## Sterling Commerce, Inc. Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option v3.7 running on UNIX and v4.2 on Windows

## **Security Target**

Document Version 0.6

Prepared for:



Sterling Commerce, Inc. 750 W. John Carpenter Freeway Irving, TX 75039

Prepared by:



Corsec Security, Inc. 10340 Democracy Lane, Suite 201 Fairfax, VA 22030 (703) 267-6050

## **Table of Contents**

TABL	BLE OF CONTENTS2		
LIST (	OF TABLES		
LIST (	OF FIGURES		
1 S	ECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION6		
1.1	Security Target, TOE and CC Identification		
1.2	Conformance Claims		
1.3	Strength of Environment		
1.4	Conventions		
2 T	OE DESCRIPTION9		
2.1	Product Type9		
2.2	Product Description		
2.2 2.3	Product Description		
<ul><li>2.2</li><li>2.3</li><li>2.3.1</li></ul>	Product Description		
<ul> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.3.1</li> <li>2.3.2</li> </ul>	Product Description       9         TOE Boundaries and Scope       11         Physical Boundary       11         TOE Environment Requirements       12		
<ul> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.3.1</li> <li>2.3.2</li> <li>2.3.3</li> </ul>	Product Description       9         TOE Boundaries and Scope       11         Physical Boundary       11         TOE Environment Requirements       12         Logical Boundary       14		
<ul> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.3.1</li> <li>2.3.2</li> <li>2.3.3</li> <li>2.3.4</li> </ul>	Product Description9TOE Boundaries and Scope11Physical Boundary11TOE Environment Requirements12Logical Boundary14Exclusions16		
<ul> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.3.1</li> <li>2.3.2</li> <li>2.3.3</li> <li>2.3.4</li> <li>3 S</li> </ul>	Product Description       9         TOE Boundaries and Scope       11         Physical Boundary       11         TOE Environment Requirements       12         Logical Boundary       14         Exclusions       16         ECURITY ENVIRONMENT       18		
<ul> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.3.1</li> <li>2.3.2</li> <li>2.3.3</li> <li>2.3.4</li> <li>3 \$</li> <li>3.1</li> </ul>	Product Description       9         TOE Boundaries and Scope       11         Physical Boundary       11         TOE Environment Requirements       12         Logical Boundary       14         Exclusions       16         ECURITY ENVIRONMENT       18         Secure Usage Assumptions       18		
<ul> <li>2.2</li> <li>2.3</li> <li>2.3.1</li> <li>2.3.2</li> <li>2.3.3</li> <li>2.3.4</li> <li>3 \$</li> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> </ul>	Product Description       9         TOE Boundaries and Scope       11         Physical Boundary       11         TOE Environment Requirements       12         Logical Boundary       14         Exclusions       16         ECURITY ENVIRONMENT       18         Secure Usage Assumptions       18         Threats to Security       18		

	Sterling Commerce, Inc.	
4	SECURITY OBJECTIVES	
4.1	Security Objectives for the TOE	
4.2	Security Objectives for the TOE Environment	
5	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS	
5.1	TOE Security Functional Requirements	22
5.1	1.1 Class FAU: Security Audit	
5.1	1.2 Class FCS: Cryptographic Support	
5.1	1.3 Class FDP: User Data Protection	
5.1	1.4 Class FMT: Security Management	
5.1	1.5 Class FPT: Protection of TOE Security Functions	
5.1	1.6 Class FRU: Resource Utilization	
5.1	1.7 Class FTP: Trusted Path/Channel	
5.1	1.8 Class TOE: Explicitly Stated Requirements	
5.2	TOE Environmental Security Functional Requirements	
5.2	2.1 Class FAU: Security Audit	
5.2	2.2 Class FPT: Protection of TSF	
5.2	2.3 Class FIA: Identification and Authentication	
5.3	TOE Security Assurance Requirements	
6	TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION	
6.1	TOE Security Functions	
6.1	1.1 Security Audit	
6.1	1.2 Cryptographic Support	
6.1	1.3 User Data Protection	
6.1	1.4 Security Management	41
6.1	1.5 Protection of TOE Security Functions	
6.1	1.6 Resource Utilization	
6.1	1.7 Trusted Path/Channel	
6.2	TOE Security Assurance Measures	
6.2	2.1 ACM_CAP.2: Configuration Management Document	

(	5.2.2	ADO_DEL.1: Delivery and Operation Document	
(	5.2.3	ADO_IGS.1: Installation Guidance, AGD_ADM.1: Administrator Guidance, AGD_U	SR.1: User
(	Guida	lance	
(	5.2.4	ALC_FLR.2: Flaw reporting procedures	
(	5.2.5	ADV_FSP.1: Informal Functional Specification, ADV_HLD.1: High Level Design, Al	DV_RCR.1:
]	Repre	resentation Correspondence	
(	5.2.6	5 ATE_COV.1: Test Coverage Analysis, ATE_FUN.1: Functional Testing	
(	5.2.7	AVA_VLA.1: Vulnerability Analysis, AVA_SOF.1: Strength of Function Analysis	
7	P	ROTECTION PROFILE CLAIMS	
8	R	ATIONALE	49
8.1		Security Objectives Rationale	
8.2		Security Functional Requirements Rationale	
8.3		Security Assurance Requirements Rationale	
8.4		Explicitly Stated Requirements Rationale	
8.5		Dependency Rationale	
8.6		TOE Summary Specification Rationale	
8.7		TOE Summary Specification Rationale for the Security Assurance Requirements	60
8.8		Strength of Function Rationale	60
9	Α	CRONYMS	61

## **List of Tables**

TABLE 1: ST AND TOE IDENTIFICATION	6
TABLE 2: LIST OF CRYPTO TOOLKITS	12
TABLE 3: TOE ENVIRONMENT MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS	12
TABLE 4: ASSUMPTIONS	18
TABLE 5: TOE THREATS	18
TABLE 6: ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES	19
TABLE 7: SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE	20
TABLE 8: IT SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE ENVIRONMENT	21
TABLE 9: NON-IT SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE ENVIRONMENT	21
TABLE 10: FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TOE MAPPED TO ST OPERATIONS	22
TABLE 11: AUDIT EVENTS	24
TABLE 12: CRYPTOGRAPHIC STANDARDS	26
TABLE 13: CRYPTOGRAPHIC KEY GENERATION STANDARDS	27
TABLE 14: TOE MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS	30
TABLE 15: AUDIT CONTROL FUNCTIONS	31
TABLE 16: SECURITY ROLES	33
TABLE 17: FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TOE ENVIRONMENT	35
TABLE 18: ASSURANCE COMPONENTS	37
TABLE 19: ASSURANCE MEASURES MAPPING TO SARS	44
TABLE 20: SECURITY OBJECTIVE MAPPING	49
TABLE 21: RELATIONSHIP OF SECURITY THREATS TO OBJECTIVES	50
TABLE 22: TOE OBJECTIVE MAPPING	51
TABLE 23: SECURITY OBJECTIVE RATIONALE	53
TABLE 24: FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS DEPENDENCIES	55
TABLE 25: RATIONALE MAPPING BETWEEN TSF AND SFRS	57
TABLE 26: ACRONYMS	61

## List of Figures

FIGURE 1: C:D SP DEPLOYMENT SCENARIO WITHIN AN E-BUSINESS COMMUNITY 1	0
FIGURE 2: TOE PHYSICAL BOUNDARY 1	1

## **1** Security Target Introduction

This section identifies the Security Target (ST), Target of Evaluation (TOE), ST conventions, ST conformance claims, and the ST organization. The TOE is the Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option v3.7 running on UNIX and v4.2 on Windows. This ST is the basis for agreement between developers, evaluators, and consumers on the security properties of the TOE and the scope of this evaluation. The audience for this ST is not only the Common Criteria (CC) evaluators but also developers and those responsible for purchasing, installing, configuring, operating, and using the TOE.

This ST contains the following sections:

- <u>Security Target Introduction (Section 1)</u> Provides a brief summary of the content of the ST and describes the organization of other sections of this document.
- <u>TOE Description (Section 2)</u> Provides an overview of the TOE security functions and describes the physical and logical boundaries of the TOE.
- <u>Security Environment (Section 3)</u> Describes the threats, organizational security policies and assumptions that pertain to the TOE and the environment.
- <u>Security Objectives (Section 4)</u> Identifies the security objectives that are satisfied by the TOE and the environment.
- <u>Security Requirements (Section 5)</u> Presents the Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) and Security Assurance Requirements (SARs) met by the TOE and the environment.
- <u>TOE Summary Specification (Section 6)</u> Describes the security functions provided by the TOE to satisfy the security requirements and objectives.
- <u>Protection Profile Claims (Section 7)</u> Provides the identification of any ST Protection Profile claims and the justification to support such claims.
- <u>Rationale (Section 8)</u> Presents the rationale for the security objectives, requirements, and the TOE summary specifications as to their consistency, completeness, and suitability.
- Acronyms (Section 0) Defines the acronyms used within this ST.

