

# **Korean National Protection Profile for Access Control in Operating System V3.0**

2023. 4. 27.



The certified Protection Profile is written in Korean. This document is a translation of the original from Korean into English.

## Foreword

This Protection Profile has been developed with the support of National Security Research Institute (NSR) under the agreement between National Intelligence Service (NIS) and Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT). The Protection Profile author converted Part 2, Common Security Requirements of 'Security Requirements for Government V3.0 for the Information Security Systems and Network Devices' and Part 3, Product Security Requirements of Product Security Requirements for Access Control in Operating System (Server) in conformity with the Common Criteria. The accurate interpretation of the requirements was made through the advice of the National Cyber Security Center of the National Intelligence Service. The Protection Profile includes application notes which give the additional interpretation and guidance for the evaluation and certification based on the Common Criteria, and the separated guidance supporting document (Korean only) for the Protection Profile is provided.

## Revision History

Version	Date	Content
3.0	2023. 4. 27.	o Korean National Protection Profile for Access Control in Operating System (Server) V3.0 First Issue

# Table of Contents

<b>1. PP introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. PP reference</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2. TOE overview</b>	<b>1</b>
1.2.1. Access Control in Operating System overview	1
1.2.2. TOE type and scope	1
1.2.3. TOE usage and major security features	2
1.2.4. Non-TOE and TOE operational environment	2
<b>1.3. Conventions</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.4. Terms and definitions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.5. PP organization</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2. Conformance claim</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.1. CC conformance claim</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.2. PP conformance claim</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.3. Package conformance claim</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.4. Conformance claim rationale</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.5. PP conformance statement</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>3. Security objectives</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>3.1. Security objectives for the operational environment</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4. Extended components definition</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>4.1. Cryptographic support</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1.1. Random Bit Generation	15
<b>4.2. Identification and authentication</b>	<b>16</b>
4.2.1. TOE Internal identification and authentication	16
<b>4.3. Security Management</b>	<b>17</b>
4.3.1. ID and password	17
<b>4.4. Protection of the TSF</b>	<b>18</b>
4.4.1. Linkable external entities	18
4.4.2. Protection of stored TSF data	19
4.4.3. TSF update	20

<b>5. Security requirements</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5.1. Security functional requirements (Mandatory SFRs)</b>	<b>24</b>
5.1.1. Security audit (FAU)	26
5.1.2. Cryptographic support (FCS)	31
5.1.3. User data protection (FDP)	35
5.1.4. Identification and authentication (FIA)	38
5.1.5. Security management (FMT)	43
5.1.6. Protection of the TSF (FPT)	54
5.1.7. TOE access (FTA)	61
<b>5.2. Security functional requirement (Conditional mandatory SFR)</b>	<b>63</b>
5.2.1. Security audit (FAU)	64
5.2.2. User data protection (FDP)	66
5.2.3. Identification and authentication (FIA)	67
5.2.4. Protection of the TSF (FPT)	69
5.2.5. TOE access (FTA)	72
5.2.6. Trusted path/channels (FTP)	74
<b>5.3. Security function requirements (optional SFRs)</b>	<b>76</b>
5.3.1. Cryptographic support (FCS)	76
5.3.2. Protection of the TSF (FPT)	77
<b>5.4. Security assurance requirements</b>	<b>78</b>
5.4.1. Security Target evaluation	78
5.4.2. Development	82
5.4.3. Guidance documents	83
5.4.4. Life-cycle support	84
5.4.5. Tests	85
5.4.6. Vulnerability assessment	86
<b>5.5. Security requirements rationale</b>	<b>87</b>
5.5.1. Dependency rationale of security functional requirements	87
5.5.2. Dependency rationale of security assurance requirements	89
<b>References</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Abbreviated terms</b>	<b>91</b>

# 1. PP introduction

## 1.1. PP reference

Title	Korean National Protection Profile for Access Control in Operating System
Version	3.0
Evaluation Assurance Level	EAL1+(ATE_FUN.1)
Developer	National Security Research Institute
Evaluation Criteria	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation
Common Criteria Version	CC V3.1 r5
Certification Number	KECS-PP-1233-2023
Keywords	Access Control in Operating System, Label-based Access Control, Role Based Access Control, Mandatory Access Control, Discretionary Access Control

## 1.2. TOE overview

### 1.2.1. Access Control in Operating System overview

Access Control in Operating System is used to protect major resources within an organization against unauthorized accesses by allowing or denying access to important resources (files, directories, processes, etc.) in an operating system to be protected in accordance with a defined rule.

Access Control in Operating System can be classified into label-based access control and role-based access control depending on the way it is implemented. Label-based access control provides an access control method that assigns security levels to a resource to be protected (object) and a user (subject) who intends to access the resource, thereby allowing only the user who holds the security level authorized by the policy to access the resource. Role-based access control provides an access control method that defines a role that can access a resource to be protected so that only a user who has been assigned the role is allowed to access the resource. A single Access Control in Operating System can provide both label-based access control and role-based access control, and is also allowed to provide only one of two methods.

### 1.2.2. TOE type and scope

The TOE defined in this Protection Profile is Access Control in Operating System that protects major

resources within an organization against unauthorized accesses by allowing or denying access to important resources in an operating system to be protected in accordance with a defined rule.

The TOE is generally divided into an agent that is directly installed on an operating system and performs the access control function, and the security management that establishes an access control policy and sends the established policy to the agent. The management console can be optionally included in the TOE components. In this case, the ST author shall identify it as a TOE component in the ST. In addition, the TOE can be implemented in various forms, and among them, the agent can be usually provided in the form of software. This Protection Profile defines common minimum security requirements that must be provided by Access Control in Operating System.

### **1.2.3. TOE usage and major security features**


The TOE allows or denies access to important resources in an operating system (e.g. files, directories, processes, etc.) to prevent unauthorized access by restricting the operations that can be performed on an object by a subject in accordance with the access control policy established by the authorized administrator.

The TOE provides the security audit function that records and manages major events as auditable data in operating security functions and management functions; the identification and authentication function including the verification of the TOE administrator's identity and authentication failure handling; and the TSF protection function including the protection of the data stored inside the storage controlled by the TSF, the protection of the TSF data transmitted between TOE components and TSF self tests. In addition, the TOE includes the cryptographic support function that performs cryptographic key management and cryptographic operation to support the encryption of the TSF data and encrypted communication such as IPSec, TLS, SSH and HTTPS among TOE components; the security management function to manage security functions, define the role of the administrator and configure the environment; the TOE access function to manage access sessions of the authorized administrator; and the secure path/channel function to provide secure communication between TOE components.

### **1.2.4. Non-TOE and TOE operational environment**

The TOE usually consists of an agent that is directly installed on each operating system to be protected and performs the access control function, and the management server that establishes an access control policy and sends the established policy to the agent that performs access control in the system under the protection. The agent and the management server locate on the same network, and its operational environment is shown in [Figure 1].

The target that the TOE intends to protect is an operating system used to provide services for various purposes in an operational environment of an organization. This PP defines, as user data, all the data and processes that comprise an operating system on which the agent, which is a TOE component, is installed/operated or that are stored inside an operating system.



[Figure 1] Operational environment of Access Control in Operating System (example)

The authorized administrator may access to the TOE through a web browser, serial communication, and management program, etc. and shall perform the security management through secure communication such as IPSec, TLS, SSH and HTTPS when accessing through a web browser or management program.

In the operational environment for the TOE, there may exist external IT entities such as NTP server for time synchronization, Log server to store and manage the audit data, Mail server for the authorized administrator notification in the case of audit data loss. The ST author of the TOE complying with this PP shall identify all external IT entities that interact with the TOE in the ST.

The others such as the NTP server except for the TOE are the TOE operational environment. In



addition, those parts (e.g. functions that have nothing to do with Access Control in Operating System security features) which are not related to the security functional requirements (hereinafter called the "SFR") can be excluded from the scope of the TOE or classified into the non-TSF of the TOE with consideration for the physical scope of the TOE, etc.

This PP has been developed considering various types of the TOE implementation. The ST author complying with this PP, shall describe any non-TOE hardware, software or firmware required by the TOE to operate

The ST author must have the conditional mandatory security functional requirement defined in this PP, if the following conditions are met.

- If the TOE provides additional identification and authentication mechanisms (e.g., certificate-based authentication method, OTP method, etc.) in addition to ID/PW-based identification and authentication, FIA\_UAU.5 shall be included.
- When providing additional identification and authentication functions, the TOE can provide those functions by receiving the authentication results of external IT entities that interact with the TOE (e.g., 2FA support device that complies with the FIDO standards), and accordingly FPT\_LEE.1(Extended) shall be included instead of FIA\_UAU.5. In this case, the authentication information used by external IT entities to perform additional identification and authentication methods is safely managed by external IT entities, so the security objectives for the operating environment shall be added accordingly.
- In case of users(authorized administrators) directly access the management server through web browsers or terminal access programs, FTP\_TRP.1 shall be included. Assuming that the web server is the TOE operating environment, and if a secure communication path is provided through communication between the user's web browser and web server, the ST author shall add the security objectives for the operational environment instead of including FTP\_TRP.1. And if the user's web browser access the TOE server via the web server, such as when the web server and the TOE server are physically separated to perform communication, FTP\_TRP.1 is included to provide a secure path between the TOE server and the user, and FTP\_ITC.1 shall be included to provide a secure channel between the web server and the TOE server. If communication between the TOE management console and the management server is directly implemented, FPT\_ITT.1 shall be applied when transmitting TSF data between the TOE components which are physically separated.(eg, If communication between the TOE management console and the management server is directly implemented, FTT\_ITT.1 shall be applied)
- When the TOE interacts with external IT entities(e.g., mail server, log server, etc.), FTP\_ITC.1 shall be included.

The ST author shall include FAU\_STG.1, a conditional mandatory security functional requirement, in the ST when the protected audit trail storage function is implemented in the TOE. If the function is not implemented in the TOE, the function must be provided in the operating environment (for example: using a DBMS, etc.), and accordingly, the security objectives for the operational environment must be added.

The ST author shall include FPT\_STM.1, an optional security functional requirement, in the ST if the TOE implements a function that provides reliable time stamps. If the function is not implemented in

the TOE, the function must be provided by the operating environment (for example: provided by the operating system, etc.), and accordingly, the security objectives for the operational environment must be added.

Optional security functional requirements can be optionally implemented in the TOE. However, when the TOE additionally provides related capabilities, the ST author must include the corresponding SFRs. The ST author shall pay attention not to omit the security functional requirements for the security features provided by the TOE by referring to the application notes when applying each optional security functional requirement with regard to the applicability of the optional security functional requirements.

### 1.3. Conventions

The notation, formatting and conventions used in this PP are consistent with the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation.

The CC allows several operations to be performed for functional requirements: iteration, assignment, selection and refinement. Each operation is used in this PP.

#### Iteration

Iteration is used when a component is repeated with varying operations. The result of iteration is marked with an iteration number in parenthesis following the component identifier, i.e., denoted as (iteration No.).

#### Assignment

This is used to assign specific values to unspecified parameters (e.g., password length). The result of assignment is indicated in square brackets like [ assignment\_value ].

#### Selection

This is used to select one or more options provided by the CC in stating a requirement. The result of selection is shown as *underlined and italicized*.

#### Refinement

This is used to add details and thus further restrict a requirement. The result of refinement is shown in **bold text**.

#### Security Target(ST) Author

This is used to represent the final decision of attributes being made by the ST author. The ST author's operation is denoted in braces, as in { decided by the ST author }. In addition, operations of SFR not completed in the Protection Profile must be completed by the ST author.

"Application notes" is provided to clarify the intent of requirements, provide the information for the optional items in implementation, define "Pass/Fail" criteria for a requirement. The application notes is provided with corresponding requiremen

## 1.4. Terms and definitions

Terms used in this PP, which are the same as in the CC, must follow those in the CC.

### Agent Type1

Antivirus products, Software-Based Security USB products, Host Data Loss Prevention products, etc.

- The endpoint on which the agent is located is typically a PC with Windows® operating system accessible to employees within the organization, and if the agent is compromised, data present on the user's host can be compromised and leaked, requiring strict security requirements in terms of confidentiality, integrity, and availability.

### Agent Type2

Network Access Control products, Patch Management Systems, etc.

- The endpoint on which the agent is located is typically a PC with Windows® operating system accessible to employees in the organization, and if the agent is compromised, it is unlikely that data present on the user's host will be corrupted or leaked, but it can cause problems in using the resources provided by the organization, requiring security requirements in terms of confidentiality, integrity.

### Agent Type3

Database Access Control products, Access Control in Operating System(Server) products, Enterprise security management products, etc.

- Since the endpoint where the agent is located is generally a physically secure environment that can only be accessed by authorized employees of the organization, it corresponds to a product type with a relatively low threat occurrence.

### Assets

Entities that the owner of the TOE presumably places value upon

### Assignment

The specification of an identified parameter in a component (of the CC) or requirement

### Attack potential

Measure of the effort to be expended in attacking a TOE expressed as an attacker's expertise, resources and motivation

### Augmentation

Addition of one or more requirement(s) to a package

### Authentication Data

Information used to verify a user's claimed identity

**Authorized Administrator**

Authorized user to securely operate and manage the TOE

**Authorized User**

TOE user who may, in accordance with the SFRs, perform an operation

**Automated recovery**

Recovery without the user's intervention

**Class**

set of CC families that share a common focus

**Client Type**

Virtual Private Network products, Wireless LAN Authentication Products, etc.

- The client is an entity installed on the user's host and serves to request communication with the server on behalf of the user.

**Component**

Smallest selectable set of elements on which requirements may be based

**Dependency**

Relationship between components such that if a requirement based on the depending component is included in a PP, ST or package, a requirement based on the component that is depended upon must normally also be included in the PP, ST or package

**Discretionary Access Control (DAC)**

A type of access control based on a user identity or a group identity

**Element**

Indivisible statement of a security need

**Endpoint**

The point where the TOE components such as agents, clients, etc. are installed and operated without any further sub-interacted entities

**Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL)**

Set of assurance requirements drawn from CC Part 3, representing a point on the CC predefined assurance scale, that form an assurance package

**External Entity**

Human or IT entity possibly interacting with the TOE from outside of the TOE boundary

**Family**

Set of components that share a similar goal but differ in emphasis or rigour

**Identity**

Representation uniquely identifying entities (e.g. user, process or disk) within the context of the TOE

**Iteration**

Use of the same component to express two or more distinct requirements

**Label-based Access Control**

Both mandatory access control and discretionary access control are supported in order to provide the function to assign a level to a resource to be protected (object) and a use (subject) who intends to access the resource, thereby allowing only the user who holds the authorized level by the policy to access the asset

**Local access**

The access to the TOE by using the console port to manage the TOE by administrator, directly

**Management access**

The access to the TOE by using the IPsec, TLS, SSH, HTTPS, etc. to manage the TOE by administrator, remotely

**Mandatory Access Control (MAC)**

An access control mechanism based on the clearance granted to the user

**Manual recovery**

Recovery through an update server, etc. by user execution or user intervention

**Object**

Passive entity in the TOE containing or receiving information and on which subjects perform operations

Passive entity in the TOE containing or receiving information and on which subjects perform operations

**Operation (on a component of the CC)**

Modification or repetition of a component. Allowed operations on components are assignment, iteration, refinement and selection

**Operation (on a subject)**

Specific type of action performed by a subject on an object

**Organizational Security Policies**

Set of security rules, procedures, or guidelines for an organization wherein the set is currently given by actual or virtual organizations, or is going to be given

**Permission**

A means to assign an operation on an object. It consists of a pair of an object and an operation and it is assumed that an object and an operation has been pre-defined at the time when RBAC is applied

**Protection Profile (PP)**

Implementation-independent statement of security needs for a TOE type

**Recommend/be recommended**

The 'recommend' or 'be recommended' presented in application notes is not mandatorily recommended, but required to be applied for secure operations of the TOE

**Refinement**

Addition of details to a component

**Role**

Predefined set of rules on permissible interactions between a user and the TOE

**Role Based Access Control (RBAC)**

A mechanism to control access when a user accesses an object to control access through user-role and access permission-role relationships based on roles according to characteristics of an organization, not direct relationship between a user and access permission. Core model, hierarchical model and separation of duties model can be applied

**Security Level**

A combination of hierarchical classification that indicates the importance of a user or information and non-hierarchical category

**Security Target (ST)**

Implementation-dependent statement of security needs for a specific identified TOE

**Selection**

Specification of one or more items from a list in a component

**Sensitive Label**

Access control based on a user identity or a group identity

**Separation of Duty (SOD)**

A means to minimize opportunities of collusion and prevent corruption in an organization by ensuring that a single user is not given conflicting roles simultaneously

**Shall/must**

The 'shall' or 'must' presented in Application notes indicates mandatory requirements applied to the TOE

**SSL (Secure Sockets Layer)**

This is a security protocol proposed by Netscape to ensure confidentiality, integrity and security over a computer network

**Subject**

Active entity in the TOE that performs operations on objects

**Target of Evaluation (TOE)**

Set of software, firmware and/or hardware possibly accompanied by guidance

**Threat Agent**

Entity that can adversely act on assets

**TLS (Transport Layer Security)**

This is a cryptographic protocol between a SSL-based server and a client and is described in RFC 2246

**TOE Security Functionality (TSF)**

Combined functionality of all hardware, software, and firmware of a TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFRs

**TSF Data**

Data for the operation of the TOE upon which the enforcement of the SFR relies

**User**

Human or IT entity possibly interacting with the TOE from outside of the TOE boundary

## 1.5. PP organization

Chapter 1 introduces to the Protection Profile, providing Protection Profile references and the TOE overview.

