

ID-One PIV-C on Cosmo V8

FIPS 140-2 Cryptographic Module Security Policy

Id-me PIV

Oberthur Technologies of America 4250 Pleasant Valley Road Chantilly, VA 20151 USA

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

References

ID-One PIV-C on Cosmo V8 Public Security Policy Page 3 / 18

Copyright Oberthur Technologies 2018 This document may be reproduced only in its original entirety without revision.

Table 1 – References

Acronyms and definitions

Table 2 – Acronyms and Definitions

Notation

Hexadecimal numbers in this document are indicated by placing them in single quotation mark (' '). The numbers without the quotes around them represent decimal notation.

Example:

'16' – Represents 0x16, or 16h

16 – Represents decimal number 16

1 Introduction

This document defines the Security Policy for the ID-One PIV-C on Cosmo V8 cryptographic module from Oberthur Technologies, hereafter denoted *the module*. The module, validated to FIPS 140-2 overall Level 3, is a single chip module implementing the Global Platform operational environment, with Card Manager and ID-One PIV-C Applet Suite. The PIV-C applet suite in the module is a commercial equivalent configuration of the PIV applet that while following the PIV suite of specifications (e.g. [SP800-73-4] and [SP800-78-4]) includes additional features and protections.

The FIPS 140-2 security levels for the module are as follows:

Security Requirement	Security Level
Cryptographic Module Specification	3
Cryptographic Module Ports and Interfaces	3
Roles, Services, and Authentication	3
Finite State Model	3
Physical Security	4
Operational Environment	N/A
Cryptographic Key Management	3
EMI/EMC	3
Self-Tests	3
Design Assurance	3
Mitigation of Other Attacks	3

Table 3 – Security Level of Security Requirements

1.1 Versions, Configurations and Modes of operation

Hardware: '0F' Firmware: '5601' Firmware Extension: '082371' with ID-One PIV Applet Suite 2.3.5

The module is available in 3 hardware configurations:

- Contact Only
- Contactless Only
- Dual Interface

The module is always in the Approved mode. The indicator of Approved mode is obtained using the Module Info (Unauthenticated) service to read the value of the FIPS Mode data object (tag '05') within the Card Identification data object (tag 'DF52'). The value read should be '01' (module set in FIPS 140-2 mode of operation during manufacturing).

1.2 Hardware and Physical Cryptographic Boundary

The module is designed to be embedded into a plastic card body, with a contact plate and/or contactless antenna connections, or in a USB token or other standard IC packaging, such as SOIC, QFN or MicroSD.

The physical form of the module is depicted in [Figure 1.](#page-6-2) The cryptographic boundary of the module is the surface and edges of the die and associated bond pads, shown as circles in the figure.

Figure 1 – Physical Form

The contactless ports (if supported) of the module require connection to an antenna. The module relies on [ISO7816] and [ISO14443] card readers and antenna connections as input/output devices.

Table 4 – Ports and Interfaces

1.3 Firmware and Logical Cryptographic Boundary

Figure 2 depicts the module operational environment.

Figure 2 - Module Block Diagram (Cryptographic Boundary Outlined in Red)

Section 3 describes applet functionality in greater detail. The JavaCard and Global Platform APIs are internal interfaces available only to applets. Only applet services are available at the card edge (the interfaces that cross the cryptographic boundary). In the figure above, the Security Domain Verifier prevents loading an unauthorized (unsigned) code package into the module, and does not provide separate services.

All code is executed from ROM and NVM.

The chip family provides accelerators for AES, Triple-DES, RSA, ECC, CRC and an AIS-31 P2 class tested True (HW) RNG. The communications options for contact and contactless configurations are present in the physical circuitry of all members of the processor family, but are selectively enabled during module manufacturing.

2 Cryptographic Functionality

The module implements the Approved and Non-Approved but Allowed cryptographic functions listed in [Table 5](#page-8-1) and [Table 6](#page-9-0) below.

Table 6 – Non-Approved but Allowed Cryptographic Functions

2.1 Critical Security Parameters

All CSPs used by the module are described in this section. All usage of these CSPs by the module are described in the services detailed in Section 4. In the tables below, the OS prefix denotes operating system, the SD prefix denotes the Global Platform Security Domain, the DAP prefix denotes the Global Platform Data Authentication Protocol, and the PIV prefix denotes a PIV Application CSP.

All CSPs, (keys and PINs) except OS-MKEK are store encrypted by OS-MKEK with a corresponding checksum.