## 1.1 Security Target, TOE and CC Identification

#### Table 1: ST and TOE Identification

	Sterling Commerce, Inc.
ST Title	Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option v3.7 running on UNIX and v4.2 on
STITUE	Windows
	Security Target

ST Version	0.6		
ST Date	September 5 <sup>th</sup> 2006		
TOE Identification	Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option v3.7 running on UNIX and v4.2 on Windows		
	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 2.3,		
Common Criteria	August 2005; Parts 2 and 3 (aligned with International Standards		
(CC) Identification Organization 15408:2005). See section 1.2 for more details rega			
	TOE's conformance to Common Criteria.		
A	Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) 2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 (Flaw		
Assurance Level	reporting procedures)		
Operating System	Windows XP, UNIX (Solaris 10, HPUX 11i, AIX 5.3)		
Keywords	file transfer, secure file transfer, SSL, TLS		

## **1.2 Conformance Claims**

This Security Target covers the Sterling Commerce, Inc. Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option v3.7 running on UNIX and v4.2 on Windows. This ST is conformant to Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 2.3, August 2005. It is conformant to Part 2 extended and Part 3 augmented.

Sterling Commerce, Inc.'s Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option is being evaluated to Evaluation Assurance Level 2 (EAL2) augmented with ALC\_FLR.2 under the Canadian Common Criteria Scheme (CCS) using the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Evaluation methodology, Version 2.3, August 2005.

This ST does not claim conformance to any Protection Profile.

## 1.3 Strength of Environment

The Evaluation Assurance Level chosen for this evaluation is 2 augmented with Flaw Remediation (EAL2+). EAL2+ was chosen to provide a low to moderate level of independently assured security based on availability of the complete development record from the vendor. The chosen assurance level is consistent with the postulated threat environment.

## 1.4 Conventions

There are several font variations used within this ST. Selected presentation choices are discussed here to aid the Security Target reader.

The CC allows four operations to be performed on security requirements; *assignment*, *refinement*, *selection*, and *iteration*. The following operations are used within this ST. These operations are presented in the same manner in which they appear in Parts 2 and 3 of the CC with the following exceptions:

- Completed assignment statements are identified using [*italicized text in brackets*].
- Selections are identified using [*italicized and underlined text in brackets*].
- Refinements are identified using *bold and italicized text*.
- Iterations are identified by appending a letter in parenthesis following the component title. For example, FAU\_GEN.1(a) Audit Data Generation would be the first iteration and FAU\_GEN.1(b) Audit Data Generation would be the second iteration.

## 2 TOE Description

This section describes the TOE as an aid to understanding the general capabilities and security provided by the TOE. The TOE description provides the boundary of evaluation, detailing what is being evaluated and what is outside the scope of evaluation.

## 2.1 Product Type

The Connect:Direct with Secure+ Option (C:D SP) is an application that enables secure peer-to-peer file transfer over an insecure network. For this evaluated TOE configuration, the targeted platforms are Windows and UNIX. At an architectural level, the TOE is the same on all platforms, and any minor differences will be called out throughout this document.

The application consists of two major components:

- Connect:Direct server application
- Connect:Direct Secure+ security enhancement application

The evaluation addresses the configuration of the product with both Connect:Direct Server and Connect:Direct Secure + installed onto a dedicated computer.

## 2.2 Product Description

C:D SP provides server-based software file-transfer solutions for high-volume applications. C:D SP installations perform periodic, high-capacity file transfers between specific servers, often for financial services or federal government applications. This software supports multiple server platforms, including mainframe operating systems, UNIX platforms, and Windows servers. The Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol is used to perform authentication between servers, and to provide an encrypted channel over which file transfers are performed. This provides security to protect against eavesdropping, tampering, and message forgery.

Connect:Direct (C:D) is a peer-to-peer solution, therefore the Secure+ option must be installed at both end points in order to use cryptography. It is often the case, however, that an organization will require robust security during some file transfers while others may be transferred "in the clear", because the network between them is considered secure or because the nature of the data does not require protection. C:D SP supports these secure and non-secure data exchange scenarios. Figure 1 illustrates various options for deploying C:D SP within an e-business community.



Figure 1: C:D SP Deployment Scenario within an E-business Community

The C:D SP server executes statements and commands submitted through the user interfaces listed below:

- Applications Programming Interface (API): The API enables third parties to write programs that work with C:D SP. Through this connection users and administrators issue commands to a C:D SP server application.
- Command Line Interface (CLI): The CLI allows users and administrators to access the C:D SP server application. With the CLI users and administrators are able to issue C:D SP commands, monitor jobs, and display job statistics.
- **Browser User Interface** (BUI): The BUI allows users and administrators to build, submit, and monitor C:D SP jobs from an Internet browser, such as Microsoft Internet Explorer. An administrator can perform tasks, such as viewing and changing the remote server information and initialization parameters.
- **SPAdmin Tool**: This is the interface through which an administrator will set up the secure connection parameters for C:D SP.

## 2.3 TOE Boundaries and Scope

## 2.3.1 Physical Boundary

The physical boundary of the TOE is around the C:D SP applications. It includes the following components:

- SPAdmin
- C:D
- Secure+
- Cryptographic Toolkit
- Stat Manager

Figure 2 illustrates the physical scope and boundary of the TOE and TOE Environment.



Figure 2: TOE Physical Boundary

#### C:D, Secure+, and SPAdmin

C:D and Secure+ are discussed in section 2.2. The administrator uses SPAdmin to communicate with and configure Secure+ options. These are the core components of the TOE, and combined they provide the functionality required for secure file transfer operations.

#### Stat Manager

The Stat Manager is a component of the TOE which is responsible for collecting audit information about the user activities and file transfer operations.

#### **Cryptographic Toolkits**

The TOE will use various Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) validated toolkits for cryptographic operations. They are listed in Table 2. The toolkits are software components that are installed with Secure+.

Platform	Vendor	Toolkit
Windows	Certicom Corporation	SSL Plus
UNIX	RSA	Crypto-C

#### Table 2: List of Crypto Toolkits

### 2.3.2 TOE Environment Requirements

The TOE is installed on servers that are located in an internal network behind perimeter defenses. Table 3 shows machines compliant to minimum requirements on which to install and run the TOE process.

Category	Windows Requirements	UNIX Requirements
Memory	• 64MB RAM (min.)	• 16 MB RAM (min)

#### **Table 3: TOE Environment Minimum Requirements**

Category	Windows Requirements	UNIX Requirements
Processor	Intel P4	<ul> <li>Sun SPARC: Processor independent</li> <li>AIX IBM RISC Power5</li> <li>HPUX: Processor independent</li> </ul>
Operating Systems	<ul> <li>Microsoft Windows XP Professional, SP1 or later</li> <li>Microsoft Windows 2000 Professional, SP4 or later</li> <li>Microsoft Windows 2000 Server, SP4 or later</li> <li>Microsoft Windows 2000 Advanced Server, SP4 or later</li> <li>Microsoft Windows 2003 Server</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Solaris 10 on Sun SPARC</li> <li>HPUX 11i on HP 9000 series</li> <li>AIX 5.3 on IBM RISC system 6000</li> </ul>
Disk Space	<ul> <li>29 MB disk space for the following types of files:</li> <li>System (64 KB)</li> <li>Program (20 MB)</li> <li>Each connection to another Connect:Direct Server (4 MB)</li> </ul>	• 50 MB
Display	Super VGA (800 x 600) or higher resolution video adaptor and monitor	Super VGA (800 x 600) or higher resolution video adaptor and monitor

Category	Windows Requirements	UNIX Requirements
Other Requirements	<ul> <li>CD-ROM unit</li> <li>Microsoft TCP/IP Winsock interface installed</li> <li>Java Runtime Environment is installed with C:D Windows.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CD-ROM unit</li> <li>Java Runtime Environment is installed with C:D.</li> </ul>

## 2.3.3 Logical Boundary

The security functional requirements implemented by the TOE are usefully grouped under the following Security Function Classes:

- Security Audit
- Cryptographic Support
- User Data Protection
- Security Management
- Protection of the TOE Security Functions
- Resource Utilization
- Trusted Path/Channel

#### Security Audit

The TOE logs critical security functions related to user data protection and security management. Audit records are generated by the TOE when users or administrators submit a job or change the configuration. A job is a request for an authorized file transfer. Data related to jobs such as the owner of the job, the status of job, and queue position is written into log files by the Stat Manager. Data relating to specific file transfers, such as progress of file transfer, success or failure, is also gathered. The log files are stored in the TOE environment.

Audit data can be reviewed by an administrator or a user. An administrator can restrict a user's access to have limited or no audit viewing privileges. The audit data that is collected can be included or excluded based on the

types of commands that are issued to the TOE. For example 'select statistics' is a command that can be audited or not. This configuration option is set by an administrator. Audit data about file transfer operations can be viewed from the BUI, and CLI.

#### **Cryptographic Support**

The TOE uses TLS to establish a secure communication channel between a remote system running C:D SP and itself before authorized file transfers can begin. During the TLS handshake the TOE and the remote C:D SP mutually authenticate. The servers then agree on cipher suites and exchange a key which is used as the shared secret during the session. The files are then transferred protected by TLS. Keys are generated and destroyed securely. All cryptographic operations are performed by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module.

#### User Data Protection

The user data the TOE is protecting are the transferred files. Users authenticate with the TOE environment and submit jobs to the TOE. Jobs specify the file name, location, and a remote C:D SP node. From a job request, the TOE will determine whether there is a valid entry in the access list for the remote C:D SP node. If so, then the TOE and the remote C:D SP node will mutually authenticate. Then the remote C:D SP node will determine if the TOE user who submitted the job is allowed access to it. If granted access, then the TOE can service user requests to either receive or transmit files.