Chapter 2 provides the conformance claims to the CC, PP and package; and describes the claim's conformance rationale and PP conformance statement.

Chapter 3 describes the security objectives for the operational environment.

Chapter 4 defines the extended components for Access Control in Operating System.

Chapter 5 describes the security functional and assurance requirements. If required, application notes are provided to clarify the meaning of requirements and provide an explanation of detailed guidelines to the ST author for correct operations.

Reference describes the references for users who need more information about the background and related information than those described in this PP.

Abbreviated terms are listed to define frequently used terms in the PP.



## 2. Conformance claim

### 2.1. CC conformance claim

CC		<p>Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation. Part 1: Introduction and General Model, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (CCMB-2017-04-001, April, 2017)</li> <li>• Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation. Part 2: Security Functional Components, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (CCMB-2017-04-002, April, 2017)</li> <li>• Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation. Part 3: Security Assurance Components, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (CCMB-2017-04-003, April, 2017)</li> </ul>
Conformance Claim	Part 2 Security functional components	Extended : FCS_RBG.1, FIA_IIA.1, FMT_PWD.1, FPT_LEE.1, FPT_PST.1, FPT_TUD.1
	Part 3 Security assurance components	Conformant
	Package	Augmented : EAL1 augmented(ATE_FUN.1)

### 2.2. PP conformance claim

This Protection Profile does not claim conformance to other PPs.

### 2.3. Package conformance claim

This Protection Profile claims conformance to assurance package EAL1 augmented with ATE\_FUN.1.

### 2.4. Conformance claim rationale

Since this Protection Profile does not claim conformance to other Protection Profiles, it is not necessary to describe the conformance claim rationale.

### 2.5. PP conformance statement

This Protection Profile requires "strict PP conformance" of any ST or PP, which claims conformance to this PP.

## 3. Security objectives

The followings are the security objectives handled by technical and procedural method supported from operational environment in order to provide the TOE security functionality accurately.

### 3.1. Security objectives for the operational environment

#### OE.LOG\_BACKUP

The authorized administrator periodically checks a spare space of audit data storage in case of the audit data loss, and carries out the audit data backup (external log server or separate storage device, etc.) to prevent audit data loss.

#### OE.PHYSICAL\_CONTROL

The TOE shall be located in physically secure environment to which only the authorized administrator is allowed to access and the protective facilities are provided.

#### OE.SECURITY\_MAINTENANCE

When the internal network environment changes due to the change in network configuration, increase/decrease of host and increase/decrease of service, etc., the changed environment and security policies must be immediately reflected to the TOE operational policies in order to maintain the same level of security as before.

#### OE.TRUSTED\_ADMIN

The authorized administrator of TOE shall be non-malicious users, have appropriately trained for TOE management functions and accurately fulfill the duties in accordance with administrator guidances.

#### OE.OPERATION\_SYSTEM\_REINFORCEMENT

The authorized administrator of the TOE shall ensure the reliability and security of the operating system by performing the reinforcement on the latest vulnerabilities of the operating system in which the TOE is installed and operated.

##### Application notes

If the TOE components consist of the management server and the agent, the security objectives of this operational environment apply to the operating system on which the management server is installed/operated when the management server is in the form of software, but do not apply to the agent that is installed/operated on the operating system to be protected.

#### OE.OPERATING\_SYSTEM\_USER

The user controlled by the TOE (Agent) ensure that the user is identified and authenticated by the protected operating system.

##### Application notes

The security objective for this operating environment means that only the authorized user through the identification and authentication function provided by the operating system installed the TOE (Agent) should be controlled by the TOE.

## 4. Extended components definition


### 4.1. Cryptographic support

#### 4.1.1. Random Bit Generation

Family Behaviour

This family defines requirements for the TSF to provide the capability that generates random bits required for TOE cryptographic operation.

Component leveling



FCS\_RBG.1 random bit generation, requires TSF to provide the capability that generates random bits required for TOE cryptographic operation.

Management: FCS\_RBG.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

Audit: FCS\_RBG.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

##### 4.1.1.1. FCS\_RBG.1 Random bit generation

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FCS\_RBG.1.1 The TSF shall generate random bit using the specified random bit generator that meets the following [assignment: *list of standards*].


## 4.2. Identification and authentication

### 4.2.1. TOE Internal identification and authentication

Family Behaviour

This family defines requirements for the TSF to provide identification and authentication functions for TOE components.

Component leveling



FIA\_IIA.1 TOE Internal identification and authentication requires to provide identification and authentication functions for TOE components.

Management: FIA\_IIA.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

Audit: FIA\_IIA.1

The following actions are recommended to record if FAU\_GEN Security audit data generation is included in the PP/ST:

a) Minimal: Success and failure of identification and authentication

#### 4.2.1.1. FIA\_IIA.1 TOE Internal identification and authentication

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FIA\_IIA.1.1 The TSF shall perform identification and authentication of [assignment: *different parts of TOE*] through [assignment: *TOE component identification methods*] and [assignment: *TOE component authentication methods*] by [assignment: *different parts of TOE*].

### 4.3. Security Management

#### 4.3.1. ID and password

Family Behaviour

This family defines the capability that is required to control ID and password management used in the TOE, and set or modify ID and/or password by authorized users.

Component leveling



FMT\_PWD.1 ID and password management, requires that the TSF provides the management function of ID and password.

Management: FMT\_PWD.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- a) Management of ID and password configuration rules.

Audit: FMT\_PWD.1

The following actions are recommended to record if FAU\_GEN Security audit data generation is included in the PP/ST:

- a) Minimal: All changes of the password.

##### 4.3.1.1. FMT\_PWD.1 Management of ID and password

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of management functions  
FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

FMT\_PWD.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to manage the password of [assignment: *list of functions*] to [assignment: *the authorized identified roles*].

1. [assignment: *password combination rules and/or length*]
2. [assignment: *other management such as management of special characters unusable for password, etc.*]

FMT\_PWD.1.2 The TSF shall restrict the ability to manage the ID of [assignment: *list of functions*] to [assignment: *the authorized identified roles*].

1. [assignment: *ID combination rules and/or length*]

2. [assignment: *other management such as management of special characters unusable for ID, etc.*]  
 FMT\_PWD.1.3 The TSF shall provide the capability for [selection, choose one of: *setting ID and password when installing, setting password when installing, changing the ID and password when the authorized administrator accesses for the first time, changing the password when the authorized administrator accesses for the first time*].

Application notes

- o If the TOE does not provide the capability for managing the ID and password combination rules by authorized roles, etc., 'None.' may be specified in assignment operations of FMT\_PWD.1.1, FMT\_PWD.1.2.
- o The ID and password combination rules that can be set by authorized roles may include minimum and maximum length setting, mixing rule setting involving English upper case/lower case/number/special characters, etc.

#### 4.4. Protection of the TSF

##### 4.4.1. Linkable external entities

Family Behaviour

This family (FPT\_LEE, Linkable External Entities) defines the requirement for the TSF to perform security functions with the support of external entities. In this family, external entities refer to software or hardware, but users are not counted as external entities.

Component leveling



FPT\_LEE.1, linkable external entities, requires the TSF to provide the security functions by linking with external entities.

Management: FPT\_LEE.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

Audit: FPT\_LEE.1

It is recommended to record the following actions for audit if FAU\_GEN Security audit data

generation family is included in the PP/ST:

- a) Minimal: Result of the execution of the security function provided by linking with external entities

#### 4.4.1.1. FPT\_LEE.1 Linkable external entities

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FPT\_LEE.1.1 The TSF shall perform [assignment: *List of actions*] and provide [assignment: *List of functions*] by linking with external entities.

##### Application notes


- o In FPT\_LEE.1.1, [assignment: List of actions] means the way the TSF is linked with external entities, such as API function call.
- o In FPT\_LEE.1.1, [assignment: List of functions] shall specify the security functions (e.g. verification of secrets, protection of authentication feedback, etc.) provided by the TSF in linkage with external entities

#### 4.4.2. Protection of stored TSF data

Family Behaviour

This family defines rules to protect TSF data stored within containers controlled by the TSF from the unauthorized modification or disclosure.

Component leveling



FPT\_PST.1 Basic protection of stored TSF data, requires the protection of TSF data stored in containers controlled by the TSF.

Management: FPT\_PST.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

Audit: FPT\_PST.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.



#### 4.4.2.1. FPT\_PST.1 Basic protection of stored TSF data

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies No dependencies.

FPT\_PST.1.1 The TSF shall protect [assignment: *TSF data*] stored in containers controlled by the TSF from the unauthorized [selection: *disclosure, modification*].

##### Application notes


- o Containers controlled by the TSF mean storage in the TOE or external entities (DBMS, etc.)that interact with the TOE.
- o Examples of TSF data to be protected as follows:
  - User password, cryptographic key (pre-shared key, symmetric key, private key, etc), TOE configuration values (security policy, configuration parameters), audit data, etc.
- o The TSF data can be encrypted and stored to be protected from the unauthorized disclosure or modification.

#### 4.4.3. TSF update

Family Behavior

This family defines TOE firmware/software update requirements.

Component leveling



FPT\_TUD.1 TSF security patch update, requires trusted update of the TOE firmware/software including the capability to verify the validity on the update file before installing updates.

Management: FPT\_TUD.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- a) Management of update file verification mechanism

Audit: FPT\_TUD.1

The following actions should be auditable if FAU\_GEN Security audit data generation is included in the PP/ST:

- a) Minimal: Update file verification result (success, failure)

#### 4.4.3.1. FPT\_TUD.1 TSF security patch update

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FPT\_TUD.1.1 The TSF shall provide the capability to view the TOE versions to [assignment: *the authorized identified roles*].

FPT\_TUD.1.2 The TSF shall verify validity of the update files using [selection: *hash value comparison, digital signature verification*] before installing updates.

##### Application notes

- o The TSF shall provide the capability to check the current version of TOE that most recently installed and executed by authorized roles.
- o The latest updates and security patches are essential to remove security vulnerabilities. The validity verification on the update files is required since the installation of update files without any verification can result in system malfunction, or service failures, etc.

## 5. Security requirements

The security requirements specify security functional requirements and assurance requirements that must be satisfied by the TOE that claims conformance to this PP.

The security functional requirements included in this PP are derived from CC Part 2 and Chapter 4 Extended Components Definition.

In addition, the security functional requirements are classified into mandatory SFRs, conditional mandatory SFRs and optional SFRs, as follows.

- Mandatory SFRs: are required to be mandatorily implemented in the Access Control in Operating System.
- Conditional mandatory SFRs: are required to be mandatorily implemented in the Access Control in Operating System, if the stated conditions are met.
- Optional SFRs: are not required to be mandatorily implemented in the Access Control in Operating System. However, when the TOE additionally provides related capabilities, the ST author must include the corresponding SFRs.

The following table summarizes the security functional requirements used in the PP.

Security functional class	Security functional component		Remarks
FAU	FAU_ARP.1	Security alarms	Mandatory SFR
	FAU_GEN.1	Audit data generation	Mandatory SFR
	FAU_SAA.1	Potential violation analysis	Mandatory SFR
	FAU_SAR.1	Audit review	Mandatory SFR
	FAU_SAR.3	Selectable audit review	Mandatory SFR
	FAU_STG.1	Protected audit trail storage	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FAU_STG.3	Action in case of possible audit data loss	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FAU_STG.4	Prevention of audit data loss	Conditional mandatory SFR
FCS	FCS_CKM.1	Cryptographic key generation	Mandatory SFR
	FCS_CKM.2	Cryptographic key distribution	Optional SFR
	FCS_CKM.4	Cryptographic key destruction	Mandatory SFR
	FCS_COP.1	Cryptographic operation	Mandatory SFR

Security functional class	Security functional component		Remarks
	FCS_RBG.1(Extended)	Random bit generation	Mandatory SFR
FDP	FDP_ACC.1	Subset access control	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FDP_ACC.2	Complete access control	Mandatory SFR
	FDP_ACF.1(1)	Security attribute based access control(1)	Mandatory SFR
	FDP_ACF.1(2)	Security attribute based access control(2)	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FDP_ITC.1	Import of user data without security attributes	Mandatory SFR
	FDP_RIP.1	Subset residual information protection	Mandatory SFR
	FIA	FIA_AFL.1	Authentication failure handling
FIA_IIA.1(Extended)		TOE Internal identification and authentication	Mandatory SFR
FIA_SOS.1		Verification of secrets	Mandatory SFR
FIA_UAU.1		Timing of authentication	Mandatory SFR
FIA_UAU.4		Single-use authentication mechanisms	Mandatory SFR
FIA_UAU.5		Multiple authentication mechanisms	Conditional mandatory SFR
FIA_UAU.7		Protected authentication feedback	Mandatory SFR
FIA_UID.1		Timing of identification	Mandatory SFR
FMT	FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions behaviour	Mandatory SFR
	FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes	Mandatory SFR
	FMT_MSA.3	Static attribute initialisation	Mandatory SFR
	FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data	Mandatory SFR
	FMT_PWD.1(Extended)	Management of ID and password	Mandatory SFR
	FMT_SMF.1	Specification of management functions	Mandatory SFR
	FMT_SMR.1	Security roles	Mandatory SFR
FPT	FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	Mandatory SFR
	FPT_LEE.1(Extended)	Linkable external entities – authentication	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FPT_PST.1(Extended)	Basic protection of stored TSF data	Mandatory SFR
	FPT_RCV.1	Manual recovery	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FPT_RCV.2	Automated recovery	Conditional

Security functional class	Security functional component		Remarks
			mandatory SFR
	FPT_STM.1	Reliable time stamps	Optional SFR
	FPT_TST.1	TSF testing	Mandatory SFR
	FPT_TUD.1(Extended)	TSF security patch update	Conditional mandatory SFR
FTA	FTA_MCS.2	Per user attribute limitation on multiple concurrent sessions	Mandatory SFR
	FTA_SSL.1	TSF-initiated session locking	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FTA_SSL.3	TSF-initiated termination	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FTA_TSE.1(1)	TOE session establishment	Mandatory SFR
	FTA_TSE.1(2)	TOE session establishment	Conditional mandatory SFR
FTP	FTP_ITC.1	Inter-TSF trusted channel	Conditional mandatory SFR
	FTP_TRP.1	Trusted path	Conditional mandatory SFR

[Table 1] Security functional requirements

## 5.1. Security functional requirements (Mandatory SFRs)

The Access Control in Operating System that claims conformance to this PP must meet the following 'Mandatory SFRs'.