Table 7 –OS Critical Security Parameters

Table 8 –PIV Critical Security Parameters

2.2 Public Keys

Table 9 – Public Keys

3 Roles, Authentication and Services

The module:

- Does not support a maintenance role.
- Clears previous authentications on power cycle.
- Supports Global Platform SCP logical channels, allowing concurrent operators in a limited fashion.

Authentication of each operator and their access to roles and services is as described below. Only one operator at a time is permitted on a channel. Applet de-selection (including ISD/Card Manager), card reset or power down terminates the current authentication; re-authentication is required after any of these events for access to authenticated services. Authentication data is encrypted during entry (by SD-SDEK), and is only accessible by authenticated services.

Table 9 lists all operator roles supported by the module.

Table 10 - Roles Supported by the Module

3.1 GP Secure Channel Protocol Authentication Method

The GP Secure Channel Protocol authentication method is provided by the GP *Secure Channel* service. The SD-KENC and SD-KMAC keys are used to derive the SD-SENC and SD-SMAC keys, respectively. The SD-SENC key is used to create a cryptogram; the external entity participating in the mutual authentication also creates this cryptogram. Each participant compares the received cryptogram to the calculated cryptogram and if this succeeds, the two participants are mutually authenticated (the external entity is authenticated to the module in the CO role).

The probability that a random attempt will succeed using this authentication method is:

• 1/2^128 = 2.9E-39 (for any of AES-128/192/256 SD-KENC/SD-SENC, assuming a 128-bit block)

The module enforces a "slowdown mechanism" that increases the response time between two authentications attempts following a failed authentication, such that no more than 9 attempts are possible in a one minute period. The probability that a random attempt will succeed over a one minute interval is:

 \bullet 9/2^128 = 4.4E-38 (for any of AES-128/192/256 SD-KENC/SD-SENC, assuming a 128-bit block)

GP Secure Channel Protocol establishment provides mutual authentication service as well as establishment of a secure channel to protect confidentiality and integrity of the transmitted data.

3.2 PIV-C Symmetric Key Authentication Method

The external entity obtains an 8-byte challenge from the module, encrypts the challenge and sends the cryptogram to the module. The module decrypts the cryptogram, and the external entity is authenticated if the decrypted value matches the challenge. This method is used by the *PIV Authentication* and *Administrator Authentication* services. The strength of authentication using this method is dependent on the algorithm, key size and challenge size used: the minimum strength key used for this method is 3-Key Triple-DES, using 8 bytes (a single Triple-DES block).

The probability that a random attempt will succeed using this authentication method is:

 $1/2$ [^]64 = 5.4E-20

The module enforces a "slowdown mechanism" that increases the response time between two authentications attempt following a failed authentication, such that no more than 9 attempts are possible in a one minute period. The probability that a random attempt will succeed over a one minute interval is:

• $9/2$ ^64 = 4.9E-19

3.2.1 PIV-C Secret Value Authentication Method

The external entity submits an identifier and corresponding secret value. The format of the secret value is checked for conformance to a defined format template (Numeric in ASCII, Numeric in BCD, HEX value, and minimum number of character before padding). If the format is valid, the module compares all 8 bytes to the appropriate stored reference instance (e.g. Cardholder PIN, pin unblocking key or administrator PIN). When the reference value is updated, the module enforces the defined template policy. The enforcement of minimum number of characters before padding is not the same as a fixed minimum length for the secret. For example, a minimum of 6 characters means secrets can be created from 6 to 8 characters, determined by the user.

The worst case scenario permitted by the module is a minimum length of 6 characters with the Numeric in ASCII character set. The character space for the first 6 bytes in this scenario is 10 (the values '30' through '39' are permitted) and in the last 2 characters is 11 (the values '30' through '39' and 'FF' are permitted). The probability that a random attempt will succeed using this authentication method is:

 $1/(10^{6}$ *11^2) = 8.3E-9

The maximum number of consecutive failed authentication attempts is 10, so the probability that a random attempt will succeed over a one minute interval is:

• $10/(10^{6} * 11^{2}) = 8.3E-8$

3.2.2 PIV-C Opacity Mutual Authentication Method

The module verifies the certificate of the external entity using the PIV-SM-ROOT. It then generates an ephemeral ECC key pair in which the generated private key part together with the host public key extracted from the host's certificate is used to generate a shared secret (Z1). This shared secret is then used to derive two keys K1 and K2 as per SP800-56A.