#### Security Management

The TOE allows a user who possesses the appropriate privileges to perform management functions. Only authorized TOE users can access management functionality. They must authenticate with the TOE environment before they can access this TSF.

TOE users can take two roles:

- Administrative users access the software to configure the system, update network maps, update security parameters, start and stop the system, create new users and assign privileges, submit jobs, and monitor all activity on the server.
- Users can submit jobs, monitor jobs, and view statistics related to their own jobs. A user cannot monitor the jobs of another user.

#### **Protection of TOE Security Functions**

Non-bypassability of the TOE is provided by basic configuration and enforcement of the security policy rules. The user and administrators' machines are located on the protected network. Administrators must successfully authenticate themselves before performing any security management or policy changes to the TOE. The security policy rules enforced by the TOE are applied to the C:D SP so that every file transfer occurs within the constraints of the policy rules.

The TOE runs only processes that are needed for its proper execution and does not run any other user processes. Portions of the TOE self-protection enforcement (e.g., against physical tampering) are ensured by the TOE environment. In particular, it is assumed that the Windows or UNIX servers hosting the TOE on the protected network will remain attached to the physical connections made by an authorized administrator responsible for the proper installation of the TOE so that the TOE cannot be bypassed.

#### **Resource Utilization**

The TOE provides the means to utilize resources when it is operating on objects for file transfer. The TOE is able to manage utilization of these resources because it services jobs based on their priority and their position in the queue.

#### **Trusted Path/Channel**

The TOE provides the means to establish a trusted path between two nodes. The TOE uses TLS to establish the trusted path with a remote server. The TLS protocol's mutual authentication is performed as part of the TLS handshake that initiates a secure communication session. This secure communication session is the trusted path that is used to transfer files between the TOE and a remote C:D SP server.

#### 2.3.4 Exclusions

The following features are not part of the evaluated TOE configuration:

- C:D Requester for Windows
- Strong Access Control
- File Agent
- Station to Station (STS) protocol
- Secure Socket Layer (SSL) protocol

#### **C:D Requester for Windows**

ST Version 0.6

C:D Requester is a graphical user interface that enables one to perform many of the C:D SP functions using menu options, dialog boxes, data windows, and icons. The C:D Requester will not be used with this evaluated TOE configuration. All functionality that can be invoked through C:D Requester can also be invoked through interfaces which are included in this evaluation.

#### **Proxy Access Control**

The TOE can use a form of proxy identification and authentication. In this mode a user is given a unique username and password which are then mapped onto username and passwords known to the operating system in the TOE environment. This means that users do not need to be given direct access to accounts on the underlying operating system. This mode is not included in this evaluation.

#### **File Agent**

File Agent is an application integration product. It works with files in one or more monitored directories. The File Agent scans these directories for new files. When a file is detected in a watched directory, File Agent either submits a default job to the C:D or performs the actions specified by the rules for the file. The File Agent is not part of the evaluated TOE configuration.

#### **STS Protocol**

C:D SP has the option to use STS for file transfer operations. STS is a Sterling Commerce, Inc. proprietary protocol that includes a variation of the basic Diffie-Hellman protocol. STS enables one to establish a shared secret key between two C:D nodes with mutual authentication. To learn more about this protocol, refer to the "Connect:Direct® Secure+ Option UNIX Implementation Guide" under Chapter 1. The STS protocol is not part of the evaluated TOE configuration.

#### SSL Protocol

C:D SP has the option to use SSL as the secure communication channel for file transfer operations. The SSL protocol is not part of the evaluated TOE configuration.

## **3** Security Environment

This section describes the security aspects of the environment in which the TOE will be used and the manner in which the TOE is expected to be employed.

## 3.1 Secure Usage Assumptions

This section contains assumptions regarding the security environment and the intended usage of the TOE. The operational environment must be managed in accordance with documentation for delivery and operation. The following specific conditions are required to ensure the security of the TOE and are assumed to exist in environments where this TOE is employed:

IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	
A.MANAGE	There are one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.	
A.NOEVIL	Administrators are non-hostile, appropriately trained, and follow all administrator guidance.	
A.PHYSICAL	Physical security will be provided for the TOE and its environment.	

#### Table 4: Assumptions

## 3.2 Threats to Security

A threat consists of an agent, an asset, and an attack. Threats originate from two types of threat agent: an unauthorized individual and a TOE user. An unauthorized individual has a high motivation to do harm to the TOE but has low skills and resources. These offset and result in a low threat classification. A TOE user has low motivation to do harm to the system and limited resources. Their knowledge of the TOE gives them high skills. These offset and result in a low threat classification.

Table 5 identifies the threats to security.

#### Table 5: TOE Threats

<b>IDENTIFICATION</b>	DESCRIPTION
T.UNAUTH	An unauthorized individual may breach the confidentiality or integrity of data transferred between TOEs.
T.HOG	A TOE user may prevent high priority tasks from occurring by using too many system resources to process low priority tasks.

## 3.3 Organizational Security Policies

Table 6 identifies the Organizational Security Policy that the TOE helps to enforce.

#### **Table 6: Organizational Security Policies**

IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION
P.VALIDATED_CRYPTO	The TOE shall provide cryptographic functions for its own use. These functions will be provided
	by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module.

## 4 Security Objectives

This section identifies the security objectives for the TOE and its supporting environment. The security objectives identify the responsibilities of the TOE and its environment in meeting the security needs.

## 4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

This section describes the security functionality that is to be achieved by the TOE. Security objectives for the TOE map to threats addressed by the TOE.

The TOE satisfies the following objectives.

IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION		
O.ADMIN	The TOE must provide a secure method of administrative control of the TOE, ensuring that TO		
	users with the appropriate privileges, and only these TOE users, can exercise such control.		
O.AUDIT	The TOE must provide a robust means of recording security relevant events in sufficient detail to		
	help TOE users detect attempted security violations.		
O.VALIDATED_CRYPTO	<b>O</b> The TOE shall provide cryptographic functions for its own use. These functions will be provid		
	by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module.		
O.PROTECT	The TOE must protect transmitted files from unauthorized reading or modification.		
O.BYPASS <sup>1</sup>	The TOE must ensure that the TSF cannot be bypassed.		
O.PRIORITIZE	The TOE must be able to allocate resources based on the priority of the task.		

#### Table 7: Security Objectives for the TOE

## 4.2 Security Objectives for the TOE Environment

The Security Objectives for the TOE Environment describe the objectives which must be satisfied if the TOE is to operate securely.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application Note: Preventing bypass involves ensuring that files which appear to be sent through the TOE are really subject to the TOE's security policies and mechanisms. This depends on the underlying OS in the environment preventing other subjects from bypassing the TOE application (hence OE.BYPASS) and the TOE application ensuring that all it's security mechanisms are invoked and succeed each time the system is used (hence O.BYPASS).

The TOE Environment satisfies the following IT security objectives.

#### Table 8: IT Security Objectives for the TOE Environment

IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	
OE.I&A	The TOE environment must uniquely identify all users, and will authenticate the claim identity before granting a user access to the TOE and resources protected by the TOE.	
OE.TIMESTAMPS	The TOE environment must provide reliable timestamps for the use of the TOE.	
OE.BYPASS <sup>1</sup>	The TOE environment must ensure that the TSF cannot be bypassed.	
OE.AUDITPROTECT	The TOE environment must protect audit files from unauthorized modification or deletion.	

The TOE Environment satisfies the following non-IT objectives.

#### Table 9: Non-IT Security Objectives for the TOE Environment

IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	
OE.MANAGE	Sites deploying the TOE will provide competent TOE administrators who will ensure the	
	system is used securely.	
OE.NOEVIL	Sites using the TOE shall ensure that TOE administrators are non-hostile, appropriately	
OE.PHYSICAL	The TOE will be used in a physically secure site that protects it from interference and	
	tampering by untrusted subjects	

## **5** Security Requirements

This section defines the SFRs and SARs met by the TOE. These requirements are presented following the conventions identified in Section 1.4.

## 5.1 TOE Security Functional Requirements

The following table provides a summary of the security functional requirements implemented by the TOE. The majority of the SFRs are drawn from Common Criteria Part 2, but the Explicit TSF domain separation SFR (TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1) is explicitly stated.

SFR ID	Description	Selection	Assignment	Refinement	Iteration
FALL GEN 1		~	~		
			•		
FAU_SAR.1(a)	Audit review		~		
FAU_SAR.1(b)	Audit review		~		
FAU_SEL.1	Selective Audit	~	~		
FCS_CKM.1	Cryptographic Key Generation		~		
FCS_CKM.4	Cryptographic Key destruction		<		
FCS_COP.1	Cryptographic Operation		~		
FDP_ACC.1	Subset access control		~		
FDP_ACF.1	Security attribute based access control		~		
FDP_UCT.1	Basic data exchange confidentiality	~	~		
FDP_UIT.1	Data exchange integrity	~	~		
FMT_MOF.1 (a)	Management of security functions behaviour	~	~		~
FMT_MOF.1 (b)	Management of security functions behaviour	~	~		~
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes	~	~		
FMT_MSA.2	Secure Security Attributes				
FMT_MSA.3	Static Attribute Initialization	~	~		

Table 10: Functional Requirements for the TOE Mapped to ST Operations

SFR ID	SFR ID Description		Assignment	Refinement	Iteration
FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data	~	~		
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of management functions		~		
FMT_SMR.1	Security roles		~		
FPT_RVM.1	Non-bypassability of the TOE Security Policy (TSP)				
FRU_PRS.1	Limited Priority of Service		~		
FTP_ITC.1	Inter-TSF trusted channel	~	~		
TOE_SEP_(EXP).1	Explicit TSF domain separation				

Section 5.1 contains the functional components from the CC Part 2 with the operations completed. For the conventions used in performing CC operations please refer to Section 1.4.