Security functional class	Security functional component	
FAU	FAU_ARP.1	Security alarms
	FAU_GEN.1	Audit data generation
	FAU_SAA.1	Potential violation analysis
	FAU_SAR.1	Audit review
	FAU_SAR.3	Selectable audit review
FCS	FCS_CKM.1	Cryptographic key generation
	FCS_CKM.4	Cryptographic key destruction
	FCS_COP.1	Cryptographic operation
	FCS_RBG.1(Extended)	Random bit generation
FDP	FDP_ACC.2	Complete access control

Security functional class	Security functional component	
	FDP_ACF.1(1)	Security attribute based access control(1)
	FDP_ITC.1	Import of user data without security attributes
	FDP_RIP.1	Subset residual information protection
FIA	FIA_AFL.1	Authentication failure handling
	FIA_SOS.1	Verification of secrets
	FIA_UAU.1	Timing of authentication
	FIA_UAU.4	Single-use authentication mechanisms
	FIA_UAU.7	Protected authentication feedback
	FIA_UID.1	Timing of identification
FMT	FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions behaviour
	FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes
	FMT_MSA.3	Static attribute initialization
	FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data
	FMT_PWD.1(Extended)	Management of ID and password
	FMT_SMF.1	Specification of management functions
	FMT_SMR.1	Security roles
FPT	FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection
	FPT_PST.1(Extended)	Basic protection of stored TSF data
	FPT_TST.1	TSF testing
FTA	FTA_MCS.2	Per user attribute Limitation on multiple concurrent sessions
	FTA_TSE.1(1)	TOE session establishment

[Table 2] Mandatory security functional requirements

## 5.1.1. Security audit (FAU)

### 5.1.1.1. FAU\_ARP.1 Security alarms

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FAU\_SAA.1 Potential violation analysis.

FAU\_ARP.1.1 The TSF shall take [assignment: *list of actions*] upon detection of a potential security violation.

#### Application notes

- o If the TOE self-test result is a failure, response functions shall be performed.
  - Examples of response functions to be performed when the self-test result is a failure are as follows:
    - *Termination of the program, warning message screen display, process restart, etc.*
- o If the TOE integrity verification result is a failure, response functions shall be performed.
  - Examples of response functions to be performed when the integrity verification result is a failure are as follows:
    - *Termination of the program, warning message screen display, etc.*
- o The TOE agents or clients shall verify the integrity periodically or upon the authorized administrator's request and provide the administrator with a result notification function.
  - △ In case of abnormality in the integrity verification result, △ integrity verification result by the administrator shall be notified to the administrator.

### 5.1.1.2. FAU\_GEN.1 Audit data generation

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FPT\_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

FAU\_GEN.1.1 The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:

- a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;
- b) All auditable events for the *not specified* level of audit; and
- c) [assignment: *other specifically defined auditable events*]

FAU\_GEN.1.2 The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:

- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, [assignment: *other audit relevant information*].

Application notes

- o The TOE shall generate audit records for major audit events.
- [Table 3] below shows the audit events for which audit records must be generated.

Sub-category	Audit events	Additional audit information
Identification and authentication	User login and logout	
	User registration, change and deletion	
	The reaching of the threshold for the unsuccessful user authentication attempts and the actions taken	
	All changes of the password	
Security management	Registration, deletion and change IP address of the management terminals.	
	Execution of security management function and all changes and deletions of security attribute values. ** However, among the security management functions, 'Audit record inquiry' and 'TOE version information inquiry' functions are excluded.	Changed security attribute data
	Default account(ID)/Password change	
	Management terminal access IP blocking	
Trusted session management	User's session locking or termination	
	Response actions when duplicate login attempts of the same account are detected	
	Denial of new sessions based on the limit on the number of concurrent sessions	
Cryptographic key generation	Cryptographic key generation failure	
Cryptographic operation	Cryptographic operation failure (including cryptographic operation type)	
Audit record	Start-up and shutdown of the TOE audit functions in the form of H/W appliance	

[Table 3] Major mandatory audit events to be recorded

- [Table 4] below shows the audit events for which audit records may be generated when providing a function.

Sub-category	Audit events	Additional audit
--------------	--------------	------------------



		information
Self-protection	Execution of self-test	security function with failed self-test
	Execution of integrity verification of the TOE itself	Components with failed integrity verification
Update protection	Updated files validity verification by the administrator	
	Execution of update files validity verification	
Audit records	Start-up and shutdown of the TOE audit function in the form of software	
	Response actions when audit record fails to be stored	
Security management	Changes in agent registration status	

[Table 4] Audit events that must be recorded when providing a function

- o If the TOE detects an attempt to reuse authentication information that is prohibited for reuse, authentication shall fail and an audit record of the authentication failure event shall be generated.
- o Audit records shall be generated for self-test results.
- o Integrity verification contents and results shall be confirmed through screen display, audit records.
- o Audit records shall be generated for integrity verification results.
- o Update file validation results(success•failure) shall be recorded in audit records.
- o Audit records shall be generated for the update installation results and the reason for failure.
- o Audit records shall be generated when the session locking or termination function is activated.
- o Audit records shall be generated when blocking duplicate access.
- o Audit records shall not contain more information than necessary.
  - Items that shall be included at least in audit records are as follows.
    - The date and time of the event, the type of event, the identity of the subject that caused the event (e.g., account, process, IP, etc.), and the outcome of the event (success•failure)
  - Information such as authentication information (e.g., password, etc.) and encryption key shall not be stored in the audit records.
- o Sensitive data (e.g., password, resident registration number, etc.) shall not be recorded, or shall be generated by processing with masking if record is inevitable.

- o Each component of the TOE shall generate audit records using trusted time information.
  - Trusted time information should use the time information provided by the NTP server or the operating system.
- o If the WAS(*Tomcat, Jesus, etc.*) is included in the TOE package, the TOE shall be implemented so that important information is not included in the WAS log.
  - It can be implemented so that the log may be left only in the TOE's audit record storage without leaving the WAS log.
  - Important information such as passwords and encryption keys shall not be left in plain text in the WAS log.
- o Clients and agents shall generate audit records listed in the following [Table 5].

Security function	Audit event	Additional audit information
Self-protection	Execution of integrity verification and its results	
Security management	When providing security management functions, execution of security management functions and any changes of security attribute values.	Changed security attribute data
Audit record	Agent start-up	
	When general users can request the audit record to be transmitted to the server through security management, execution of transmission of the audit record.	
Safe update and file distribution	(When providing online update function) Execution of digital signature verification of files received from the server and external update server and its results	Files that has failed digital signature verification

[Table 5] Major audit events to be generated

- The applicant shall describe the audit list for major events provided by agents or clients in the guidance documents.
- The integrity verification results shall be generated as audit records.
- o The audit records of clients and agents shall include key information for each event.
  - The date and time, event type, identity of the subject who caused the event, and the outcome of the event shall be included.
- o If there is a server, the function to transmit the major audit records generated by agents or clients to the server shall be provided.
  - [Table 5] The server transmission function of the audit records described in the major audit events to be generated shall be implemented.

- After disconnection from the server, the audit records loaded after the disconnection shall be all transmitted to the server when it is recovered.
- Protection of audit records transmitted to the server shall satisfy the requirements of FPT\_ITT.1.
- o The update file digital signature verification result (success or failure) shall be audited and recorded.
- o The TOE shall generate audit records for major audit events.
  - The TOE shall generate audit records for all requests to perform operation on objects handled by the access control rules of the TOE (including object identification information).
  - Audit records shall be generated for security management actions performed by administrators in FMT\_MSA.1.
  - Audit records shall include at least the date and time of the event, the type of event, the identity of the subject that caused the event, and the outcome of the event.

#### 5.1.1.3. FAU\_SAA.1 Potential violation analysis

Hierarchical to No other components.  
Dependencies FAU\_GEN.1 Audit data generation

FAU\_SAA.1.1 The TSF shall be able to apply a set of rules in monitoring the audited events and based upon these rules indicate a potential violation of the enforcement of the SFRs.

FAU\_SAA.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules for monitoring audited events:

- a) Accumulation or combination of [assignment: *subset of defined auditable events*] known to indicate a potential security violation
- b) [assignment: *any other rules*]

#### Application notes

- o If the result of the TOE's self-test is failure, the response function shall be performed.
- o The TOE shall perform the response function if the integrity verification fails.
- o The TOE agents or clients shall verify the integrity periodically or upon the authorized administrator's request and provide the administrator with a result notification function.
- ΔIn case of abnormality in the integrity verification results ΔIntegrity verification results by the administrator shall be notified to the administrator.

#### 5.1.1.4. FAU\_SAR.1 Audit review

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation
FAU_SAR.1.1	The TSF shall provide [authorized administrator] with the capability to read [all the audit data] from the audit records.
FAU_SAR.1.2	The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the <b>authorized administrator</b> to interpret the information.

## Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide a function for the authorized administrator to inquire the audit record.
  - The audit record shall be inquired only through the security function provided by the TOE.
  - The TOE shall provide audit records for the authorized administrator to properly interpret the information.

## 5.1.1.5. FAU\_SAR.3 Selectable audit review

Hierarchical to	No other components.
Dependencies	FAU_SAR.1 Audit review

FAU_SAR.3.1	The TSF shall provide the capability to apply [assignment: <i>methods of selection and/or ordering</i> ] of audit data based on [assignment: <i>criteria with logical relations</i> ].
-------------	--

## Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide a function for the administrator to select a logical condition when inquiring audit records, and to search or sort the records according to various conditions.

## 5.1.2. Cryptographic support (FCS)

## 5.1.2.1. FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation

Hierarchical to	No other components.
Dependencies	[FCS_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution, or FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation] FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction

FCS_CKM.1.1	The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm [assignment: <i>cryptographic key generation algorithm</i> ] and specified cryptographic key sizes [assignment: <i>cryptographic key sizes</i> ] that meet the following: [assignment: list of
-------------	--

standards].

Application notes

- o The TOE shall generate cryptographic keys in a secure method.
  - Examples of secure cryptographic key generation methods are as follows:
    - Password-based key derivation(PKCS#5 v2.1(RFC 8018), NIST SP 800-132, etc.)
    - Key derivation with pre-shared keys(TTAK.KO-12.0272)
    - Key generation using random bit generator(CTR\_DRBG, HASH\_DRBG, HMAC\_DRBG, etc.)
  - The random bit generator shall be implemented in compliance with domestic and foreign standards.
  - It is possible to generate asymmetric key pairs (public keys/private keys) or symmetric keys using random bits generated by the random bit generator.
  - The password-based key derivation function shall only be used to generate a Key Encryption Key(KEK).
    - The initial key encryption key shall be generated differently for each TOE.
    - Initial data required to generate a key encryption key can be directly entered or injected from stored values in storage media such as smart cards, security USBs, security tokens(HSM: Hardware Security Module).
    - It is recommended to use products that have obtained security function test report or domestic/foreign CC certificates for the storage media.
    - For details, refer to the Encryption Key Generation of the 'Encryption Key Management Guide' (Ministry of Science and ICT, 2014).
    - If a password is used as the initial data for generating a key encryption key(KEK), the value entered at the time of the initial installation of the product can be stored and used, and the stored data shall be protected from unauthorized exposure attempts.

5.1.2.2. FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]

FCS\_CKM.4.1 The TSF shall destruct cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method [assignment: *cryptographic key destruction method*] that meets the following: [assignment: *list of standards*].

Application notes

- o The TOE shall securely destroy the cryptographic keys generated or used in the TOE.
  - △When terminating execution of the TOE, △When calling cryptographic key deletion function, △When terminating cryptographic communication, etc., all cryptographic keys and information related to cryptographic key that have expired shall be destroyed.
  - When destroying cryptographic keys, a method of overwriting at least 3 times with values of 0 or 1 can be used.
  - For details, refer to the cryptographic key destruction method of the 'Encryption Key Management Guide' (Ministry of Science and ICT, 2014).

### 5.1.2.3. FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction

FCS\_COP.1.1 The TSF shall perform [assignment: *list of cryptographic operations*] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [assignment: *cryptographic algorithm*] and cryptographic key sizes [assignment: *cryptographic key sizes*] that meet the following: [assignment: *list of standards*].

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall use the recommended cryptographic algorithm when transmitting and storing important information.
- o The recommended cryptographic algorithm is a standard algorithm with a security strength of 112 bits or more. Refer to the [Attachment] to the auxiliary document. Examples are as follows:
  - Hash Algorithm: SHA-224 or higher
  - Symmetric key Algorithm: Key length 128 bits or higher
  - Public key Algorithm: RSA 2048 or higher, DSA(2018, 224) or higher
  - Digital signature Algorithm: RSA-PSS 2048 or higher, KCDSA(2048, 224) or higher, ECDSA/EC-KCDSA (B-233, B-283, K-223, K-283, P-224, P-256)
- o However, the use of TDES( including 2 keys and 3 keys) is not permitted.
- o When using block cipher, ECB mode shall not be used if the plain text size is larger than the encryption block size.
- o When using block cipher, fixed IV shall not be used in CFB or OFB mode.
- o Domestic/foreign standard cryptographic algorithms shall be used, and the use of the national cryptographic algorithm is recommended.

- o For details of cryptographic algorithm with a security strength of 112 bits or higher, refer to 'Guide to Cryptographic Algorithm and Key Length' (Ministry of Science and ICT, 2018), 'Software Cryptographic Module Validation Standard' and 'NIST SP 800-131Ar2'.

#### 5.1.2.4. FCS\_RBG.1 Random bit generation (Extended)

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FCS\_RBG.1.1 The TSF shall generate random bit using the specified random bit generator that meets the following [assignment: *list of standards*].

#### Application notes

- o Examples of secure cryptographic key generation methods are as follows:
  - Password-based key derivation(PKCS#5 v2.1(RFC 8018), NIST SP 800-132, etc.)
  - Key derivation with pre-shared keys(TTAK.KO-12.0272)
  - Key generation using random bit generator(CTR DRBG, HASH DRBG, HMAC DRBG, etc.)
- o The random bit generator shall be implemented in compliance with domestic and foreign standards.
- o It is possible to generate asymmetric key pairs (public keys/private keys) or symmetric keys using random bits generated by the random bit generator.
- o User password used by the TOE for user identification and authentication shall be stored using a one-way encryption(Hash) to prevent decryption.
  - When performing a one-way encryption, it is necessary to add and apply a randomly generated value called salt to the password.
  - The salt value does not need to be confidential. It shall be generated using random bit generator and the size must be at least 48 bits.
  - The iteration count shall be applied as large as possible. (at least 1000 times)

### 5.1.3. User data protection (FDP)

#### 5.1.3.1. FDP\_ACC.2 Complete access control

Hierarchical to FDP\_ACC.1

Dependencies FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

FDP\_ACC.2.1 The TSF shall enforce [selection: *Mandatory access control Policy, Role-based access control Policy*] on [assignment: *list of subjects and list of objects*] and operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP.

FDP\_ACC.2.2 The TSF shall ensure that all operations among all subjects and objects controlled by the TSF are covered by the access control policy SFP.

#### Application notes

- o In case of the label-based access control, the TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the mandatory access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
- o In case of the role-based access control, the TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the role-based access control policy set by the authorized administrator.

#### 5.1.3.2. FDP\_ACF.1(1) Security attribute based access control(1)

Hierarchical to No other components

Dependencies FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control

FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation

FDP\_ACF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [selection: *Mandatory access control policy, Role-based access control policy*] to objects based on the following: [assignment: *list of subjects and objects controlled under the indicated SFP, and for each, the SFP-relevant security attributes, or named groups of SFP-relevant security attributes*].

FDP\_ACF.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [assignment: *rules governing access among controlled subjects and controlled objects using controlled operations on controlled objects*].

FDP\_ACF.1.3 The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [assignment: *rules, based on security attributes, that explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects*].

FDP\_ACF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [assignment: *rules, based on security attributes,*



*that explicitly deny access of subjects to objects*].

#### Application notes

##### **[When implementing label-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the mandatory access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
  - When supporting the mandatory access control policy, the operations that can be performed shall be restricted according to the importance label of the subject and the importance label of the object (process, file, directory, etc.).
    - If the subject's importance is greater than or equal to the object's importance, the subject can perform the read operation on the object.
    - Access control for the write operation and information distribution from the subject can be implemented by selecting one of the following.
      - \* Importance label of subject  $\leq$  Importance label of object.
      - \* Importance label of subject = Importance label of object.
  - It should be possible to set order (greater than, equal to, uncomparable to, etc.) for any two valid importance labels.
  - When the subject controlled in the mandatory access control policy creates an object or imports it from the outside, the object inherits the subject's importance.