Another shared secret is generated using the PIV-SM and the host ephemeral public key. This shared secret together with the host identifier extracted from the certificate is used to derive the session keys used for secure messaging (PIV-SENC, PIV-SCMAC and PIV-SRMAC). The previously generated public ECC key, the host identifier and the host ephemeral public ECC key is used to create a cryptogram which will then be verified by the external entity. This will authenticate the module to the external entity. The external entity is implicitly authenticated to the module as soon as the next APDU is received since this APDU will have been sent under secure messaging using the session keys generated.

3.3 Services

All services implemented by the module are listed in the tables below. Each service description also describes all usage of CSPs by the service.

Table 11 - Unauthenticated Services

Table 12 –Authenticated Services

3.4 Opacity Modes

The Opacity protocol is defined in [INCITS 504-1]. Two modes of Opacity operation are supported by this module: Zero Key Management (ZKM) and Full Secrecy (FS).

Opacity ZKM establishes a secure channel to protect confidentiality and integrity of transmitted information, but does not provide any authentication services.

Opacity FS provides authentication service as well as establishment of a secure channel to protect confidentiality and integrity of the transmitted data.

Both modes of Opacity conform to [SP 800-56A] for the establishment of a shared secret and key derivation for session keys.

Table 13 – Access to CSPs by Service

The table is organized to correspond to the set of unauthenticated services, then authenticated services.

- G = Generate: The module generates the CSP.
- R = Read: The module reads the CSP (read access to the CSP by an outside entity).
- \bullet E = Execute: The module executes using the CSP.
- W = Write: The CSP is imported into the module.
- Z = Zeroize: The module zeroizes the CSP. For the Context service, SD session keys are destroyed on applet deselect (channel closure)
- -- = Not accessed by the service.

4 Self-test

4.1 Power-On Self-tests

On power-on or reset, the module performs self-tests as described in Table 12 below. All KATs must be completed successfully prior to any other use of cryptography by the module.

Table 14 – Power-On Self-Test

4.2 Conditional self-tests

On every call to the DRBG or True (HW) RNG, the module performs the AS09.42 continuous RNG test to assure that the output is different than the previous value.

The module performs the SP 800-90A health monitoring tests for all DRBG functions.

When an RSA or ECC key pair is generated or loaded, the module performs a pairwise consistency test.

When new firmware is loaded into the module using the *Manage Content* service, the module verifies the integrity of each packet using AES CMAC. Optionally, the firmware load process can also verify the signature of the new firmware (applet) using the DAP-PUB public key; the signature block in this scenario is generated by an external entity using the private key corresponding to DAP-PUB.

NOTE: If any self-test fails, the system emits an error code (0x6FXX) and enters the SELF-TEST ERROR state.

5 Physical Security Policy

The module is a single-chip implementation that meets commercial-grade specifications for power, temperature, reliability, and shock/vibrations.

The module is intended to be mounted in additional packaging; physical inspection of the die is typically not practical after packaging.

Module hardness testing was performed at the following temperatures:

- Nominal temperature: 20°C
- Low temperature: -40°C
- High temperature: 120°C

6 Operational Environment

The module is designated as a limited operational environment under the FIPS 140-2 definitions. The module includes a firmware load service to support necessary updates. New firmware versions within the scope of this validation must be validated through the FIPS 140-2 CMVP. Any other firmware loaded into this module is out of the scope of this validation and requires a separate FIPS 140-2 validation.

7 Electromagnetic interference and compatibility (EMI/EMC)

The module conforms to the EMI/EMC requirements specified by part 47 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 15, Subpart B, Unintentional Radiators, Digital Devices, Class B.

8 Mitigation of Other Attacks Policy

The module implements defenses against:

- Light attacks
- Invasive fault attacks
- Side-channel attacks: SPA/DPA; Timing analysis;
- Electromagnetic attacks
- Differential fault analysis (DFA)
- Card tearing attacks

9 Security Rules and Guidance

The module implementation also enforces the following security rules:

- No additional interface or service is implemented by the module which would provide access to CSPs.
- Data output is inhibited during key generation, self-tests, zeroization, and error states.
- There are no restrictions on which keys or CSPs are zeroized by the comprehensive zeroization mechanism.
- The module does not support manual key entry, output plaintext CSPs or output intermediate key values.
- Status information does not contain CSPs or sensitive data that if misused could lead to a compromise of the module.