### 5.1.1 Class FAU: Security Audit

#### FAU\_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation

#### FAU\_GEN.1.1

The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:

- a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;
- b) All auditable events for the [*not specified*] level of audit; and
- c) [All audit events detailed in Table 11].

#### FAU\_GEN.1.2

The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:

- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the ST, [no additional information].

#### Table 11: Audit Events

Event
Start-up and shutdown of audit functions
Submitting commands to the TOE through BUI
Identification to the TOE through BUI and CLI.
Modification made through BUI and CLI
functions
File Transfer operations

#### Dependencies: FPT\_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

#### FAU\_SAR.1(a) Audit Review

#### FAU\_SAR.1.1(a)

The TSF shall provide [*the administrator*] with the capability to read [*all audit information*] from the audit records.

#### FAU\_SAR.1.2(a)

The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

#### FAU\_SAR.1(b) Audit Review

#### FAU\_SAR.1.1(b)

The TSF shall provide [*the restricted user*] with the capability to read [*all audit information relating to that users actions*] from the audit records.

#### FAU\_SAR.1.2(b)

The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

#### Dependencies: FAU\_GEN.1 Audit data generation

#### FAU\_SEL.1 Selective Audit

#### FAU\_SEL.1.1

ST Version 0.6

The TSF shall be able to include or exclude auditable events from the set of audited events based on the following attributes:

a) [event type]

b) [*None*].

#### Dependencies: FAU\_GEN.1 Audit data generation, FMT\_MTD.1 Management of TSF data

#### 5.1.2 Class FCS: Cryptographic Support

#### FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation

#### FCS\_COP.1.1

The TSF shall perform [*list of cryptographic operations - see table below*] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [*cryptographic algorithm - see table below*] and cryptographic key sizes [*cryptographic key sizes - see table below*] that meet the following: [*Standard - See table below*].

Cryptographic Operations	Cryptographic Algorithm	Key Sizes (bits)	Standards (Certificate #)
Digital signatures	Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA)	1024	FIPS 186-2 (certificate #129, 130, 131)
	Rivest, Shamir, Adleman (RSA)	1024, 2048	PKCS#1 (certificate #55, 56)
	Triple DES (Electronic Code Book (ECB),CBC modes)	168	FIPS 46-3 (certificate #319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 325, 326)
	Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) (ECB, CBC modes)	128, 192, 256	FIPS 917 (certificate #229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235)
Hashing	Secure Hash 1 (SHA -1)	NA	FIPS 180-2 (certificate #308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314)
MAC	Hashed Message Authentication Code (HMAC) with SHA-1	160	FIPS 198 (certificate #41, 42, 43, 44, 45; SHA-1 certificate #308, 309, 310, 311, 314 respectively)

### Table 12: Cryptographic Standards

Dependencies: FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation, FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction, FMT\_MSA.2 Secure security attributes.

FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation

FCS\_CKM.1.1

ST Version 0.6

The TSF shall generate cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm [*cryptographic key generation algorithm – see Table 13 below*] and specified cryptographic key sizes [*cryptographic key sizes see table below*] that meet the following: [*list of standards see table below*].

Dependencies: FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation, FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction, FMT\_MSA.2 Secure security attributes.

Кеу	Algorithm and Key Size	Standards	
Generation		(Certificate #)	
Туре			
Session key	TDES CBC 2/3 key	FIPS 46-3	
generation		(certificate	
	AES CBC 128/256 key	#319, 320, 321,	
		322, 323, 325,	
		326)	
Public key	RSA 1024 bit public key	PKCS#12	
generation		(certificate #55,	
		56)	
PRNG seed	Triple-DES (168 bits)	FIPS 186-2	
generation	AES (128, 192, 256 bits)	PRNG seed	
	HMAC-SHA-1 (160 bits)		

#### Table 13: Cryptographic Key Generation Standards

#### FCS\_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction

#### FCS\_CKM.4.1

The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method [*Zeroization*] that meets the following: [*FIPS 140-2*].

#### Dependencies: FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation, FMT\_MSA.2 Secure security attributes

#### 5.1.3 Class FDP: User Data Protection

FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control

#### FDP\_ACC.1.1

The TSF shall enforce the [SECURE FILE TRANSFER SFP] on

[a)Subjects: Processes;

b) Objects: Files;

c) Operations: copy.

].

Application Note: The subjects are processes running on the TOE acting on behalf of an authorised user.

#### Dependencies: FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

FDP\_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

#### FDP\_ACF.1.1

The TSF shall enforce the [SECURE FILE TRANSFER SFP] to objects based on the following:

[SUBJECT attributes:

1) TOE IP address;

2) TOE X.509 public key certificate

3) User access control permissions

OBJECT attributes:

1) File name;

2) File location;

3) IP address of the C:D SP;

4) Identity of the C:D SP].

#### FDP\_ACF.1.2

The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed:

[a) A valid subject of the TOE is a allowed to copy an object to a remote node if the object is located within the file system of the IT system the TOE is installed on ;

b) A valid subject of the TOE is allowed to copy an object to the file system of the IT system the TOE is installed on if they have access rights to the remote location;

c) A valid subject of the TOE is allowed to read an object from a remote C:D SP if all the object attributes are valid;

*d)* A valid subject of the TOE is allowed to copy an object from a remote C:D SP to a local C:DSP if all the object attributes are valid;].

#### FDP\_ACF.1.3

The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [*no additional rules*].

#### FDP\_ACF.1.4

The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the [no additional rules].

#### Dependencies: FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation

FDP\_UCT.1 Basic data exchange confidentiality

#### FDP\_UCT.1.1

The TSF shall enforce the [SECURE FILE TRANSFER SFP] to be able to [<u>transmit, receive</u>] objects in a manner protected from unauthorised disclosure.

#### Dependencies: FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, FTP\_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel

#### FDP\_UIT.1 Data exchange integrity

#### FDP\_UIT.1.1

The TSF shall enforce the [SECURE FILE TRANSFER SFP] to be able to [transmit, receive] user data in a manner protected from [modification, deletion, insertion, replay] errors.

#### FDP\_UIT.1.2

The TSF shall be able to determine on receipt of user data, whether [*modification, deletion, insertion, replay*] has occurred.

#### ST Version 0.6

#### Dependencies: FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, FTP\_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel

#### 5.1.4 Class FMT: Security Management

FMT\_MOF.1 Management of security functions behaviour

#### **FMT\_MOF.1.1** (a)

The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*disable, enable, modify the behavior of*] the functions [*listed in Table 14*] to [*an administrator*].

TOE Management Functions		
Assign file transfer control rights: Submit/Delete/Change/Monitor/View jobs		
Assign resource utilization rights: Ability to change job execution priority		
Assign control over TOE: Stop		
TOE startup configuration		
Assign ability to view audit data		
Assign user access to the TOE		
Assign remote C:D SP access to the TOE		
Loading or removing X.509 certificates used for remote C:D SP authentication		
Cryptographic operation used for TLS		

#### **Table 14: TOE Management Functions**

**FMT\_MOF.1.1** (b)

The TSF shall restrict the ability to [modify the behavior of] the functions [listed in Table 15] to [an administrator].

Audit Control Functions
Maximum size in of an individual log data file
Whether issued TOE commands are written to the log file,
excluding 'select process' or 'select statistics' commands
Whether TOE creates a log record when 'select process' or
'select statistics' commands are issued
Specifies how old a log file must be before it is archived

#### **Table 15: Audit Control Functions**

#### Dependencies: FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of management functions, FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

#### FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes

#### FMT\_MSA.1.1

The TSF shall enforce the [SECURE FILE TRANSFER SFP] to restrict the ability to [modify] the security attributes [user access control privileges] to [an administrator].

## Dependencies: FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles, FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of management functions

FMT\_MSA.2 Secure security attributes

#### FMT\_MSA.2.1

The TSF shall ensure that only secure values are accepted for security attributes.

Dependencies: ADV\_SPM.1 Informal TOE security policy model, FDP\_ACC.1 Subset access control, FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes, FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

#### FMT\_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization

#### FMT\_MSA.3.1

The TSF shall enforce the [SECURE FILE TRANSFER SFP] to provide [<u>restrictive</u>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.

Application Note: The only security attributes which can take default values are user access control

privileges.

#### FMT\_MSA.3.2

The TSF shall allow the [*administrator*] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

#### Dependencies: FMT\_MSA.1 Management of security attributes, FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

#### FMT\_MTD.1 Management of TSF data

#### FMT\_MTD.1.1

The TSF shall restrict the ability to [view, sort] the [audit data] to [an administrator or user with log viewing permissions].