##### **[When implementing role-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the role-based access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
  - When a user accesses an object, it is necessary to determine whether to allow access to the object after checking the access permission assigned to the user's role.
    - The hierarchical control rule based on the inheritance relationship of roles and the restrictive control rule through the separation of duties between roles shall also be considered.

#### 5.1.3.3. FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes

Hierarchical to No other components

Dependencies [FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, or  
FDP\_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]  
FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation

FDP\_ITC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [selection: *Mandatory access control Policy, Role based access control Policy*] when importing user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TOE.

FDP\_ITC.1.2 The TSF shall ignore any security attributes associated with the user data when imported from outside the TOE.

FDP\_ITC.1.3 The TSF shall enforce the following rules when importing user data controlled under the SFP from outside the TOE: [assignment: *additional importation control rules*].

#### Application notes

##### **[When implementing label-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the mandatory access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
- When supporting the mandatory access control policy, the operations that can be performed shall be restricted according to the importance label of the subject and the importance label of the object (process, file, directory, etc.).
  - If the subject's importance is greater than or equal to the object's importance, the subject can perform the read operation on the object.
  - Access control for the write operation and information distribution from the subject can be implemented by selecting one of the following.
    - \* Importance label of subject  $\leq$  Importance label of object.
    - \* Importance label of subject = Importance label of object.
- It should be possible to set order (greater than, equal to, uncomparable to, etc.) for any two valid importance labels.
- When the subject controlled in the mandatory access control policy creates an object or imports it from the outside, the object inherits the subject's importance.

##### **[When implementing role-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the role-based access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
- When a user accesses an object, it is necessary to determine whether to allow access to the object after checking the access permission assigned to the user's role.
  - The hierarchical control rule based on the inheritance relationship of roles and the restrictive control rule through the separation of duties between roles shall also be considered.

#### 5.1.3.4. FDP\_RIP.1 Subset residual information protection

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FDP\_RIP.1.1 The TSF shall ensure that any previous information content of a resource is made unavailable upon the [selection: *allocation of the resource to, deallocation of the resource from*] the following objects: [ assignment: *list of objects* ].

#### Application notes

o The TOE shall not make it available to use the previous information when retrieving the attribute used in the access control rules.

- The information is as follows:

- Label-based access control: The previously assigned value for the attribute (importance lable) used in the mandatory access control policy, and the previously assigned value for the attribute used in the discretionary access control policy.
- Role-based access control: The assigned values for the user role, group role, service role, file role, directory role, process role, etc.

## 5.1.4. Identification and authentication (FIA)

5.1.4.1. FIA\_AFL.1 Authentication failure handling

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FIA\_UAU.1 Timing of authentication

FIA\_AFL.1.1 The TSF shall detect when [selection: [assignment: *positive integer number*], *an administrator configurable positive integer within* [assignment: *range of acceptable values*]] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to [assignment: *list of authentication events*].

FIA\_AFL.1.2 When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been [selection: *met, surpassed*], the TSF shall [assignment: *list of actions*].

#### Application notes

o If user authentication fails consecutively as many times as the set number in the TOE, the identification and authentication functions shall be deactivated.

- Examples of how to activate after deactivating the identification and authentication functions are as follows:

- *Activation in a specified period of time after account lock-out*
- *Provision of other identification and authentication means for activation after account lock-out*

- Additional identification and authentication means specified in FIA\_UAU.1 may be provided.

In case of authentication failure with additional identification and authentication means, it shall be included in the number of user authentication failures.

- The number of consecutive authentication failures in which identification and authentication are deactivated shall be fixed or settable at a value of 5 or less.
- When implementing to deactivate the authentication function for a certain period of time, the time required for re-activation shall be fixed or settable at a value of 5 minutes or more.
- o If administrator authentication fails consecutively as many times as the set number, the TOE shall notify the administrator through means that can be immediately checked.
  - Notification shall be made through at least one of *alarm, text messaging, e-mail, etc.*

5.1.4.2. FIA\_SOS.1 Verification of secrets

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FIA\_SOS.1.1 The TSF shall provide a mechanism to verify that secrets meet [assignment: *a defined quality metric*].

Application notes

- o If ID/password is the only means of user identification and authentication, the TOE shall meet the security criteria of Password Security Criteria Type(1) when registering and changing passwords.

<Password Security Criteria Type(1)>

Description	Contents	Remarks
Compliance	Secure the length of more than 9 digits	Mandatory
	Contains at least one number, uppercase letter(english), lowercase letter(english), and special character	Mandatory
Prohibition	Do not set the same password as the user account (ID)	Mandatory
	Prohibition of consecutive repeated input of the same letter/number	Mandatory
	Prohibit sequential input of consecutive letters or numbers on the keyboard	Mandatory
	Prohibition of reuse of the password used immediately before	Implement either one of the two
Prohibition of reuse of the password used within the past 3 months		

- o If ID/password input and additional identification and authentication functions are performed concurrently, the TOE shall meet the security standards of Password Security Standard Type(2) when registering and changing passwords.

## &lt;Password Security Criteria Type(2)&gt;

Description	Contents	Remarks
Compliance	Secure the length of more than 6 digits.	Mandatory
	Contains at least one number, uppercase letter(english), lowercase letter(english), and special character.	Optional
Prohibition	Do not set the same password as the user account (ID)	Mandatory
	Prohibition of consecutive repeated input of the same letter/number	Optional
	Prohibit sequential input of consecutive letters or numbers on the keyboard	Optional
	Prohibition of reuse of the password used immediately before	Optional
	Prohibition of reuse of the password used within the past 3 months	Optional

## 5.1.4.3. FIA\_UAU.1 Timing of authentication

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification

FIA\_UAU.1.1 The TSF shall allow [assignment: *list of TSF mediated actions*] on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.

FIA\_UAU.1.2 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user, except for the actions specified in FIA\_UAU.1.1.

## Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide user account/password-based identification and authentication functions to verify the identity of the user.
  - Identification and authentication must be performed to confirm that the user is a legitimate user of the TOE.
  - If it is required to identify and authenticate users who exist in the agents or clients constituting the TOE, the identification value shall be a unique value that is not registered in duplicate.
    - When authenticating the user, the additional attributes of the registered agents or clients shall also be authenticated.
    - Additional attributes: IP address is mandatory, and at least one of the MAC address, Serial Number, and information that can uniquely identify the agent itself shall be additionally used.
- o In case of the TOE supports additional identification and authentication methods, for user

identification and authentication, the TOE must provide additional identification and authentication functions on its own or by interacting with external IT entities in parallel with user account and password-based identification and authentication.

- In order to provide additional identification and authentication functions, △2FA support device complying with FIDO standards, △certificates, △one-time password generator(OTP), etc. can be used.
  - If it is supported in the TOE operating environment, '2FA support device complying with FIDO standards' is recommended.
- If additional identification and authentication functions are provided by the TOE, the functions can be provided by receiving the authentication results from the inside of the TOE or from interaction with the external IT entities.
  - If the TOE provides a certificate utilization method, certificate validation shall be performed.
  - The authentication information used by external IT entities to perform additional identification and authentication methods shall be securely managed by the external IT entities. If the TOE stores authentication information use to perform additional identification and authentication methods, the requirements of FPT\_PST.1 shall be applied.
- o If the TOE authenticates external IT entities, the TOE shall authenticate the interacted external IT entities.

#### 5.1.4.4. FIA\_UAU.4 Single-use authentication mechanisms

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FIA\_UAU.4.1 The TSF shall prevent reuse of authentication data related to [assignment: *identified authentication mechanism(s)*].

##### Application notes

- o The TOE shall prevent reuse of user's authentication information(using timestamp, encrypting session ID, etc.)
  - It is mandatory to apply to authentication information to be used for user account/password-based identification and authentication specified in FIA\_UAU.1.
  - If the TOE receives authentication information from the user to provide additional identification and authentication methods specified in FIA\_UAU.1, it is mandatory to apply to the corresponding authentication information.
  - It can be prevented by encrypting the session ID or guaranteeing the uniqueness of the session ID(including timestamp and random bit values, setting session expiration time, etc.)

- If the TOE detects an attempt to reuse authentication information that is prohibited from being reused, authentication shall fail and an audit record shall be generated for the authentication failure event.

#### 5.1.4.5. FIA\_UAU.7 Protected authentication feedback

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FIA\_UAU.1 Timing of authentication

FIA\_UAU.7.1 The TSF shall provide only [assignment: *list of feedback*] to the user while the authentication is in progress.

##### Application notes

- o The TOE shall not display the contents when displaying the information used for authentication on the output device.
  - It shall be applied when the authentication information specified in FIA\_UAU.1 is displayed on the output device.
  - The information used for authentication shall be output in the form of *no-display of input contents, display of "\*" instead of input characters, etc.*
  - When users log in, the authentication information shall not be exposed with plain text in the memory area.
- o In case of identification and authentication failures, the TOE shall not provide the feedback for the cause of failure (*e.g. non-existent account(ID), password error, etc.*).

#### 5.1.4.6. FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FIA\_UID.1.1 The TSF shall allow [assignment: *list of TSF-mediated actions*] on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified.

FIA\_UID.1.2 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user, except for the actions specified in FIA\_UAU.1.1.

##### Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide user account/password-based identification and authentication functions to verify the identity of the user.
  - Identification and authentication must be performed to confirm that the user is a legitimate user of the TOE.
- o When supporting additional identification and authentication methods, the TOE shall provide additional identification and authentication functions on its own or in conjunction

with external IT entities, in parallel with user account/password-based identification and authentication.

- o If the TOE authenticates external IT entities, the TOE shall authenticate the interacted external IT entities.

### 5.1.5. Security management (FMT)

#### 5.1.5.1. FMT\_MOF.1 Management of security functions behaviour

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions  
 FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

FMT\_MOF.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to ***conduct management actions of*** the functions [assignment: *list of functions*] to [the authorized administrator].

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide the authorized administrator with the security management functions to set and manage security functions, security policies, important data, etc.
  - The security management functions include the followings:
    - A function to add, delete or change conditions or rules that can determine the operation of the security function.
    - A function to add, remove or change the actions to be performed by the TOE in accordance with the conditions or rules.
    - A function to select or change TOE settings
  - The security management functions to be implemented by the TOE are shown in the Table below.

Sub-category	Security management	Remarks
Identification and authentication	User registration, deletion and change, grant privileges	Not applicable, if the user registered in the TOE is the only one.
	Setting user's password combination/length policy	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the allowed number of user's authentication failures	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the response methods to user's authentication failures	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the time from deactivation of user's authentication function to re-activation	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the authentication information of external IT entities that is authenticated by the TOE.	Mandatory when providing the function
Security	IP registration, deletion and change of the	



management	management terminals	
	Backup of important data, configuration information, audit records, etc.	Mandatory when providing the function
	Recovery of of important data, configuration information, audit records, etc.	Mandatory when providing the function
S e c u r i t y management	Enabling and disabling management access service	Mandatory when providing the function
	Agent inquiry - status, version, and applied security policy	Mandatory when including agents
	Agent security policy management – policy settings, policy transmission	Mandatory when including agents
	Setting the authentication information for access to external IT entities	Mandatory when providing the function
Self-protection	Performing self-test for TOE's security function by administrator's request	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting response actions when self-test fails	Mandatory when providing the function
	Performing an integrity verification of the TOE setting values and the TOE itself by the administrator's request	
	Setting response actions when integrity verification fails	Mandatory when providing the function
U p d a t e protection	Manual validation of update files by administrator	Mandatory when providing the function
	Manual recovery of failed installation of update files by administrator	Mandatory when providing the function
	Inquiry of TOE version information	
Safe session management	User session locking time, user session timeout time setting	Mandatory when providing the function
	(In case session locking) Administrator or individual user authentication when unlocking sessions	
	Setting the number of concurrent user access sessions	Mandatory when providing the function
Audit records	Inquiry of audit records	
	Response-related settings for loss of audit records	Mandatory when providing the function

[Table] Security management functions to be implemented by TOE

- o The TOE shall provide enable/disable functions for all management access.
- o If the agent itself has a security management function, the server shall be able to enable/disable the agent setting function.
- o The communication service that does not support encrypted communication channels shall be able to be disabled.
- o During TOE operation, it shall support the self-test execution periodically or by administrator's request.

- o To ensure correct operation, the TOE shall perform the response function implemented on its own or the response function set by the administrator when the self-test fails.
- o The TOE shall provide the administrator with the function to perform integrity verification.
- o The TOE shall perform the response function implemented on its own or the response function set by the administrator when the integrity verification fails.
- o If the TOE provides online update or manual update function, only the update files that have succeeded in validation shall be installed or applied.
- o If the TOE does not provide the function of automatically maintaining the existing version when the update installation fails, manual recovery by the administrator shall be supported.
- o Locked sessions shall be unlocked by the administrator or through the user authentication function for each session, after the locking time has elapsed.
- o Additionally, the TOE may provide a function to send audit records to external log servers by administrator.
  - *If syslog is supported, it shall support encrypted transmission through syslog over TLS(RFC 5424), or syslog over DTLS(RFC 6012).*
  - The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall meet the requirements of 'Protection when storing cryptographic key' of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- o When agents or clients provide a management function, agents or clients shall provide a security management function that allows users to set and manage their own security functions, security policies and important data.
  - If the TOE component includes a server and an agent, the agent must be able to enforce the security policy sent by the server as the agent's setting.
  - Guidance documents that identify and describe all the security management functions provided by agents or clients shall be submitted.
- o TOE agents or clients shall verify the integrity periodically or upon the authorized administrator's request, and provide the administrator with the result notification function.

#### 5.1.5.2. FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes

Hierarchical to	No other components
Dependencies	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control] FMT_SMR.1 Security roles FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

FMT_MSA.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>access control SFP(s), information flow control SFP(s)</i> ] to restrict the ability to [selection: <i>change_default, query, modify, delete</i> , [assignment: <i>other operations</i> ]] the security attributes
-------------	--

[assignment: *list of security attributes*] to [ the authorized Administrator ].

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall restrict so that only authorized administrators can perform security management functions.
  - The security management functions are as follows.
    - A functions to add, delete, or change conditions or rules that can determine the operation of the security function that blocks the access to server resources.
    - A function to add, remove, or change actions to be performed by the TOE according to conditions or rules
  - A function to verify the administrator input values (restrictions on characters not allowed, length, etc.) shall be provided.

#### **[When implementing label-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the mandatory access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
  - When supporting the mandatory access control policy, the operations that can be performed shall be restricted according to the importance label of the subject and the importance label of the object (process, file, directory, etc.).
    - If the subject's importance label is greater than or equal to the object's importance label, the subject performs the read operation on the object.
    - Access control for the write operation and information distribution from the subject can be implemented by selecting one of the following.
      - \* Importance of subject  $\leq$  Importance of object.
      - \* Importance of subject = Importance of object.
  - It should be possible to set order (greater than, equal to, incomparable, etc.) for any two valid importance labels.
  - When the subject controlled in the mandatory access control policy creates an object or imports it from the outside, the object inherits the subject's importance.
- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the discretionary access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
  - When supporting discretionary access control policy, the operations that can be performed shall be restricted according to the subject's user identity and the identity of the group to which the subject's user belongs.
    - For each operation, the processing rule (allow or block) shall be defined for the case where the identity of the user or group matches or does not match the identity of the user or group specified in the access control attribute of the object.

**[When implementing role-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the role-based access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
- When a user accesses an object, it is necessary to determine whether to allow access to the object after checking the access permission assigned to the user's role.
- The hierarchical control rule based on the inheritance relationship of roles and the restrictive control rule through the separation of duties between roles shall also be considered.

## 5.1.5.3. FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation

Hierarchical to No other components

Dependencies FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes

FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

FMT\_MSA.3.1 The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: *access control SFP, information flow*] to provide [selection, choose one of: *restrictive, permissive, [assignment: other property]*] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.

FMT\_MSA.3.2 The TSF shall allow the [ the authorized administrator ] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

## 5.1.5.4. FMT\_MTD.1 Management of TSF data

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

FMT\_MTD.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to **manage** [assignment: list of *TSF data*] to [assignment: *the authorized roles*].

## Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide the authorized administrator with the security management functions to set and manage security functions, security policies, important data, etc.
- The security management functions include the followings:
  - A function to add, delete or change conditions or rules that can determine the operation of the security function.
  - A function to add, remove or change the actions to be performed by the TOE in

accordance with the conditions or rules.

