, r3, July 2009.

[CC-P3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance components, Version 3.1, r3, July 2009.

[CEM] Common Evaluation Methodology for Information Technology Security: Introduction and general model, Version 3.1, r3, July 2009.

## SECURITY TARGET

Not applicable