#### Dependencies: FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of management functions, FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

#### FMT\_SMF.1.1

- The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions:
- [a) Management of security functions behaviour;
- b) Management of TSF data;
- c) Management of security attributes].

#### **Dependencies: No Dependencies**

FMT\_SMR.1 Security roles

#### FMT\_SMR.1.1

The TSF shall maintain the roles [the authorised identified roles listed in Table 16].

#### Table 16: Security Roles

Roles	Description
User	By default, this role allows control over the user specific tasks. They are job submission, monitor status of jobs, change priority of jobs, halting jobs, deleting job, and view statistics of jobs (audit data).
Administrator	This role has access rights to all security management functions (see Table 14) and user functionality.

#### FMT\_SMR.1.2

The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

#### **Dependencies: FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification**

### 5.1.5 Class FPT: Protection of TOE Security Functions

#### FPT\_RVM.1 Non-bypassability of the TSP

#### FPT\_RVM.1.1

The TSF shall ensure that TSP enforcement functions are invoked and succeed before each function within the TSC is allowed to proceed.

#### Dependencies: No dependencies

ST Version 0.6

#### 5.1.6 Class FRU: Resource Utilization

FRU\_PRS.1 Limited priority of service

#### FRU\_PRS.1.1

The TSF shall assign a priority to each subject in the TSF.

#### FRU\_PRS.1.2

The TSF shall ensure that each access to [*secure file transfer sessions*] shall be mediated on the basis of the subjects assigned priority.

**Dependencies:** No dependencies

#### 5.1.7 Class FTP: Trusted Path/Channel

FTP\_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel

#### FTP\_ITC.1.1

The TSF shall provide a trusted channel between itself and a remote trusted IT product that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from modification or disclosure.

#### FTP\_ITC.1.2

The TSF shall permit [*the TSF and a remote trusted IT product*] to initiate communication via the trusted channel.

#### FTP\_ITC.1.3

The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for [the file transfer operation].

#### Dependencies: No dependencies

#### 5.1.8 Class TOE: Explicitly Stated Requirements

#### TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1 - Explicit TSF domain separation

#### TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1.1

The TSF shall maintain a security domain that protects it from interference and tampering by untrusted subjects initiating actions through its own TSFI.

#### TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1.2

The TSF shall enforce separation between the security domains of subjects in the TOE Scope of Control (TSC).

Application Note: A secure and separate domain is provided for the TOE through a combination of mechanisms in the TOE and in the environment. The TOE SFR, TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1 ensures that the TOE protects itself from interference through its own interfaces. The environmental SFR, FPT\_SEP.1ensures that the TOE is protected from interference by the operating system in the TOE environment.

## 5.2 TOE Environmental Security Functional Requirements

The following table provides a summary of the security functional requirements implemented by the Environment.

SFR ID	Description	Selection	Assignment	Refinement	Iteration
FAU_STG.1	Protected audit trail storage	~		<	
FPT_SEP.1	TSF domain separation			~	
FPT_STM.1	Reliable time stamps			~	
FIA_UID.2	User identification before any action			~	
FIA_UAU.2	User authentication before any action			~	

#### Table 17: Functional Requirements for the TOE Environment

### 5.2.1 Class FAU: Security Audit

FAU\_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage

#### FAU\_STG.1.1

The *TOE environment* shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorised deletion.

#### FAU\_STG.1.2

The TOE environment shall be able to [prevent] unauthorized modifications to the audit records in the

ST Version 0.6

audit trail.

#### Dependencies: FAU\_GEN.1 Audit data generation

#### 5.2.2 Class FPT: Protection of TSF

#### FPT\_SEP.1 TSF domain separation

#### FPT\_SEP.1.1

The *TOE environment* shall maintain a security domain for *the TOE*'s execution that protects *the TOE* from interference and tampering by untrusted subjects.

#### FPT\_SEP.1.2

The **TOE** environment shall enforce separation between the security domains of subjects in the TSC.

#### **Dependencies:** No dependencies

Application Note: A secure and separate domain is provided for the TOE through a combination of mechanisms in the TOE and in the environment. The TOE SFR, TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1 ensures that the TOE protects itself from interference through its own interfaces. The environmental SFR, FPT\_SEP.1ensures that the TOE is protected from interference by the operating system in the TOE environment.

#### FPT\_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

#### FPT\_STM.1.1

The **TOE** environment shall be able to provide reliable time stamps for the use of the TOE.

#### **Dependencies:** No dependencies

#### 5.2.3 Class FIA: Identification and Authentication

#### FIA\_UID.2 User identification before any action

#### FIA\_UID.2.1

The TSF shall require each user to identify itself to the *TOE environment* before allowing **any other TSF mediated actions** on behalf of that user.

#### **Dependencies:** No dependencies

#### FIA\_UAU.2 User authentication before any action

ST Version 0.6

#### FIA\_UAU.2.1

The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated to the *TOE environment* before allowing **any other TSF-mediated actions** on behalf of that user.

Dependencies: FIA\_UID.1 Timing of identification

## 5.3 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

This chapter defines the assurance requirements for the TOE. Assurance requirements are taken from the CC Part 3 and are EAL2 augmented with ALC\_FLR.2. Table 18 – Assurance Components summarizes the components.

Assurance Requirements	Assurance Components						
Class ACM: Configuration management	ACM_CAP.2 Configuration items						
Class ADO: Delivery and operation	ADO_DEL.1 Delivery procedures						
	ADO_IGS.1 Installation, generation, and start-up procedures						
Class ADV: Development	ADV_FSP.1 Informal functional specification						
	ADV_HLD.1 Descriptive high-level design						
	ADV_RCR.1 Informal correspondence demonstration						
Class AGD: Guidance documents	AGD_ADM.1 Administrator guidance						
	AGD_USR.1 User guidance						
Class ALC : Life Cycle Support	ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures						
Class ATE: Tests	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage						
	ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing						
	ATE_IND.2 Independent testing – sample						
Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_SOF.1 Strength of TOE security function evaluation						
	AVA_VLA.1 Developer vulnerability analysis						

#### Table 18: Assurance Components

## 6 TOE Summary Specification

This section details how the TOE meets the functional and assurance requirements described in previous sections of this ST.

## 6.1 TOE Security Functions

This section provides a high-level definition of the IT security functions provided by the TOE to meet the SFRs and SARs specified in this ST.

### 6.1.1 Security Audit

#### Audit Generation

The TOE logs critical security functions related to user data protection and security management. Audit records are generated by the TOE when users or administrators submit a job or change TOE configuration. A job is a request for an authorized file transfer. Data related to jobs such as the owner of the job, the status of jobs, and queue position is written into log files by the Stat Manager. Data relating to specific file transfers, such as progress of file transfer, success or failure, is also gathered. The log files are stored in the TOE environment. See Table 11 for the list of functions that are audited. The audit data that is collected can be included or excluded based on the types of commands that are issued to the TOE. For example the 'select statistics' is a command that can be audited or not. This is set by an administrator.

#### **Audit Review**

The audit data can be reviewed by an administrator or a user. A user can be restricted by the administrator to have limited or no audit viewing privileges. While an administrator can read all audit information; restricted users can only read audit information relating to their actions. Audit data about a file transfer operation can be viewed from the BUI and CLI. Reports can be generated through the BUI and CLI.

TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied: FAU\_GEN.1, FAU\_SAR.1(a and b), and FAU\_SEL.1.

### 6.1.2 Cryptographic Support

The TOE uses TLS to establish a secure communication channel between a remote C:D SP node and itself before authorized file transfers can begin. During the TLS handshake's mutual authentication steps, X.509 digital certificates are used for remote C:D SP authentication. The TOE authentication is done using user ID and

password, but optionally it can be configured to use X.509 digital certificates. The servers then agree on cipher suites and exchange a cryptographic key which is used as a shared secret.

During file transfer the sender computes and transmits an HMAC for each payload transmitted (file segments), using the key agreed upon during the handshake phase. The receiver independently computes the HMAC and compares this with the received HMAC. If the comparison fails, it triggers an immediate termination of the file transfer and error messages are generated at both ends. All transmitted files are encrypted using the agreed symmetric algorithm and key. At the end of the session, the key is destroyed by zeroization. Zeroizing keys are done in accordance with the 'Key Zeroization' requirements in FIPS 140-2.

The TOE's cryptographic support is provided by a FIPS 140-2-validated cryptographic module. Keys used for each TLS session are generated and exchanged using standards listed in "Table 13: Cryptographic Key Generation Standards". The TOE ensures that only secure values are accepted for the cryptographic keys. During the TLS operation, the payload is encrypted using one of the symmetric encryption algorithms listed in "Table 12: Cryptographic Standards".

FIPS 140-2 certification for C:D SP on UNIX is currently pending with the National Institute of Standards and Technology. For the TOE running on Windows platform, it uses Certicom Corporations SSL Plus cryptographic tool kit which is a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module.

TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied: FCS\_COP.1, FCS\_CKM.1, FCS\_CKM.4, and FMT\_MSA.2.