- A function to select or change TOE settings

- The security management functions to be implemented by the TOE are shown in the Table below.

Sub-category	Security management	Remarks
Identification and authentication	User registration, deletion and change, grant privileges	Not applicable, if the user registered in the TOE is the only one.
	Setting user's password combination/length policy	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the allowed number of user's authentication failures	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the response methods to user's authentication failures	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the time from deactivation of user's authentication function to re-activation	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting the authentication information of external IT entities that is authenticated by the TOE.	Mandatory when providing the function
Security management	IP registration, deletion and change of the management terminals	
	Backup of important data, configuration information, audit records, etc.	Mandatory when providing the function
	Recovery of of important data, configuration information, audit records, etc.	Mandatory when providing the function
Security management	Enabling and disabling management access service	Mandatory when providing the function
	Agent inquiry - status, version, and applied security policy	Mandatory when including agents
	Agent security policy management – policy settings, policy transmission	Mandatory when including agents
	Setting the authentication information for access to external IT entities	Mandatory when providing the function
Self-protection	Performing self-test for TOE's security function by administrator's request	Mandatory when providing the function
	Setting response actions when self-test fails	Mandatory when providing the function
	Performing an integrity verification of the TOE setting values and the TOE itself by the administrator's request	
	Setting response actions when integrity verification fails	Mandatory when providing the function
Update protection	Manual validation of update files by administrator	Mandatory when providing the function
	Manual recovery of failed installation of update files by administrator	Mandatory when providing the function
	Inquiry of TOE version information	

Safe session management	User session locking time, user session timeout time setting	Mandatory when providing the function
	(In case session locking) Administrator or individual user authentication when unlocking sessions	
	Setting the number of concurrent user access sessions	Mandatory when providing the function
Audit records	Inquiry of audit records	
	Response-related settings for loss of audit records	Mandatory when providing the function

[Table] Security management functions to be implemented by TOE

- o The administrator shall be able to grant privileges each user or each group.
- o The user account(ID) is a unique value and shall not be registered in duplicate.
- o The number of consecutive authentication failures in which identification and authentication are deactivated shall be fixed or settable at a value of 5 or less.
- o When implementing to deactivate the authentication function for a certain period of time, the time required for re-activation shall be fixed or settable at a value of 5 minutes or more.
- o If ID/password is the only means of user identification and authentication, the TOE shall meet the security criteria, <Password Security Criteria Type(1)> of FIA\_SOS.1 when registering and changing passwords.
- o If ID/password input and additional identification and authentication functions are performed concurrently, the TOE shall meet the security criteria, <Password Security Criteria Type(2)> of FIA\_SOS.1 when registering and changing passwords.
- o If authentication information necessary for external IT entity authentication is required to be set, the TOE shall provide the function to set the information necessary for external IT entity authentication.
  - The application target may be a pre-shared key for the authentication server connection, an SNMP authentication/encryption password, etc.
  - When passwords are used for external IT entity authentication, the security criteria, <Password Security Criteria Type(1)> or <Password Security Criteria Type(2)>of FIA\_SOS.1 shall be complied with.
- o The TOE shall provide a function to limit the IP of the accessible management terminals.
  - The IP address of the management terminals shall be able to be registered, deleted or changed.
  - Management terminals that can be accessed by administrators who have only read permission instead of for management purpose (e.g., *monitoring administrators, etc.*) can be additionally registered and operated.
  - Only one single host IP can be added per time for accessible management terminals.

- A method of specifying an IP address range, such as 192.168.10.2~253, or registration using 0.0.0.0, 192.168.10.\*, any, etc. which means the the entire network range is not allowed.
- o When providing a function that requires a password to access internal components of the TOE or external IT entities, the TOE shall provide the default password change function used to access internal components or external IT entities.
- Examples of default passwords include DBMS passwords and web server/WAS server passwords.
- If the TOE stores the default password to access the DBMS, the TOE shall provide a function to change the default password.
- Examples of authentication information include the password used to authenticate the TOE in the SMTP server.
- Depending on whether additional identification and authentication functions are concurrently used when generating a password, the security criteria, <Password Security Criteria Type(1)> or <Password Security Criteria Type(2)> of FIA\_SOS.1 shall be complied with.
- If a default account(ID) exists in the TOE to access DBMS/Web Server/WAS Server, a function to change it may be provided.
- o If an external IT entity interacted with the TOE requests authentication information for TOE authentication, the TOE shall provide a function to set the authentication information required to be authenticated by the external IT entity.
  - Examples of authentication information include the password used to authenticate the TOE in the SMTP server.
  - It is recommended that passwords should comply with the security criteria, <Password Security Criteria Type (2)> of FIA\_SOS.1.
    - However, even the characters included in the password security criteria may not include characters that are not permitted to be entered by the interacted external IT entity.
- o If the TOE includes agents, the TOE shall provide a function to inquire information about the agent.
  - The essential inquiry information for the agent is as follows.
    - Agent version, security policy applied to the agent, agent operation status (enabled/disabled), agent integrity verification result (success/failure)
  - Additional information about the agent is as follows.
    - Additional agent attributes, others (operating system information of the managed system where the agent is installed, IP information, other information, etc.), etc.
- o If the TOE includes agents, the TOE shall centrally manage the security policy and provide a function to enforce the server's security policy to the agent.
  - If the TOE includes agents, the server must centrally manage the policy and shall be able to enforce the server's security policy regardless of the agent's own security management function.

- o The TOE shall provide an interface that allows only authorized administrators to access the TOE settings, and other persons than authorized administrators shall not be able to access the TOE settings.
  - Access means operations such as read, change, and delete, etc.
- o When providing the function to backup the TOE settings in the form of external file, an encryption function shall be provided.
- o For encryption, the encryption algorithm used, encryption key security, and encryption key storage method shall satisfy the 'protection when storing encryption key' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- o The TOE shall provide a function for the administrator to check the contents and results of integrity verification.
  - The contents and results of integrity verification shall be confirmed through *screen display, audit records*.
- o The TOE shall provide a function for users to check 'the unique identification information of the TOE'.
  - The TOE identification information must be unique, can be checked by the user through the interface, and cannot be modified or changed. It shall include the following:
    - TOE name, TOE version, TOE release or build number
  - If the TOE includes multiple components that are physically separated, the identification information of each component shall be unique, can be checked, and cannot be modified or changed by users. It shall include the following:
    - The name and version of the TOE including the component, the component name, the component version, and the component release or build number
  - A version management system shall be applied to check the patch of the TOE/components and whether functions are improved.
 

(e.g., In case of patch and function improvement, a system for changing the major version, minor version, release number, and build number for each case is established to track the reason for the change of TOE/components with version information)
  - In case of hardware appliance, users shall be able to view the unique identification information of the firmware in addition to TOE identification information through TOE interface.
- o A certain amount of time, which is the cumulative amount of time after connection that triggers user session locking or session time-out, the administrator can fix the accumulated amount of time from a value of 10 minutes or less, or set it in proportion to the number of authentication failures.
- o Audit records shall be inquired only through the security function provided by the TOE.
- o The relevant user interface(UI) and CLI commands shall not be provided so that even an authorized administrator cannot delete or change audit records.



- o Examples of conditions to notify administrators related to audit record loss response are as follows.
  - 90% or more of the setup disk capacity, 100 MB or more, etc.
- o When an agent or a client provides a management function, the agent or client shall provide a security management function that allows users to set and manage their own security functions, security policies, and important data, etc.
  - If the TOE component includes a server and an agent, the agent must be able to enforce the security policy sent by the server as the agent's setting.
  - A document that identifies and describes all security management functions provided by the agent or client shall be submitted.
- o In case of role-based access control method, the TOE shall provide role management function.
  - The role management function includes  $\Delta$ creation/deletion of users and roles  $\Delta$  assignment/removal of users and access permissions to roles, etc.
  - One user and one access permission can be assigned to multiple roles, or multiple users and multiple access permissions can be assigned to one role.
  - By defining the role hierarchical relationship, the upper role can inherit the lower role, so that the upper role has more access permission than the lower role.
- o In case of role-based access control method, the TOE shall provide a function for the authorized administrator to review the roles.
  - It shall be possible to inquire all users assigned to a specific role or inquire all roles assigned to a specific user.

#### 5.1.5.5. FMT\_PWD.1 Management of ID and password (Extended)

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions  
FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

- FMT\_PWD.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to manage the password of [assignment: *list of functions*] to [the authorized administrator].
1. [assignment: *password combination rules and/or length*]
  2. [assignment: *other management such as management of special characters unusable for password, etc.*]
- FMT\_PWD.1.2 The TSF shall restrict the ability to manage the ID of [assignment: *list of functions*] to [the authorized administrator].
1. [assignment: *ID combination rules and/or length*]
  2. [assignment: *other management such as management of special characters unusable for ID, etc.*]
- FMT\_PWD.1.3 The TSF shall provide the capability for [selection: *setting ID and password when installing, setting password when installing, changing the ID and*

*password when the authorized administrator accesses for the first time, changing the password when the authorized administrator accesses for the first time].*

Application notes

- o The user account(ID) is a unique value and shall not be registered in duplicate.
- o The TOE shall provide a function to forcibly change/generate the administrator default password during the initial access (management access, local access) to the TOE.
  - If there is a default password, the function to change the default password shall be provided during the initial access to the TOE, and then management and local access to the TOE shall be possible.
  - If there is no default password, a new password shall be created, and then management and local access to the TOE shall be possible.
    - Passwords shall comply with the security criteria, <Password Security Criteria Type (1)> or <Password Security Criteria Type (2)> of FIA\_SOS.1.
  - If there is no default account(ID), a new account(ID) shall be created, and then management and local access to the TOE shall be possible.

5.1.5.6. FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

Hierarchical to No other components  
 Dependencies No dependencies.

FMT\_SMF.1.1 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions: [assignment: *list of management functions to be provided by the TSF*].

5.1.5.7. FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification

FMT\_SMR.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the roles [assignment: *the authorized identified roles*].

FMT\_SMR.1.2 TSF shall be able to associate users and their roles **defined in FMT\_SMR.1.1**.

## 5.1.6. Protection of the TSF (FPT)

### 5.1.6.1. FPT\_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection

Hierarchical to      Hierarchical to  
 Dependencies      No dependencies.

FPT\_ITT.1.1      The TSF shall protect the TSF data from *disclosure, modification* when it is transmitted between separate parts of the TOE.

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall transmit using an encrypted channel to protect data transmitted among TOE components (e.g., security policies, control commands, audit records, etc.)
- For secure encrypted communication, confidentiality and integrity shall be provided using standard protocols.
  - Secure cryptographic communication protocols include *HTTPS (implemented using TLS), TLS (TLS 1.2-RFC5246 or higher), SSH (SSH V2-RFC 4251, 4254), etc.*
- Use of its own protocol is not allowed.
- The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy the requirements of 'protection when storing cryptographic key' of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.

### 5.1.6.2. FPT\_PST.1 Basic protection of stored TSF data (Extended)

Hierarchical to      No other components.  
 Dependencies      No dependencies.

FPT\_PST.1.1      The TSF shall protect [assignment: *TSF data*] stored in containers controlled by the TSF from the unauthorized *disclosure, modification*.

#### Application notes

#### **1. Protection when storing TSF data (important information)**

- o The TOE shall store important information in a secure way when storing it inside the TOE.
  - At least when the TOE stores the following important information, it shall be encrypted and stored.
    - Password used by the TOE for user identification and authentication
    - Authentication information used by the TOE for additional identification and authentication
    - Data Encryption Key(DEK)

- The data encryption key(DEK) shall be encrypted and stored using the key encryption key(KEK).
- Requirements related to generation and storage of key encryption key(KEK) shall satisfy the 'protection when storing encryption key' requirements of FCS\_CKM.1(1), FCS\_CKM.1(2) and FPT\_PST.1.
- When the TOE stores the following information, it must be stored using *encryption, access control, etc.*
  - Information used for mutual authentication when the TOE and external IT entities are interacted
  - DBMS/web server/WAS server's administrator password required for the TOE to access DBMS/web server/WAS server that exist inside or outside the TOE.
  - Encryption key (pre-shared key, symmetric key, private key)
- The user password used by the TOE for user identification and authentication shall be stored using one-way encryption(hash) to prevent decryption.
  - When performing one-way encryption, it is necessary to add and apply a randomly generated value called salt to the password.
  - The salt value does not need to be confidential. It shall be generated using a random bit generator and the size must be at least 48 bits.
  - The iteration count shall be applied as large as possible (at least 1000 times).
- DBMS/Web server/WAS server's administrator password, etc. required for TOE operation can be stored after being encrypted by applying the public key/symmetric key encryption algorithm.
- Encryption key means pre-shared key, symmetric key, private key, etc., and covers all keys used for TOE management access/local access, and interaction settings among TOE components.
- Passwords and encryption keys included in the minimum important information that shall be encrypted shall not be stored in the TOE by hard-coding.
- The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy the requirements of 'protection when storing cryptographic key' of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.

## 2. Protection when storing TSF data (settings, audit records)

- The TOE shall provide a function to protect the stored TOE setting values (security policies, environment setting parameters, etc.) so that only authorized administrators can access.
  - For hardware appliance-type TOE, the TOE settings stored inside shall be protected, and for software-type TOE, the TOE settings stored in the store controlled by the TOE after installation.
- The TOE shall provide an interface that allows only authorized administrators to access TOE

settings, and other persons than authorized administrators shall not be able to access TOE settings

- Access means operations such as read, change, delete, etc.

- When providing the function to backup the TOE settings in the form of external files, an encryption function shall be provided.
- During encryption, the encryption algorithm used, encryption key security, and encryption key storage method shall satisfy the 'protection when storing encryption key' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.

o If *WAS(Tomcat, Jesus, etc.)* is included in the TOE package, the TOE shall implement not to include important information in the WAS log.

- Important information such as passwords and encryption keys shall not be left in plain text in the WAS log.

o The TOE may safely encrypt and store audit records when they are stored inside the TOE.

- The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy the requirements of 'protection when storing cryptographic key' of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.

### 3. Protection when storing cryptographic key

o The TOE shall store the cryptographic key in a secure way.

- Data encryption key(DEK) can be stored by using key encryption key(KEK).
- Key Encryption Key(KEK) can be generated through multiple stages of key chain, among which the final key encryption key(KEK) can be encrypted and stored using the key encryption key(KEK) of the previous stage.
- The key encryption key(KEK) except the final key encryption key(KEK) in the key chain cannot be stored.
- When the cryptographic key is stored outside the TOE, it is recommended to use storage media that have been verified for safety such as smart cards, security USBs, and security tokens(HSM).
  - It is recommended to use a product that has obtained a security function test report or a domestic/foreign CC certificate for the storage media.
- Hard-coding and storing the encryption key in the TOE are not permitted.
- As shown in the [Table] below, the applicant shall identify all cryptographic keys used for storage and transmission in the TOE, and prove security by submitting a list and explanatory materials for key storage and destruction methods.

Cryptographic key type	How to store and destroy keys
TLS private key	- Type: RSA Private Key

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Generator: Generated by TOE</li> <li>- Storage/Protection: Store in the TOE/Block unauthorized access to TOE storage area</li> <li>- Destruction: Overwrite 3 times with 0 and 1 when executing key destruction command</li> </ul>
TLS session encryption key	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Type: ARIA Key</li> <li>- Generator: Generated by TOE</li> <li>- Storage/Protection: Store only in memory(RAM)</li> <li>- Destruction: Overwrite 3 times with 0 and 1 when at the end of the session</li> </ul>
TLS session integrity verification key	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Type: HMAC Key</li> <li>- Generator: Generated by TOE</li> <li>- Storage/Protection: Store only in memory(RAM)</li> <li>- Destruction: Overwrite 3 times with 0 and 1 when at the end of the session</li> </ul>

[Table] How to store and destroy cryptographic keys

- When the TOE stores cryptographic keys (pre-shared key, symmetric key, private key, etc.) used for local/administrative access for TOE management and for interacted setting with separate equipment, it shall be protected and stored in a way such as *encryption, access control, etc.*

**4. Protection when storing agent or client or management console TSF data (important information)**

- o When the TOE agent or client stores important information in the file system or registry, the agent or client stores important information in the file system or registry, it shall be encrypted and stored.
- At least when the TOE stores the following important information, it shall be encrypted and stored.
  - User password
  - Encryption key (pre-shared key, symmetric key, private key)
- User password includes agent deletion key, and password shall be stored using one-way encryption(hash) not to be generally decrypted.
  - When performing one-way encryption, it is necessary to add a randomly generated salt to the password.
  - The salt value does not need to be confidential. It shall be generated using a random bit generator and it is the size of at least 48 bits.
  - The iteration count shall be applied as large as possible. (at least 1000 times)

- Encryption key means pre-shared key, symmetric key, private key, etc., and covers all keys used for TOE management access/local access, and interacting settings among TOE components.
- Passwords and encryption keys included in the minimum important information that shall be encrypted shall not be stored in the TOE by hard-coding.
- The encryption algorithm used, encryption key security, and encryption key storage method shall satisfy the 'protection when storing encryption key' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- Even if encryption is provided, it is recommended to protect in a way to additional file hiding, access control, etc.