#### 6.1.3 User Data Protection

The TOE's primary function is to transfer files between itself and a remote C:D SP node. Files being transmitted or received by C:D SP are considered user data within the TOE. Successful file transfer enforces the *SECURE FILE TRANSFER Security functional Policy (SFP)* using the following attributes:

[SUBJECT attributes:

- 1) TOE IP address;
- 2) TOE X.509 public key certificate
- 3) User access control permissions

#### **OBJECT** attributes:

- 1) File name;
- 2) File location;

ST Version 0.6

3) IP address of the C:D SP;4) Identity of the C:D SP].

For these attributes, the subject is a process and the objects are files. The policy rule states that a process is allowed to copy an object to a remote node if the object is located within the file system of the IT system the TOE is installed on.

The TOE will deliver the files in the following manner. Users authenticate with the TOE environment and submit jobs to the TOE. Jobs specify the file name, location, and a remote C:D SP node. From the job request, the TOE will determine whether there is a valid entry in the access list for the remote C:D SP node. If so, the TOE and the remote C:D SP node will mutually authenticate. Then the remote C:D SP node will determine if the TOE user who submitted the job is allowed access to the files. If granted access then the TOE can begin to service user requests to either receive or transmit files.

When servicing TOE user requests, the TOE initiates a connection to transmit or receive files. If transmitting files, the TOE reads the files from the TOE environment and transmits it to the remote C:D SP node. If receiving files, the TOE will receive the files and write them to the TOE environment. File transfer operations will occur over an encrypted TLS connection.

The user types for file transfer are described in Table 16. Once a request for a file transfer is submitted, the user does not have to be logged into the TOE during the file transfer operation.

For this evaluated configuration, the end-to-end transport and network layer connection will be established using the TCP/IP protocol. The secure file transfer uses a TLS session. During this operation, sender and receiver compute HMAC for each file segments transmitted. The sender transmits the HMAC and the receiver compares it. When comparisons fail and the TOE is the receiving end-point, it will trigger an immediate termination of the file transfer operation and error messages at both ends of the transaction will be generated. If the transmission was a success, the TOE will acknowledge it by notifying the remote C:D SP node. This mechanism ensures that modification, deletion, insertion, or replay has not occurred on receipt of files.

#### TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied: FDP\_ACF.1, ADP\_ACC.1, FDP\_UCT.1, and FDP\_UIT.1.

#### 6.1.4 Security Management

The TOE allows a user who possesses the appropriate privileges to perform management functions. Only authorized TOE users can access management functionality. They must authenticate with the TOE environment before they can access this TSF.

ST Version 0.6

TOE users can take two roles:

- Administrative users access the software to configure the system, update network maps, update security
  parameters, start and stop the system, create new users and assign privileges, submit jobs, and monitor all
  activity on the server, and modify the TOE audit settings to include or exclude some event types. See
  section 5.1.1 under "FAU\_SEL.1 Selective Audit" for specific details.
- Users can submit jobs, monitor jobs, and view audit data (statistics) related to their own jobs. A user cannot monitor the job of another user. These features can be further restricted by an administrator.

The administrator must have a user ID and password to authenticate to the server where the TOE is running. Access to start the TOE is controlled by the standard access control mechanisms of the TOE environment. These may be file permissions for the executable files, access control lists, rules enforced by access control software or whatever mechanism the organization has chosen to govern access to executable files. The TOE is shipped with a default administrator user ID and default password.

The TOE may be shut down in two ways by the administrator. A normal, managed shutdown is accomplished by logging onto the TOE and executing the appropriate shutdown sequence. An emergency or unmanaged shutdown can be accomplished using operating system kill or cancel facilities. The user must have operating system level authority to execute the kill/cancel operation.

Table 14 and Table 15 in section 5.1.4 lists the TOE management functions.

**TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied:** FMT\_MOF.1 (a), FMT\_MOF.1 (b), FMT\_MSA.1, FMT\_MSA.3, FMT\_MTD.1, FMT\_SMF.1, and FMT\_SMR.1.

#### 6.1.5 **Protection of TOE Security Functions**

Non-bypassability of the TOE is provided by the basic configuration and enforcement of the security policy rules. The functions that enforce the TSP will always be invoked before any function within the TSF scope of control is allowed to proceed. The TOE can be accessed through the API based applications, the CLI on the system hosting the TOE and the BUI/SPAdmin.

The user and administrator consoles are located on a protected network. They must successfully authenticate before performing any security management or policy changes to the TOE. The security policy rules enforced by the TOE are applied to C:D SP, so that every file transfer occurs within the constraints of that policy.

The TOE runs only processes that are needed for its proper execution and does not run any other user processes. Aspects of TOE protection are ensured by the TOE environment. In particular, it is assumed that the servers hosting the TOE on the protected network will remain attached to the physical connections made by an authorized administrator responsible for the proper installation of the TOE, so that the TOE cannot be bypassed.

Separation of domain is provided by the operating system and explicitly by the TOE. A user or an administrator must authenticate to the operating system before accessing the TOE. The permissions and credentials of that user account will enforce access control rights to the files on which the TOE will operate during file transfer. The TOE maintains a security domain for its own execution and enforces separation between the security domains of subjects in its scope of control through concurrent sessions. This allows multiple users to connect to the TOE during job submission, reviewing audit data, administration, and while multiple file transfer operations occur.

**TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied:** FPT\_SEP\_.1, and FPT\_RVM.1.

#### 6.1.6 Resource Utilization

The TOE provides the means to utilize resources when it is operating on objects for file transfer. The TOE is able to manage utilization of these resources because it services jobs based on their priority and position in the queue. The TOE accepts job submissions for file transfer from the TOE user and administrator. During submission, jobs are assigned a priority of execution and order of execution. The priority of the job submission is entered by the user or administrator as a request parameter, 1–15, where 15 is the highest priority. If no priority number is specified during submission, the default is 10.

When jobs are submitted to the TOE they enter the Transmission Control Queue (TCQ). The TCQ controls when jobs will get serviced. The TCQ is divided into four logical queues: **Execution**, **Wait**, **Timer**, and **Hold**. Jobs are placed into the appropriate logical queue based on submission parameters. Jobs can only be serviced from the **Execution** queue.

By the usage of these mechanisms, the TOE is able to manage the utilization of resources because it services jobs based on priority and position in the queue. When a job enters the **Execution** queue, its position in the queue is determined by priority.

**TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied:** FRU\_PRS.1.

#### 6.1.7 Trusted Path/Channel

The TOE provides the means to establish a trusted path between two C:D SP nodes. The TOE looks up the

ST Version 0.6

previously configured network information for the node the file needs to be sent to. This information includes the Server-ID (a logical name given during C:D SP setup), DNS name, and IP address. Once a TCP/IP connection is established, the TOE uses the previously configured security information to establish a TLS session with a remote C:D SP server. It performs mutual authentication and exchanges cryptographic keys as part of the TLS handshake. The established TLS session is the trusted path used to transfer files between the TOE and a remote C:D SP server.

**TOE Functional Requirements Satisfied:** FTP\_ITC.1.

## 6.2 TOE Security Assurance Measures

This section of the ST maps assurance requirements to measures used for development and maintenance of the TOE. The following table provides a mapping of the appropriate documentation to the assurance requirements.

Assurance Component	Assurance Measure						
ACM_CAP.2	[ACM_CAP.2] Configuration Management Document v0.1						
ADO_DEL.1	[ADO_DEL.1] Secure Delivery Procedure Document v0.1						
ADO_IGS.1	[ADO_IGS.1] Installation and Setup Procedure Document v0.1						
ADV_FSP.1	[ADV_FSP.1] TOE Architecture: High Level Design, Functional Specification, and Representation Correspondence v0.1						
ADV_HLD.1	[ADV_HLD.1] TOE Architecture: High Level Design, Functional Specification, and Representation Correspondence v0.1						
ADV_RCR.1	[ADV_RCR.1] TOE Architecture: High Level Design, Functional Specification, and Representation Correspondence v0.1						

 Table 19: Assurance Measures Mapping to SARs

Assurance	Assurance Measure
Component	
AGD_ADM.1	[AGD_ADM.1]
	AdministrationGuide.pdf (UNIX C:D)
	Implementationguide31.pdf (UNIX Secure+)
	SystemGuide.pdf (Windows C:D)
	SP Implementation Guide.pdf (Windows Secure+)
AGD_USR.1	[AGD_USR.1]
	BUI AdminGuide.pdf (Windows/UNIX)
	UsersGuide.pdf (UNIX)
	GettingStartedGuide.pdf (UNIX)
	GettingStarted.pdf (Windows)
	Release Notes.pdf (Windows)
ALC_FLR.2	[ALC_FLR.2]
	Life Cycle Support: Flaw Remediation v0.1
ATE_COV.1	[ATE_COV.1]
	Functional Tests and Coverage v0.1
ATE_FUN.1	[ATE_FUN.1]
	Functional Tests and Coverage v0.1
AVA_SOF.1	[AVA_SOF.1]
	Vulnerability Assessment v0.1
AVA_VLA.1	[AVA_VLA.1]
	Vulnerability Assessment v0.1

## 6.2.1 ACM\_CAP.2: Configuration Management Document

The Configuration Management document provides a description of the various tools used to control the configuration items and how they are used internally at Sterling Commerce, Inc. This document provides a complete configuration item list and a unique referencing scheme for each configuration item. Additionally, the configuration management system is described, including procedures that are used by developers to control and track changes that are made to the TOE. The documentation further details the TOE configuration items that are

controlled by the configuration management system.

### 6.2.2 ADO\_DEL.1: Delivery and Operation Document

The Delivery and Operation document provides a description of the secure delivery procedures implemented by Sterling Commerce, Inc. to protect against TOE modification during product delivery. The Installation Documentation provided by Sterling Commerce, Inc. details the procedures for installing the TOE and placing the TOE in a secure state offering the same protection properties as the master copy of the TOE. The Installation Documentation provides guidance to the TOE Users on configuring the TOE and how they affect the TSF.

## 6.2.3 ADO\_IGS.1: Installation Guidance, AGD\_ADM.1: Administrator Guidance, AGD\_USR.1: User Guidance

The installation guidance document provides the procedures necessary for the secure installation, generation, and start-up of the TOE for administrators and users of the TOE. The administrator guidance documentation provides detailed procedures for the administration of the TOE and description of the security functions provided by the TOE. The User Guidance documentation provided directs users on how to operate the TOE in a secure manner. Additionally, User Guidance explains the user-visible security functions and how they need to be exercised.

### 6.2.4 ALC\_FLR.2: Flaw reporting procedures

The Sterling Commerce, Inc. Life Cycle documentation deals with establishing discipline and control in developing and maintaining the TOE. Confidence in the correspondence between the TOE security requirements and the TOE is greater if security analysis and the production of the evidence are done on a regular basis as an integral part of the development and maintenance activities. There is a category within Life Cycle claimed for EAL 2 augmented with ALC\_FLR.2 assurance level Flaw Reporting Procedures. The corresponding CC Assurance Component is Flaw Remediation.

## 6.2.5 ADV\_FSP.1: Informal Functional Specification, ADV\_HLD.1: High Level Design, ADV\_RCR.1: Representation Correspondence.

The Sterling Commerce, Inc. design documentation consists of several related design documents that address the components of the TOE at different levels of abstraction. The following design documents address the Development Assurance Requirements:

- The Functional Specification provides a description of the security functions provided by the TOE and a description of the external interfaces to the TSF. The Functional Specification covers the purpose and method of use and a list of effects, exceptions, and error messages for each external TSF interface.
- The High-Level Design provides a top-level design specification that refines the TSF functional specification into the major constituent parts (subsystems) of the TSF. The high-level design identifies the basic structure of the TSF, the major elements, a listing of all interfaces, and the purpose and method of use

for each interface.

• The Correspondence Analysis demonstrates the correspondence between each of the TSF representations provided. This mapping is performed to show the functions traced from the ST description to the High-Level Design.

## 6.2.6 ATE\_COV.1: Test Coverage Analysis, ATE\_FUN.1: Functional Testing

There are a number of components that make up the Test documentation. The Coverage Analysis demonstrates that testing is performed against the functional specification. The Coverage Analysis demonstrates the extent to which the TOE security functions were tested, as well as the level of detail to which the TOE was tested. Test Plans and Test Procedures, which detail the overall efforts of the testing effort and break down the specific steps taken by a tester, are also provided in order to meet the assurance requirement Functional Testing.

## 6.2.7 AVA\_VLA.1: Vulnerability Analysis, AVA\_SOF.1: Strength of Function Analysis

A Vulnerability Analysis is provided to demonstrate ways in which an entity could violate the TSP and provide a list of identified vulnerabilities. Additionally, this document provides evidence of how the TOE is resistant to obvious attacks. The Strength of TOE Security Function Analysis demonstrates the strength of the probabilistic or permutational mechanisms employed to provide security functions within the TOE and how they exceed the minimum SOF requirements.

## 7 Protection Profile Claims

No Protection Profile conformance is claimed for this evaluation.

## 8 Rationale

This section provides the rationale for the selection of the security requirements, objectives, assumptions, and threats. In particular, it shows that the security requirements are suitable to meet the security objectives, which in turn are shown to be suitable to cover all aspects of the TOE security environment.

## 8.1 Security Objectives Rationale

This section provides a rationale for the existence of each assumption, threat, and policy statement that compose the Security Target.

OBJECTIVES Threats, Organizational Security Policies and Assumptions	NIMUN.O	O.AUDIT	O.VALIDATED_CRYPTO	O.PROTECT	O.BYPASS	O.PRIORITIZE	OE.I&A	OE.TIMESTAMPS	OE.BYPASS	OE.AUDITPROTECT	OE.MANAGE	OE.NOEVIL	OE.PHYSICAL
T.UNAUTH	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
T.HOG	×	×			×	×		×	×	×			
P.VALIDATED_CRYPTO.			*										
A.MANAGE											×		
A.NOEVIL												×	
A.PHYSICAL													×

#### Table 20: Security Objective Mapping

The following table provides descriptions of the mapping between the objectives and threats.

#### Table 21: Relationship of Security Threats to Objectives

## T.UNAUTH An unauthorized individual may breach the confidentiality or integrity of data transferred between TOEs.

The threat is removed by the TOE protecting transmitted files from unauthorized reading or modification (O.PROTECT). It does so using cryptographic mechanisms provided by a FIPS 140-2 validated module (O. VALIDATED\_CRYPTO).

This removal of the threat is supported by ensuring that the cryptographic protection mechanisms as always applied. This is provided by ensuring that only authorised users access the system (OE.I&A), by ensuring that those users have a secure method of administering the TOE (O.ADMIN) and by ensuring that the security functionality cannot be bypassed, either through the TOE or through the underlying TOE environment (O.BYPASS, OE.BYPASS). These in turn are supported by a robust audit mechanism (O.AUDIT) and (OE.AUDITPROTECT) which allows problems to be detected and corrected. The audit functionality is supported by timestamps provided by the environment (OE.TIMESTAMPS).

## T.HOG A TOE user may prevent high priority tasks from occurring by using too many system resources to process low priority tasks.

The threat is removed by the TOE ensuring that resources are allocated based on the priority of the task (O.PRIORITIZE).

This removal of the threat is supported by ensuring that this mechanism cannot be bypassed. This is provided by ensuring that users have a secure method of administering the TOE (O.ADMIN) and by ensuring that the security functionality cannot be bypassed, either through the TOE or through the underlying TOE environment (O.BYPASS, OE.BYPASS). These in turn are supported by a robust audit mechanism (O.AUDIT) and (OE.AUDITPROTECT) which allows problems to be detected and corrected. The audit functionality is supported by timestamps provided by the environment (OE.TIMESTAMPS).

## P.VALIDATED\_CRYPTO The TOE shall provide cryptographic functions for its own use. These functions will be provided by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module.

The TOE shall provide cryptographic functions for its own use. These functions are provided by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module (O.VALIDATED\_CRYPTO).

O.VALIDATED\_CRYPTO satisfies this organizational security policy.

## A.MANAGE There will be one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.

Those responsible for the TOE will provide competent individuals to perform management of the security of the environment, and restrict these functions and facilities from unauthorized use.

OE.MANAGE satisfies this assumption.

## A.NOEVIL Administrators are non-hostile, appropriately trained and follow all administrator guidance.

Sites using the TOE ensure that administrators are non-hostile, appropriately trained and follow all administrator guidance. OE.NOEVIL satisfies this assumption.

#### A.PHYSICAL Physical security will be provided for the TOE and its environment.

Physical security is provided within the domain for the value of the IT resources protected by the operating system and the value of the stored, processed, and transmitted information.

OE.PHYSICAL satisfies this assumption.

## 8.2 Security Functional Requirements Rationale

The following discussion provides detailed evidence of coverage for each security objective. The following table provides the mapping between the SFRs met by the TOE and the Objectives for the TOE.

	NIMUN.	O.AUDIT	O.VALIDATED_CRYPTO	O.PROTECT	O.BYPASS	O.PRIORITIZE	OE.I&A	OE.TIMESTAMPS	OE.BYPASS	OE.AUDITPROTECT
FAU_GEN.1		×								
FAU_SAR.1 (a & b)		×								
FAU_SEL.1		×								
FCS_CKM.1			×	×						
FCS_CKM.4			×	×						
FCS_COP.1			×	×						
FDP_ACC.1				×						
FDP_ACF.1				×						
FDP_UCT.1				×						
FDP_UIT.1				×						

#### Table 22: TOE Objective Mapping

	NIMDA.O	O.AUDIT	O.VALIDATED_CRYPTO	O.PROTECT	O.BYPASS	O.PRIORITIZE	OE.I&A	OE.TIMESTAMPS	OE.BYPASS	OE.AUDITPROTECT
FMT_MOF.1(a)	×									
FMT_MOF.1(b)	×									
FMT_MSA.1	×									
FMT_MSA.2	×									
FMT_MSA.3	×									
FMT_MTD.1	×									
FMT_SMF.1	×									
FMT_SMR.1	×									
TOE_SEP_(EXP).1					×					
FPT_RVM.1					×					
FRU_PRS.1						×				
FTP_ITC.1				×						
FIA_UID.2							×			
FIA_UAU.2							×			
FTP_STM.1								×		
FTP_SEP.1									×	
FAU_STG.1										×

Sterling	Commerce,	Inc.
----------	-----------	------

The following is a table that provides a rationale for the SFRs for the TOE and the IT Environment meeting the Objectives for the TOE and the IT Environment.