## 5. Protection when storing agent or client or management console TSF data (setting values, audit data)

- o When storing TOE settings and audit data in the file system or registry, a function to protect against unauthorized access may be provided.
  - The relevant user interface(UI) and CLI commands shall not be provided to prevent deletion or modification of audit data even by agent users.
  - Even agent users shall not be able to access the stored TOE settings.
    - Access means operations such as read, change, and delete.
  - If the TOE security function cannot be fully implemented, it can be supported to protect the TOE settings storage in the TOE operating environment.
  - When providing the function to backup the TOE settings in the form of external file, an encryption function shall be provided.

### 5.1.6.3. FPT\_TST.1 TSF testing

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FPT\_TST.1.1 The TSF shall run a suite of self tests [selection: *at the initial start-up, periodically during normal operation, upon the request of authorized user, at the conditions* [assignment: *conditions under which self-test should occur*] to demonstrate the correct operation of [selection: [assignment: *parts of TSF*], *the TSF*].

FPT\_TST.1.2 The TSF shall provide authorized users with the capability to verify the integrity of [selection: [assignment: *parts of TSF data*], *TSF data*].

FPT\_TST.1.3 The TSF shall provide authorized users with the capability to verify the integrity of [selection: [assignment: *parts of TSF*], *TSF*].

## Application notes

**1. TOE server self-test, response function, and audit record generation**

- o The TOE shall perform self-test during initial start-up(or execution)/operation periodically or at the request of the administrator.
  - When initial start-up(or execution) the TOE, it is mandatory to perform self-test, and during operation, it shall support the perform self-test periodically or at the request of the administrator.
  - The self-test target means the main process of the TOE, and shall check whether the process is running normally.
  - The subject of self-test can be selected by the applicant, but if the entity's abnormal state(*e.g., error, stop, etc.*) affects the security function of the TOE, the corresponding entity shall be included as the subject of self-test.
  - The history of self-testing shall be confirmed through *screen output, audit records*.
  - The hardware appliance-type TOE shall satisfy the following requirements.
    - A self-test shall be performed to detect errors in hardware(*e.g., memory, flash, NIC, etc.*) and software(*e.g., process, etc.*) included in the scope of the TOE at the start-up and during operation of the TOE.
  - If physically separated TOE components exist, self-test shall be performed by selecting the subjects to include all components.
  - The sponsors shall describe the self-test function in detail in the submission document.
- o If the TOE self-test result is a failure, it shall perform the response function.
  - The TOE shall perform the implemented response function or the response function set by the administrator to ensure correct operation.
  - Audit records shall be generated for self-test results.
  - Examples of response functions performed when the self-test result is a failure are as follows.
    - *Termination of program, warning message screen display, restart process, etc.*
  - A security management function may be provided for the administrator to set the response function.

**2. TOE server integrity verification, response function, and audit record generation**

- o The TOE shall provide a function to verify the integrity of itself and its setting values.
  - Integrity verification covers the TOE setting values(*configuration files, etc.*) and the TOE itself(*processes, libraries, executable files, etc.*).
  - Integrity verification shall be performed when the TOE is initial executed(or start-up), and



periodic integrity verification can be performed additionally.

- The subject of integrity verification can be selected by the sponsor, but if the entity's abnormal state(e.g., *error, stop, etc.*) affects the security function of the TOE, the corresponding entity shall be included as the subject of integrity verification.
  - If physically separated TOE components exist, integrity verification shall be performed by selecting the subjects to include all components.
  - A function for the administrator to perform integrity verification shall be provided.
  - The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy 'protection when storing cryptographic key' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- o If the operating system kernel or kernel level module is included in the scope of the TOE, the TOE shall provide a function to verify the integrity of the operating system kernel or kernel level module.
- When verifying integrity by hash value comparison method, the cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy 'protection when storing cryptographic key' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- o The TOE shall provide a function for the administrator to check the contents and results of the integrity verification.
- The contents and results of the integrity verification shall be checked through *screen display and audit records*.
- o The TOE shall perform response function if integrity verification fails.
- The TOE shall perform its own implemented response function or the response function set by the administrator.
  - Audit records shall be generated for integrity verification results.
  - Examples of response functions performed when the integrity verification result is a failure are as follows.
    - *Interrupt program execution, warning message screen display, etc.*
  - A security management function may be provided for the administrator to set the response function.

### **3. TOE agents, clients, management consoles integrity verification, response function, and audit record generation**

- o The agent or client shall provide the function to verify the integrity of the TOE setting values and its own at the initialization phase and periodically or at the request of authorized administrators.
- Integrity verification covers agent or client setting values(*policies, environment settings, etc.*) and the TOE itself (*executable files, filter drivers, etc.*).

- In the case of a TOE running on a Windows® operating system, the modification shall be detected during normal booting of the operating system, if integrity is compromised in the safe mode of the operating system.
- In the case that integrity verification is performed periodically or at the request of authorized administrators, △when an abnormality occurs in the integrity verification result, △the integrity verification result by the administrator shall be notified to the administrator.
- Audit records shall be generated for integrity verification results.
- Cryptographic-related parts shall satisfy the 'protection when storing encryption keys' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- o The agent or client shall provide a function to can recover modified information(setting values, executable files, filter drivers, etc.).
  - 'Modified information' shall identify and include all files that affect the normal operation and of security functions of the TOE.
  - 'Agent Type1' shall provide an automatic recovery function, and △Agent Type2 △Agent Type 3 and △Client Type may provide a manual recovery function.
- o In the case of an agent or client installed on the endpoint in Windows® environment, the agent or client shall provide an integrity verification function for the server/update server address.
- o If there are two or more servers or update servers on the file transfer path, the receiving server shall perform integrity verification for the address of the sending server.

### 5.1.7. TOE access (FTA)

#### 5.1.7.1. FTA\_MCS.2 Per user attribute limitation on multiple concurrent sessions

Hierarchical to FTA\_MCS.1 Basic limitation on multiple concurrent sessions  
 Dependencies FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification

FTA\_MCS.2.1 The TSF shall restrict the maximum number of concurrent sessions belonging to the same user according to the rules [limiting the maximum number of concurrent sessions to 1 for users who have the same privilege and the same user, rules on the maximum number of concurrent sessions {determined by the ST author}].

FTA\_MCS.2.2 The TSF shall enforce a limit of [1] session per user by default.

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall not allow duplicate access to the TOE with the same user account or the same privilege.
  - If a user logs in with the same account on another terminal after logging in, it is required to block a new access or terminate the previous access.

- Duplicate logins with the same privilege shall not be allowed.
- An audit record should be generated when duplicate access is blocked.

#### 5.1.7.2. FTA\_TSE.1(1) TOE session establishment

Hierarchical to            No other components.  
 Dependencies            No dependencies.

FTA\_TSE.1.1            The TSF shall be able to deny **the administrator's management access session** establishment based on [access IP, [selection: [assignment: *important management function attributes*], *none*]].

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide a function to restrict the IP of the accessible management terminals.
  - It shall be possible to register, delete, and change the IP address of the management terminals.
  - Management terminals accessible to administrators who only have read access instead of for management purposes(e.g., *monitoring administrators*) can be additionally registered for operation.
  - The IP of accessible management terminals can be added one by one at a time as a host IP.
  - It is not allowed to register by designating an IP address range such as 192.168.10.2~253, or by using 0.0.0.0, 192.168.10.\*, any, which means the entire network range.

## 5.2. Security functional requirement (Conditional mandatory SFR)

'Conditional mandatory SFRs' in this PP are as follows. 'Conditional mandatory SFRs' mandatorily require to be included in the ST, if they meet 'the additional conditions for the ST' in the table below.

Security functional class	Security functional component		SFR additional conditions	Remark
FAU	FAU_STG.1	Protected audit trail storage	In case of the TOE server stores audit records in local storage	
	FAU_STG.3	Action in case of possible audit data loss	In case of the TOE server stores audit records in local storage	
	FAU_STG.4	Prevention of audit data loss	In case of the TOE server stores audit records in local storage	
FDP	FDP_ACC.1	Subset access control	In case of implementing label-based access control method	If you choose to provide a label-based access control method, you must implement both mandatory and discretionary access control policies
	FDP_ACF.1(2)	Access control based on security attributes	In case of implementing label-based access control method	
FIA	FIA_IIA.1	TOE component identification and authentication	When the TOE component includes the server and the agent receiving the security policy from the server.	
	FIA_UAU.5	Multi-authentication mechanism	In case of the TOE server supports additional identification and authentication functions by itself in addition to the ID/password-based authentication method	
FPT	FPT_LEE.1	Linkable external entities (Extended) - authentication	In case of the TOE server supports additional identification and authentication functions by interacting with external IT entities in addition to the ID/password-based authentication method	
	FPT_RCV.1	Manual recovery	In case of TOE components include agents or clients	
	FPT_RCV.2	Automated	In case of TOE server update	

Security functional class	Security functional component		SFR additional conditions	Remark
		recovery	function is provided	
	FPT_TUD.1	TSF security patch update (Extended)	In case of TOE update function is provided	
FTA	FTA_SSL.1	TSF-initiated session locking	In case of TOE provides session locking function	One of the two must be implemented
	FTA_SSL.3	TSF-initiated termination	In case of TOE provides session termination function	
	FTA_TSE.1(2)	TOE session establishment	In case of it is necessary to identify and authenticate users existing in the agent, management console, or client constituting the TOE	
FTP	FTP_ITC.1	Inter-TSF trusted channel	In case of interacting with external IT entities is supported	
			In case of audit records are transmitted and stored to external IT entities in real time	
			In case of providing the online update function through the developer update server.	
	FTP_TRP.1	Trusted path	In case of authorized administrators and general users directly access the management server through web browsers or terminal access programs, etc.	

[Table 6] Conditional mandatory SFRs

### 5.2.1. Security audit (FAU)

#### 5.2.1.1. FAU\_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage

Hierarchical to No other components  
 Dependencies FAU\_GEN.1 Audit data generation

FAU\_STG.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored audit records in the audit trail from unauthorized deletion.

FAU\_STG.1.2 The TSF shall be able to *prevent* unauthorized modifications to the stored audit records in the audit trail.

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall protect the audit records from being deleted or changed.
  - A function shall be implemented to store audit records in a local storage or to transmit and store audit records to an external IT entity in real time.
  - Relevant user interface(UI) and CLI commands shall not be provided so that even authorized administrators cannot delete or change audit records.

- Unauthorized person's access shall be controlled to protect the stored audit records.
- If the TOE security function cannot be fully implemented, the TOE operational environment can support the protected audit trail storage.
  - Example: When audit records are stored in the DBMS installed on the same operating system as the TOE, the DBMS' identification and authentication functions can be used to protect deletion or modification by unauthorized users.
- If audit records are stored in the log server outside the TOE, encrypted communication shall be performed.
  - If syslog is supported, encrypted transmission shall be supported through *syslog over DTLS(RFC 5424), syslog over DTLS(RFC 6012), etc.*

#### 5.2.1.2. FAU\_STG.3 Action in case of possible audit data loss

Hierarchical to        No other components  
 Dependencies         FAU\_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage

FAU\_STG.3.1         The TSF shall [Notification to the authorized administrator, [assignment: *actions to be taken in case of possible audit storage failure*] if the audit trail exceeds [assignment: *pre-defined limit*].

#### Application notes

- o In case of the size of the audit record reaches the predefined capacity, the TOE shall take response actions such as *notifying the administrator*.
  - A function shall be implemented to store audit records in the local storage or to transmit and store audit records to an external IT entity in real time.
  - A function to notify the administrator shall be provided. Examples of the function are as follows.
    - *Screen alarm, sending email to the administrator, etc.*
  - Examples of conditions for notifying the administrator in response to audit record loss are as follows.
    - *90% or more of the setup disk capacity, 100MB or more, etc.*
- In addition, a function for the administrator to send audit records to an external log server may be provided.
  - If syslog is supported, encrypted transmission shall be supported through *syslog over DTLS(RFC 5424), syslog over DTLS(RFC 6012), etc.*
  - The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy the 'protection when storing cryptographic key' requirements of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.

#### 5.2.1.3. FAU\_STG.4 Prevention of audit data loss

Hierarchical to FAU\_STG.3 Action in case of possible audit data loss  
 Dependencies FAU\_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage

FAU\_STG.4.1 The TSF shall [selection, choose one of: *"ignore audited events"*, *"prevent audited events, except those taken by the authorised user with special rights"*, *"overwrite the oldest stored audit records"*] and [assignment: *other actions to be taken in case of audit storage failure*] if the audit trail is full.

#### Application notes

- o In case of the audit record storage capacity is full, the TOE shall respond to failure to save in an appropriate way.
- A function shall be implemented to store audit records in a local storage or to transmit and store audit records to an external IT entity in real time.
- Examples of response functions in case of failure to save are as follows.
  - *Overwriting the oldest audit records, save audit records compression, etc.*

## 5.2.2. User data protection (FDP)

5.2.2.1. FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control (Discretionary access control)

Hierarchical to No other components  
 Dependencies FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

FDP\_ACC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [Discretionary access control Policy] on [assignment: *list of subjects, objects, and operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP*].

#### Application notes

- o In case of the label-based access control, the TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the discretionary access control policy set by the authorized administrator.

5.2.2.2. FDP\_ACF.1(2) Security attribute based access control(2) (Discretionary access control)

Hierarchical to No other components  
 Dependencies FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control  
 FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation

FDP\_ACF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [discretionary access control policy] to objects based on the following: [assignment: *list of subjects and objects controlled under the indicated SFP, and for each, the SFP-relevant security attributes, or named groups of SFP-relevant security attributes*].

- FDP\_ACF.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [assignment: *rules governing access among controlled subjects and controlled objects using controlled operations on controlled objects*].
- FDP\_ACF.1.3 The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [assignment: *rules, based on security attributes, that explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects*].
- FDP\_ACF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [assignment: *rules, based on security attributes, that explicitly deny access of subjects to objects*].

## Application notes

**[When implementing label-based access control]**

- o The TOE shall restrict the operations that can be performed according to the discretionary access control policy set by the authorized administrator.
  - When supporting discretionary access control policy, the operations that can be performed shall be restricted according to the subject's user identity and the identity of the group to which the subject's user belongs.
    - For each operation, the processing rule (allow or block) shall be defined for the case where the identity of the user or group matches or does not match the identity of the user or group specified in the access control attribute of the object.

**5.2.3. Identification and authentication (FIA)**

## 5.2.3.1. FIA\_IIA.1 TOE Internal identification and authentication

Hierarchical to No other components

Dependencies No dependencies

FPT\_IIA.1.1 The TSF shall perform identification and authentication of [assignment: *different parts of TOE*] through [assignment: *TOE component identification methods*] and [assignment: *TOE component authentication methods*] by [assignment: *different parts of TOE*].

## Application notes

- o If the TOE component includes the server and the agent receiving the security policy from the server, the agent shall perform identification and authentication to confirm that it is a legitimate server.



- The agent shall perform identification and authentication to confirm that it is a legitimate server.
- One of the server IP address and domain name must be included in the server identification information, and additional identification information can be used.
- The authentication method for the server includes *a certificate-based method, etc.*
- When using a certificate, verification of the validity of the certificate (within one year of validity) shall be performed.

#### 5.2.3.2. FIA\_UAU.5 Multiple authentication mechanisms

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FIA\_UAU.5.1 The TSF shall provide [password authentication mechanism, [assignment: *list of additional authentication mechanism*]] to support user authentication.

FIA\_UAU.5.2 The TSF shall authenticate any user's claimed identity according to the [assignment: *rules describing how the multiple authentication mechanisms provide authentication*].

#### Application notes

o In case of the TOE supports additional identification and authentication methods, the TOE shall provide additional identification and authentication functions on its own or by interacting with external IT entities, in parallel with user account/password-based identification and authentication.

- In order to provide additional identification and authentication functions, *△2FA support device complying with FIDO standards, △certificates, △one-time password generator(OTP), etc.* can be used.
  - If it is supported in the TOE operational environment, '2FA support device complying with FIDO standards' is recommended.
- If additional identification and authentication functions are provided in the TOE, the functions can be provided by receiving the authentication results from the inside of the TOE or from the interacted external IT entities.
  - If the TOE provides a certification utilization method, certificate validation shall be performed.
  - The authentication information used by external IT entities to perform additional identification and authentication methods shall be securely managed by the external IT entities. If the TOE stores authentication information use to perform additional identification and authentication methods, the requirements of FPT\_PST.1 shall be applied.

### 5.2.4. Protection of the TSF (FPT)

#### 5.2.4.1. FPT\_LEE.1 Linkable external entities (Extended) - authentication

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies No dependencies.

FPT\_LEE.1.1 The TSF shall perform [assignment: *list of actions*] and provide [assignment: *list of functions*] by linking with external entities.

#### Application notes

o In case of the TOE supports additional identification and authentication methods, the TOE shall provide additional identification and authentication functions on its own or by interacting with external IT entities, in parallel with user account/password-based identification and authentication.

- In order to provide additional identification and authentication functions, *△2FA support device complying with FIDO standards, △certificates, △one-time password generator(OTP), etc.* can be used.

- If it is supported in the TOE operational environment, '2FA support device complying with FIDO standards' is recommended.

- If additional identification and authentication functions are provided in the TOE, the functions can be provided by receiving the authentication results from the inside of the TOE or from the interacted external IT entities.

- If the TOE provides a certification utilization method, certificate validation shall be performed.

- The authentication information used by external IT entities to perform additional identification and authentication methods shall be securely managed by the external IT entities. If the TOE stores authentication information use to perform additional identification and authentication methods, the requirements of FPT\_PST.1 shall be applied.

#### 5.2.4.2. FPT\_RCV.1 Manual recovery

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies AGD\_OPE.1 Operational user guidance

FPT\_RCV.1.1 After [assignment: *list of failures/service discontinuities*] the TSF shall enter a maintenance mode where the ability to return to a secure state is provided..

#### Application notes

o The agent or client shall provide a function to can recover modified information(*setting values, executable files, filter drivers, etc.*).

- 'Modified information' shall identify and include all files that affect the normal operation and of security functions of the TOE.
- 'Agent Type1' shall provide an automatic recovery function, and  $\Delta$ Agent Type2  $\Delta$ Agent Type3 and  $\Delta$ Client Type may provide a manual recovery function.

5.2.4.3. FPT\_RCV.2 Automated recovery

Hierarchical to FRP\_RCV.1 Manual recovery  
 Dependencies AGD\_OPE.1 Operational user guidance

FPT\_RCV.2.1 When automated recovery from [assignment: *list of failures/service discontinuities*] is not possible, the TSF shall enter a maintenance mode where the ability to return to a secure state is provided.

FPT\_RCV.2.2 For [assignment: *list of failures/service discontinuities*], the TSF shall ensure the return of the TOE to a secure state using automated procedures.

Application notes

- o If the update function is provided, the TOE shall provide a function to automatically maintain the existing version when the update installation fails.
- If it is not supported by the TOE, manual recovery by the administrator shall be supported.
- The sponsor shall describe the manual recovery procedure by the administrator in detail in the deliverables.

5.2.4.4. FPT\_TUD.1 TSF security patch update (Extended)

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies No dependencies.

FPT\_TUD.1.1 The TSF shall provide the capability to view the **unique identification** to [assignment: *the authorized identified roles*].

FPT\_TUD.1.2 The TSF shall verify validity of the update files using [selection: *hash value comparison, digital signature verification, [assignment: other secure validation mechanism]* ] before installing updates.

Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide a function for users to check the 'unique identification information of the TOE'.
- The TOE identification information shall be unique, can be checked by users through the interface, and cannot be modified or changed. It shall include the following.
  - TOE name, TOE version, TOE release or build number
- If the TOE includes multiple components that are physically separated, the identification information of each component shall be unique, can be checked, and cannot be modified or changed by users. It shall include the following:

- The name and version of the TOE including the component, The component name, The component version, The component release or build number.

- A version management system that can check whether the TOE and TOE components are patched and functionally improved should be applied.

(e.g., In case of patch and function improvement, a system for changing the major version, minor version, release number, and build number for each case is established to track the reason for the change of TOE/TOE components with version information)

- In case of hardware appliances, users shall be able to view the unique identification information of the firmware in addition to TOE identification information through TOE interface.

o In case of providing the update function, the TOE shall verify the validity of the TOE update files before installing or applying the update files.

- If the TOE provides online update or manual update function, only the update files that have succeeded in verification of the validity shall be installed or applied.

- Integrity verification is mandatory when verify the validity of the update files, and shall be implemented using *digital signature verification, public hash value verification, etc.*

- When verifying the digital signature, verification of the validity of the certificate (within 1 year of validity) shall be performed.

- Cryptographic algorithm and cryptographic key security shall satisfy FCS class requirements.

- Update file validation results (success • failure) shall be audited and recorded.

o If the update function is provided, the TOE shall provide a function to automatically maintain the existing version when the update installation fails.

- An audit record shall be generated for the update installation result and the reason for failure.

- If it is not supported by the TOE, manual recovery by the administrator shall be supported.

- The developer shall describe the manual recovery procedure by the administrator in detail in the deliverables.

o In the case of an agent or client installed on the endpoint in Windows® environment, the agent or client shall perform the digital signature verification on the subject of file generation of the update target files received from the server or update server.

- It shall be applied to the agent or client existing on the endpoint where Windows® operating system is installed.

- All files that are irrelevant to TOE configuration without being included in installation files and policy files(e.g., patch files, general executable files, etc.) are not allowed to be distributed to agents and clients.

- In case of verifying the digital signature, verification of the validity of the certificate(within 1 year of validity) shall be performed.

- The update file digital signature verification result(success, failure) shall be recorded in the

audit record.

- The cryptographic-related part shall satisfy the FCS class requirements.
- Developers or administrators (who perform digital signatures on update files) shall perform digital signatures on the separate offline server that is disconnected from the Internet.
- o In the case of an agent or client installed on the endpoint in Windows® environment, the agent or client shall provide an integrity verification function for the server/update server address.
- o If there are two or more servers or update servers on the file transfer path, the receiving server shall perform integrity verification for the address of the sending server.

## 5.2.5. TOE access (FTA)

### 5.2.5.1. FTA\_SSL.1 TSF-initiated Session locking

Hierarchical to No other components.

Dependencies FIA\_UAU.1 Timing of authentication

FTA\_SSL.1.1 The TSF shall lock the interactive session after [assignment: *time interval of user inactivity*] by:

- a) clearing or overwriting display devices, making the current contents unreadable;
- b) disabling any activity of the user's data access/display devices other than unlocking the session.

FTA\_SSL.1.2 The TSF shall require the following events to occur prior to unlocking the session: [ *selection: unlocking session by the administrator, user re-authentication before unlocking session* ].

#### Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide a function to lock or terminate the session if it is not used for a certain period of time after the user session is connected.
  - The time information used shall be applied based on the server time.
  - A certain period of time refers to the amount of time accumulated after a connection that triggers session locking or termination.
    - A certain period of time can be fixed by the administrator among 10 minutes or less or set in proportion to the number of authentication failures.
  - After the lock time has elapsed, a locked session shall be unlocked by the administrator or through the user authentication function for each session.
  - An audit record shall be generated when the session lock or termination function is activated.

- It shall be applied to all management and local access included in the TOE.

#### 5.2.5.2. FTA\_SSL.3 TSF-initiated termination

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies No dependencies.

FTA\_SSL.3.1 The TSF shall terminate an interactive session after a [assignment: *time interval of user inactivity*].

##### Application notes

- o The TOE shall provide a function to lock or terminate the session if it is not used for a certain period of time after the user session is connected.
  - The time information used shall be applied based on the server time.
  - A certain period of time refers to the amount of time accumulated after a connection that triggers session locking or termination.
    - A certain period of time can be fixed by the administrator among 10 minutes or less or set in proportion to the number of authentication failures.
  - After the lock time has elapsed, a locked session shall be unlocked by the administrator or through the user authentication function for each session.
  - An audit record shall be generated when the session lock or termination function is activated.
  - It shall be applied to all management and local access included in the TOE.

#### 5.2.5.3. FTA\_TSE.1(2) TOE session establishment

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies No dependencies.

FTA\_TSE.1.1 The TSF shall be able to deny session establishment based on [assignment: ***list of additional attributes of agent or client***].

##### Application notes

- o In case of it is necessary to identify and authenticate a user existing in the agent or client constituting the TOE, the identification value shall be a unique value that is not registered in duplicate.
  - During user authentication, additional attributes of the registered agent or client shall also be authenticated.
  - Additional attributes: IP address is mandatory, and at least one of *MAC address, serial number, and information that can uniquely identify the agent itself* shall be additionally used.

## 5.2.6. Trusted path/channels (FTP)

### 5.2.6.1. FTP\_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel

Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies No dependencies.

FTP\_ITC.1.1 The TSF shall provide a communication channel between itself and another trusted IT product that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.

FTP\_ITC.1.2 The TSF shall permit [selection: *the TSF, another trusted IT product*] to initiate communication via the trusted channel.

FTP\_ITC.1.3 The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for [assignment: *list of functions for which a trusted channel is required*].

#### Application notes

- o In case of interacting with external IT entities is supported, the TOE shall transmit data using an encrypted communication channel to protect the transmitted data when interacting with external IT entities.
  - For secure cryptographic communication, confidentiality and integrity shall be provided using standard protocols.
    - Secure cryptographic communication protocols include *HTTPS (implemented using TLS), TLS (TLS 1.2-RFC5246 or higher), SSH (SSH V2-RFC 4251, 4254), etc.*
  - Use of its own protocol is not allowed.
  - The cryptographic communication channel can be implemented directly in the TOE or to be provided by the TOE using the operating environment.
  - This requirement shall be applied when the TOE provides a function that interacting with external IT entities to provide a security function.
  - If transmission data is not protected using an cryptographic communication channel when interacting with external IT entities, the needlessness to protect the confidentiality and integrity of transmitted data shall be proven.
  - Communication services that do not support cryptographic communication channels shall be able to be disabled.
  - The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy the requirements of 'protection when storing cryptographic key' of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.
- o In case of audit records are stored in a log server outside the TOE, cryptographic communication shall be performed.
  - If syslog is supported, encrypted transmission shall be supported through *syslog over*

DTLS(RFC 5424), syslog over DTLS(RFC 6012), etc.

#### 5.2.6.2. FTP\_TRP.1 Trusted path

Hierarchical to Dependencies      No other components.  
No dependencies.

FTP\_TRP.1.1      The TSF shall provide a communication path between itself and [selection: *remote, local*] users that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from *modification, disclosure, [assignment: other types of integrity or confidentiality violation]*.

FTP\_TRP.1.2      The TSF shall permit [selection: *the TSF, local users, remote users*] to initiate communication via the trusted path.

FTP\_TRP.1.3      The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for [selection: ***the authentication of management access administrator***, *[assignment: other services for which trusted path is required]* ].

#### Application notes

- During management access, the TOE shall transmit data using an cryptographic communication channel to protect the transmitted data.
  - For secure cryptographic communication, confidentiality and integrity shall be provided using standard protocols.
    - Secure cryptographic communication protocols include *HTTPS (implemented using TLS), TLS (TLS 1.2-RFC5246 or higher), SSH (SSH V2-RFC 4251, 4254), etc.*
  - Use of its own protocol is not allowed.
  - The cryptographic communication channel can be implemented directly in the TOE or to be provided by the TOE using the operational environment.
  - The cryptographic algorithm used, cryptographic key security, and cryptographic key storage method shall satisfy the requirements of 'protection when storing cryptographic key' of FCS class and FPT\_PST.1.



### 5.3. Security function requirements (optional SFRs)

The 'optional SFRs' in this PP are as follows. The 'optional SFRs' are not required to be implemented mandatorily, but if the TOE provides relevant functions additionally, the ST author shall include the corresponding SFRs in the ST.

Security function class	Security functional component	
Cryptographic support (FCS)	FCS_CKM.2	Cryptographic key distribution
Protection of the TSF (FPT)	FPT_STM.1	Reliable timestamp

[Table 7] Optional SFRs

#### 5.3.1. Cryptographic support (FCS)

5.3.1.1. FCS\_CKM.2 Cryptographic key distribution  
 Hierarchical to No other components.  
 Dependencies [FDP\_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or FDP\_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation] FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction

FCS\_CKM.2.1 The TSF shall distribute cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key distribution method [assignment: *cryptographic key distribution method*] that meets the following: [assignment: *list of standards*].

#### Application notes

- o FCS\_CKM.2 cryptographic key distribution is a selectively implementable functional requirement('optional SFRs'), and if the TOE additionally provides the above function, the ST author shall include this requirement in the SFR.
- o If the ST author includes this SFR, security problem definition and security objectives shall be derived additionally, if necessary.
- o The key used in the cryptographic key establishment method defined in FCS\_CKM.2.1 shall be related to the key generated in FCS\_CKM.1.1 of FCS\_CKM.1.

### 5.3.2. Protection of the TSF (FPT)

#### 5.3.2.1. FTP\_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

Hierarchical to        No other components.  
Dependencies         No dependencies.

FTP\_STM.1.1         The TSF shall be able to provide the reliable timestamp.

#### Application notes

- o Each component of the TOE shall generate audit records using trusted time information.
- Trusted time information shall use the time information provided by the NTP server or operating system.

## 5.4. Security assurance requirements

Assurance requirements of this Protection Profile are comprised of assurance components in CC part 3, and the evaluation assurance level is EAL1+. The following table summarizes assurance components.

Security assurance class	Security assurance component	
Security Target evaluation	ASE_INT.1	ST introduction
	ASE_CCL.1	Conformance claims
	ASE_OBJ.1	Security objectives for the operational environment
	ASE_ECD.1	Extended components definition
	ASE_REQ.1	Stated security requirements
	ASE_TSS.1	TOE summary specification
Development	ADV_FSP.1	Basic functional specification
Guidance documents	AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance
	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative procedures
Life-cycle support	ALC_CMC.1	Labelling of the TOE
	ALC_CMS.1	TOE CM coverage
Tests	ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing
	ATE_IND.1	Independent testing - conformance
Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.1	Vulnerability survey

[Table 8] Security assurance requirements

### 5.4.1. Security Target evaluation

#### 5.4.1.1. ASE\_INT.1 ST introduction

Dependencies            No dependencies.

Developer action elements

ASE\_INT.1.1D            The developer shall provide an ST introduction.

Content and presentation elements

ASE\_INT.1.1C            The ST introduction shall contain an ST reference, a TOE reference, a TOE overview and a TOE description.

ASE\_INT.1.2C            The ST reference shall uniquely identify the ST.

ASE\_INT.1.3C            The TOE reference shall uniquely identify the TOE.

ASE_INT.1.4C	The TOE overview shall summarise the usage and major security features of the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.5C	The TOE overview shall identify the TOE type.
ASE_INT.1.6C	The TOE overview shall identify any non-TOE hardware/software/firmware required by the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.7C	The TOE description shall describe the physical scope of the TOE.
ASE_INT.1.8C	The TOE description shall describe the logical scope of the TOE.

Evaluator action elements

ASE_INT.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ASE_INT.1.2E	The evaluator shall confirm that the TOE reference, the TOE overview, and the TOE description are consistent with each other.

5.4.1.2. ASE\_CCL.1 Conformance claims

Dependencies	ASE_INT.1 ST introduction ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements
--------------	---

Developer action elements

ASE_CCL.1.1D	The developer shall provide a conformance claim.
ASE_CCL.1.2D	The developer shall provide a conformance claim rationale.

Content and presentation elements

ASE_CCL.1.1C	The conformance claim shall contain a CC conformance claim that identifies the version of the CC to which the ST and the TOE claim conformance.
ASE_CCL.1.2C	The CC conformance claim shall describe the conformance of the ST to CC Part 2 as either CC Part 2 conformant or CC Part 2 extended.
ASE_CCL.1.3C	The CC conformance claim shall describe the conformance of the ST to CC Part 3 as either CC Part 3 conformant or CC Part 3 extended.
ASE_CCL.1.4C	The CC conformance claim shall be consistent with the extended components definition.
ASE_CCL.1.5C	The conformance claim shall identify all PPs and security requirement packages to which the ST claims conformance.
ASE_CCL.1.6C	The conformance claim shall describe any conformance of the ST to a package as either package-conformant or package-augmented.
ASE_CCL.1.7C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the TOE type is consistent with the TOE type in the PPs for which conformance is being

	claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.8C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the statement of the security problem definition is consistent with the statement of the security problem definition in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.9C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the statement of security objectives is consistent with the statement of security objectives in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
ASE_CCL.1.10C	The conformance claim rationale shall demonstrate that the statement of security requirements is consistent with the statement of security requirements in the PPs for which conformance is being claimed.
Evaluator action elements	
ASE_CCL.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

---

#### 5.4.1.3. ASE\_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment

Dependencies	No dependencies.
Developer action elements	
ASE_OBJ.1.1D	The developer shall provide a statement of security objectives.
Content and presentation elements	
ASE_OBJ.1.1C	The statement of security objectives shall describe the security objectives for the operational environment.
Evaluator action elements	
ASE_OBJ.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

---

#### 5.4.1.4. ASE\_ECD.1 Extended components definition

Dependencies	No dependencies.
Developer action elements	
ASE_ECD.1.1D	The developer shall provide a statement of security requirements.
ASE_ECD.1.2D	The developer shall provide an extended components definition.
Content and presentation elements	

ASE_ECD.1.1C	The statement of security requirements shall identify all extended security requirements.
ASE_ECD.1.2C	The extended components definition shall define an extended component for each extended security requirement.
ASE_ECD.1.3C	The extended components definition shall describe how each extended component is related to the existing CC components, families, and classes.
ASE_ECD.1.4C	The extended components definition shall use the existing CC components, families, classes, and methodology as a model for presentation.
ASE_ECD.1.5C	The extended components shall consist of measurable and objective elements such that conformance or nonconformance to these elements can be demonstrated.
Evaluator action elements	
ASE_ECD.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ASE_ECD.1.2E	The evaluator shall confirm that no extended component can be clearly expressed using existing components.

---

5.4.1.5. ASE\_REQ.1 Stated security requirements

Dependencies	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
Developer action elements	
ASE_REQ.1.1D	The developer shall provide a statement of security requirements.
ASE_REQ.1.2D	The developer shall provide a security requirements rationale.
Content and presentation elements	
ASE_REQ.1.1C	The statement of security requirements shall describe the SFRs and the SARs.
ASE_REQ.1.2C	All subjects, objects, operations, security attributes, external entities and other terms that are used in the SFRs and the SARs shall be defined.
ASE_REQ.1.3C	The statement of security requirements shall identify all operations on the security requirements.
ASE_REQ.1.4C	All operations shall be performed correctly.
ASE_REQ.1.5C	Each dependency of the security requirements shall either be satisfied, or the security requirements rationale shall justify the dependency not being satisfied.
ASE_REQ.1.6C	The statement of security requirements shall be internally consistent.
Evaluator action	

elements

ASE\_REQ.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

---

#### 5.4.1.6. ASE\_TSS.1 TOE summary specification

Dependencies ASE\_INT.1 ST introduction  
 ASE\_REQ.1 Stated security requirements  
 ADV\_FSP.1 Basic functional specification

Developer action elements

ASE\_TSS.1.1D The developer shall provide a TOE summary specification

Evaluator action elements

ASE\_TSS.1.1C The TOE summary specification shall describe how the TOE meets each SFR.

Evaluator action elements

ASE\_TSS.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ASE\_TSS.1.2E The evaluator shall confirm that the TOE summary specification is consistent with the TOE overview and the TOE description.

---

## 5.4.2. Development

### 5.4.2.1. ADV\_FSP.1 Basic functional specification

Dependencies No dependencies.

Developer action elements

ADV\_FSP.1.1D The developer shall provide a functional specification.

ADV\_FSP.1.2D The developer shall provide a tracing from the functional specification to the SFRs.

Content and presentation elements

ADV\_FSP.1.1C The functional specification shall describe the purpose and method of use for each SFR-enforcing and SFR-supporting TSFI.

ADV\_FSP.1.2C The functional specification shall identify all parameters associated with each SFR-enforcing and SFR-supporting TSFI.

ADV\_FSP.1.3C The functional specification shall provide rationale for the implicit categorization of interfaces as SFR-non-interfering.

ADV\_FSP.1.4C The tracing shall demonstrate that the SFRs trace to TSFIs in the functional specification.

## Evaluator action elements

ADV_FSP.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ADV_FSP.1.2E	The evaluator shall determine that the functional specification is an accurate and complete instantiation of the SFRs.

---

**5.4.3. Guidance documents**

## 5.4.3.1. AGD\_OPE.1 Operational user guidance

Dependencies      ADV\_FSP.1 Basic functional specification

## Developer action elements

AGD\_OPE.1.1D      The developer shall provide operational user guidance.

## Content and presentation elements

AGD_OPE.1.1C	The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the user-accessible functions and privileges that should be controlled in a secure processing environment, including appropriate warnings.
AGD_OPE.1.2C	The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, how to use the available interfaces provided by the TOE in a secure manner.
AGD_OPE.1.3C	The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the available functions and interfaces, in particular all security parameters under the control of the user, indicating secure values as appropriate.
AGD_OPE.1.4C	The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, clearly present each type of security-relevant event relative to the user-accessible functions that need to be performed, including changing the security characteristics of entities under the control of the TSF.
AGD_OPE.1.5C	The operational user guidance shall identify all possible modes of operation of the TOE (including operation following failure or operational error), their consequences and implications for maintaining secure operation.
AGD_OPE.1.6C	The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, describe the security measures to be followed in order to fulfil the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.
AGD_OPE.1.7C	The operational user guidance shall be clear and reasonable.

## Evaluator action elements

AGD\_OPE.1.1E      The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

---



### 5.4.3.2. AGD\_PRE.1 Preparative procedures

Dependencies No dependencies.

#### Developer action elements

AGD\_PRE.1.1D The developer shall provide the TOE including its preparative procedures.

#### Content and presentation elements

AGD\_PRE1.1C The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure acceptance of the delivered TOE in accordance with the developer's delivery procedures.

AGD\_PRE1.2C The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure installation of the TOE and for the secure preparation of the operational environment in accordance with the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.

#### Evaluator action elements

AGD\_PRE.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

AGD\_PRE.1.2E The evaluator shall apply the preparative procedures to confirm that the TOE can be prepared securely for operation.

## 5.4.4. Life-cycle support

### 5.4.4.1. ALC\_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE

Dependencies ALC\_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage

#### Developer action elements

ALC\_CMC.1.1D The developer shall provide the TOE and a reference for the TOE.

#### Content and presentation elements

ALC\_CMC.1.1C The TOE shall be labelled with its unique reference.

#### Evaluator action elements

ALC\_CMC.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meet requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

### 5.4.4.2. ALC\_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage

Dependencies	No dependencies.
Developer action elements	
ALC_CMS.1.1D	The developer shall provide a configuration list for the TOE.
Content and presentation elements	
ALC_CMS.1.1C	The configuration list shall include the following: the TOE itself; and the evaluation evidence required by the SARs.
ALC_CMS.1.2C	The configuration list shall uniquely identify the configuration items.
Evaluator action elements	
ALC_CMS.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

---

### 5.4.5. Tests

#### 5.4.5.1. ATE\_FUN.1 Functional testing

Dependencies	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage
Developer action elements	
ATE_FUN.1.1D	The developer shall test the TSF and document the results.
ATE_FUN.1.2D	The developer shall provide test documentation.
Content and presentation elements	
ATE_FUN.1.1C	The test documentation shall consist of test plans, expected test results and actual test results.
ATE_FUN.1.2C	The test plans shall identify the tests to be performed and describe the scenarios for performing each test. These scenarios shall include any ordering dependencies on the results of other tests.
ATE_FUN.1.3C	The expected test results shall show the anticipated outputs from a successful execution of the tests.
ATE_FUN.1.4C	The actual test results shall be consistent with the expected test results.
Evaluator action elements	
ATE_FUN.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

---

#### 5.4.5.2. ATE\_IND.1 Independent testing - conformance

Dependencies	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures
Developer action elements	
ATE_IND.1.1D	The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.
Content and presentation elements	
ATE_IND.1.1C	The TOE shall be suitable for testing.
Evaluator action elements	
ATE_IND.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ATE_IND.1.2E	The evaluator shall test a subset of the TSF to confirm that the TSF operates as specified.

---

## 5.4.6. Vulnerability assessment

### 5.4.6.1. AVA\_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey

Dependencies	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures
Developer action elements	
AVA_VAN.1.1D	The developer shall provide the TOE for testing
Content and presentation elements	
AVA_VAN.1.1C	The TOE shall be suitable for testing.
Evaluator action elements	
AVA_VAN.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
AVA_VAN.1.2E	The evaluator shall perform a search of public domain sources to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.
AVA_VAN.1.3E	The evaluator shall conduct penetration testing, based on the identified potential vulnerabilities, to determine that the TOE is resistant to attacks performed by an attacker possessing Basic attack potential.

---

## 5.5. Security requirements rationale

### 5.5.1. Dependency rationale of security functional requirements

The following table shows dependency of security functional requirements.

No.	Security functional requirements	Dependency	Reference No.	SFR type
1	FAU_ARP.1	FAU_SAA.1	3	Mandatory
2	FAU_GEN.1	FPT.STM.1	Rationale(1)	Mandatory
3	FAU_SAA.1	FAU_GEN.1	2	Mandatory
4	FAU_SAR.1	FAU_GEN.1	2	Mandatory
5	FAU_SAR.3	FAU_SAR.1	4	Mandatory
6	FAU_STG.1	FAU_GEN.1	2	Conditional mandatory
7	FAU_STG.3	FAU_STG.1	Rationale(2)	Conditional mandatory
8	FAU_STG.4	FAU_STG.1	Rationale(2)	Conditional mandatory
9	FCS_CKM.1	[FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1]	10, 12	Mandatory
		FCS_CKM.4	11	
10	FCS_CKM.2	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	9	Optional
		FCS_CKM.4	11	
11	FCS_CKM.4	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	9	Mandatory
12	FCS_COP.1	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	9	Mandatory
		FCS_CKM.4	11	
13	FCS_RBG.1	-	-	Mandatory
14	FDP_ACC.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory
15	FDP_ACC.2	FDP_ACF.1	16	Mandatory
16	FDP_ACF.1(1)	FDP_ACC.1	15	Mandatory
		FMT_MSA.3	31	
17	FDP_ACF.1(2)	FDP_ACC.1	14	Conditional mandatory
		FMT_MSA.3	31	
18	FDP_ITC.1	[FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1]	14, 15	Mandatory
		FMT_MSA.3	30	
19	FDP_RIP.1	-	-	Mandatory

No.	Security functional requirements	Dependency	Reference No.	SFR type
20	FIA_AFL.1	FIA_UAU.1	23	Mandatory
21	FIA_IIA.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory
22	FIA_SOS.1	-	-	Mandatory
23	FIA_UAU.1	FIA_UID.1	27	Mandatory
24	FIA_UAU.4	-	-	Mandatory
25	FIA_UAU.5	-	-	Conditional mandatory
26	FIA_UAU.7	FIA_UAU.1	23	Mandatory
27	FIA_UID.1	-	-	Mandatory
28	FMT_MOF.1	FMT_SMF.1		Mandatory
		FMT_SMR.1		
29	FMT_MSA.1	[FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1]	14, 15	Mandatory
		FMT_SMF.1	33	
		FMT_SMR.1	34	
30	FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1	29	Mandatory
		FMT_SMR.1	34	
31	FMT_MTD.1	FMT_SMF.1	33	Mandatory
		FMT_SMR.1	34	
32	FMT_PWD.1	FMT_SMF.1	33	Mandatory
		FMT_SMR.1	34	Mandatory
33	FMT_SMF.1	-	-	Mandatory
34	FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	27	Mandatory
35	FPT_ITT.1	-	-	Mandatory
36	FPT_LEE.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory
37	FPT_PST.1	-	-	Mandatory
38	FPT_RCV.1	AGD_OPE.1	-	Conditional Mandatory
39	FPT_RCV.2	AGD_OPE.1	-	Conditional mandatory
40	FPT_STM.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory
41	FPT_TST.1	-	-	Mandatory

No.	Security functional requirements	Dependency	Reference No.	SFR type
42	FPT_TUD.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory
43	FTA_MCS.2	FIA_UID.1	27	Mandatory
44	FTA_SSL.1	FIA_UAU.1	23	Conditional mandatory
45	FTA_SSL.3	-	-	Conditional mandatory
46	FTA_TSE.1(1)	-	-	Mandatory
47	FTA_TSE.1(2)	-	-	Conditional mandatory
48	FTP_ITC.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory
49	FTA_TRP.1	-	-	Conditional mandatory

[Table 9] Rationale for the dependency of the security functional requirements

The ST author refers to the table above and prepares a dependency relationship rationale table for the SFRs included in the ST.

Rationale(1) : FAU\_GEN.1 has the dependency on FAU\_STG.1. However, if the pertinent function is implemented by the TOE, the ST author needs to identify the optional SFR (FAU\_STM.1) as the SFR of the ST and describe the pertinent reference number. In addition, if FAU\_STM.1 is supported by the operational environment, the author shall add the security objectives for the operational environment and provide justification that a subordinate relationship is satisfied.

Rationale(2) : FAU\_STG.3 and FAU\_STG.4 have the dependency on FAU\_STG.1. However, if the pertinent function is implemented by the TOE, the ST author needs to identify the optional SFR (FAU\_STG.1) as the SFR of the ST and describe the pertinent reference number. In addition, if FAU\_STG.1 is supported by the operational environment (e.g., DBMS), the author shall add the security objectives for the operational environment and provide justification that a subordinate relationship is satisfied.

### 5.5.2. Dependency rationale of security assurance requirements

The dependency of EAL1 assurance package provided in the CC is already satisfied, the rationale is omitted.

The augmented ATE\_FUN.1 has dependency on ATE\_COV.1. but, ATE\_FUN.1 is augmented to require developer testing in order to check if the developer correctly performed and documented the tests in the test documentation, ATE\_COV.1 is not included in this PP since it is not necessarily required to show the correspondence between the tests and the TSFIs.

## References

Title	Author	Remark
Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 5 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation. Part 1: Introduction and General Model, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (CCMB-2017-04-001)</li> <li>• Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation. Part 2: Security Functional Components, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (CCMB-2017-04-002)</li> <li>• Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation. Part 3: Security Assurance Components, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (CCMB-2017-04-003)</li> </ul>	CCMB	2017. 4
Security Requirements for Government V3.0 for the Information Security Systems and Network Devices <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Part 2, Common security requirements</li> <li>- Part 3, Product security requirements, Product security requirements for access control in operating system(server)</li> </ul>	National Cybersecurity Center,  IT Security Certification Center	2021. 4  2021. 9

## Abbreviated terms

CC	Common Criteria
CCMB	Common Criteria Maintenance Board
CLI	Command Line Interface
DBMS	Data Base Management System
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level
HTTPS	Hypertext Transfer Protocol over Secure Socket Layer
IP	Internet Protocol
IPSec	Internet Protocol Security
IT	Information Technology
LED	Light Emitting Diode
NTP	Network Time Protocol
OTP	One Time Password
PP	Protection Profile
RFC	Request for Comments
SFP	Security Function Policy
SFR	Security Function Requirement
SMS	Short Message Service
SSH	Secure Shell
SSL	Secure Socket Layer
ST	Security Target
TLS	Transport Layer Security
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSF	TOE Security Functionality
UI	User Interface