#### Table 23: Security Objective Rationale

## O.ADMIN The TOE must provide a secure method of administrative control of the TOE, ensuring that TOE users with the appropriate privileges, and only these TOE users, can exercise such control.

The TOE defines a set of roles (FMT\_SMR.1). Only some of those roles are given the right to control the behaviour of the TSF (FMT\_MOF.1(a), FMT\_MOF.1(b)) and to access TSF data (FMT\_MTD.1). These management functions are specified (FMT\_SMF.1). The security attributes used by the TOE are secure because the security attributes which can be initialized with default values are initialized with restrictive values (FMT\_MSA.3). Further, security attributes can only be changed to secure values (FMT\_MSA.2) and can only be changed by authorized users (FMT\_MSA.1).

# O.AUDIT The TOE must provide a robust means of recording security relevant events in sufficient detail to help an administrator of the TOE detect attempted security violations.

The TOE generates audit records for a wide range of security relevant events in each case recording sufficient information for the audit record to be useful (FAU\_GEN.1). These audit records are reviewable by authorized users in a readable format (FAU\_SAR.1 a & b). The level of audit information provided is selectable (FAU\_SEL.1)

## O.VALIDATED\_CRYPTO The TOE shall provide cryptographic functions for its own use. These functions will be provided by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module.

The TOE implements FIPS-approved algorithms in both hardware and software. (FCS\_COP.1) The related cryptographic actions of key generation and destruction are also implemented in a FIPS-approved manner by a FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic module (FCS\_CKM.1, FCS\_CKM.4):

- Digital signatures: DSA, RSA.
- DSA key generation.
- RSA key generation.
- Symmetric encryption: DES, 3DES, AES

#### O.PROTECT The TOE must protect transmitted files from unauthorized reading or modification.

The TOE has an access control policy which ensures that only authorised entities gain access to the transmitted files (FDP\_ACC.1, FDP\_ACF.1). This policy is enforced by protecting the confidentiality (FDP\_UCT.1) and integrity (FDP\_UIT.1) of the transmitted files through a trusted channel (FTP\_ITC.1). This channel is implemented cryptographically (FCS\_COP.1). The keys are created (FCS\_CKM.1) and destroyed (FCS\_CKM.4) in a secure manner.

#### O.BYPASS The TOE must ensure that the TSF cannot be bypassed.

The TOE maintain a security domain that protects it from interference and tampering (TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1) and ensures that enforcement functions are invoked and succeed before each function is allowed to proceed (FPT\_RVM.1).

#### O.PRIORITIZE The TOE must be able to allocate resources based on the priority of the task.

The TOE will allocate resources based on the priority of the task (FRU\_PRS.1).

OE.I&A The TOE environment must uniquely identify all users, and will authenticate the claimed identity before granting a user access to the TOE and/or resources protected by the TOE.

The TOE will not give any access to a user until the TOE environment has identified (FIA\_UID.2) and authenticated (FIA\_UAU.2) the user.

**OE.TIMESTAMPS** The TOE environment must provide reliable timestamps for the use of the TOE.

The TOE relies on the timestamp that is derived from the operating system. The timestamp is used for audit record labeling and arranging logs in chronological order (FPT\_STM.1).

**OE.BYPASS** The TOE environment must ensure that the TSF cannot be bypassed.

The TOE relies on the operating system to maintain a security domain that protects it from interference and tampering (FPT\_SEP.1).

OE.AUDITPROTECT The TOE environment must protect audit files from unauthorized modification or deletion.

The TOE relies on the environment to protect stored audit records from unauthorized modification or deletion (FAU\_STG.1).

## 8.3 Security Assurance Requirements Rationale

The TOE is designed as a server based software application for file transfer that is protected against eavesdropping, tampering, and message forgery. An assurance level of EAL 2+, structurally tested, was selected as the threat to the system resources and to the internal network security is considered to be unsophisticated attackers. It is felt that an evaluation at this level provides evidence that the TOE functions in a manner consistent with its documentation and that it provides useful protection against the identified threats.

## 8.4 Explicitly Stated Requirements Rationale

An explicitly stated requirement was created for stating the TSF Domain Separation requirement. The requirement TOE\_SEP\_(EXP).1 states "The TSF shall explicitly maintain a security domain that protects it from interference and tampering by untrusted subjects initiating actions through its own TSFI." This requirement differs from the standard SFR because it is stating that the TOE only protects itself from interference through its own interfaces. The TOE depends on the TOE environment, though the environmental SFR, FPT\_SEP.1, to ensure that the TOE is

protected from interference by the operating system in the TOE environment.

This SFR needed to be explicitly stated because there were no requirements in Common Criteria Part 2 which expressed this functionality.

## 8.5 Dependency Rationale

This ST satisfies all requirement dependencies of the Common Criteria. Table 24 lists each requirement to which the TOE claims conformance with a dependency and indicates whether the dependent requirement was included. As the table indicates, all dependencies have been met.

SFR ID	Dependencies	Dependency Met	Rationale
FAU_GEN.1	FPT_STM.1	$\checkmark$	FPT_STM dependency is met by the TOE environment.
FAU_SAR.1(a) FAU_SAR.1(b)	FAU_GEN.1	$\checkmark$	
FAU_SEL.1	FAU_GEN.1	~	
	FMT_MTD.1	✓	
	FMT_MSA.2	√	
FCS_CKM.1	FCS_COP.1	√	
	FCS_CKM.4	✓	
	FMT_MSA.2	√	
	FCS_CKM.1	✓	
FCS_CKM.4	FMT_MSA.2	~	
FCS_COP.1	FCS_CKM.1	✓	
	FCS_CKM.4	✓	
FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACF.1	✓	
FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACC.1	~	
	FMT_MSA.3	~	
FDP_UCT.1	FTP_ITC.1	~	

#### **Table 24: Functional Requirements Dependencies**

		, j	
SFR ID	Dependencies	Dependency Met	Rationale
	FDP_ACC.1	✓	
FDP_UIT.1	FTP_ITC.1	√	
	FDP_ACC.1	√	
FMT_MOF.1(a)	FMT_SMF.1	✓	
FMT_MOF.1(b)	FMT_SMR.1	✓	
FMT_MSA.1	FDP_ACC.1	√	
	FMT_SMF.1	✓	
	FMT_SMR.1	✓	
FMT_MSA.2	ADV_SPM.1	✓	Will be met by assurance documentation.
	FDP_ACC.1	$\checkmark$	
	FMT_MSA.1	$\checkmark$	
	FMT_SMR.1	$\checkmark$	
FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1	√	
	FMT_SMR.1	√	
FMT_MTD.1	FMT_SMF.1	✓	
	FMT_SMR.1	$\checkmark$	
FMT_SMF.1	No Dependencies		
FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	✓	FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1 and therefore
		(FIA_UID.2)	satisfies this dependency. The dependency is met by
			the TOE environment.
TOE_SEP_(EXP).1	No Dependencies		
FPT_RVM.1	No Dependencies		
FRU_PRS.1	No Dependencies		
FTP_ITC.1	No Dependencies		
Environmental SFRs			
FAU_STG.1	FAU_GEN.1	$\checkmark$	

SFR ID	Dependencies	Dependency Met	Rationale
FPT_SEP.1	No Dependencies		
FPT_STM.1	No Dependencies		
FIA_UID.2	No Dependencies		
FIA_UAU.2	FIA_UAU.1	~	FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1 and therefore
		(FIA_UID.2)	satisfies this dependency. The dependency is met by
			the TOE environment.

## 8.6 TOE Summary Specification Rationale

This section demonstrates that the combination of the specified IT security functions work together so as to satisfy the TOE security functional requirements.

SFR	RATIONALE
_GEN.1 _SAR.1 (a	The TOE logs critical security functions related to user data protection and security management. Audit records are generated by the TOE when users or administrators submit a job or change the configuration. A job request is for an authorized file transfer. Data related to the jobs such as the owner of the job, the status of jobs, and queue position are written into log files by the Stat Manager. Data relating to file transfers are also gathered, such as progress of file transfer, success, and failure. The log files are stored in the TOE environment. ( <i>FAU_GEN.1</i> ) The audit data can be reviewed by an administrator or a user. A user can be restricted by the administrator to have limited or no audit viewing privileges. Audit data about a file transfer operation can be viewed from the BUI and CLI. Reports can be generated through the BUI and CLI. Collection of audit can be changed based on the attributes found in Table 11 under section $5.1.1$ . ( <i>FAU_SAR.1</i> , <i>FAU_SEI_1</i> )
	_GEN.1 _SAR.1 (a _SEL.1

#### Table 25: Rationale Mapping between TSF and SFRs

Cryptographic Support	FCS_COP.1	The TOE performs encryption, decryption, digital signing, digital signature
	FCS_CKM.1	verification, and hashes in accordance with FIPS standards. (FCS_COP.1) The
	FCS_CKM.4	TOE generates cryptographic keys in accordance with the FIPS standards
	FMT_MSA.2	(FCS_CKM.1)
		The TOE provides key destruction by zeroizing keys in accordance with the Key
		Zeroization requirements in FIPS 140-2. Key zeroization occurs when keys are
		no longer needed or valid on the TOE. (FCS_CKM.4)
		The TOE ensures that only secure values are accepted for cryptographic keys.
		The keys are generated by FIPS validated algorithms. (FMT_MSA.2)
User Data Protection	FDP_ACC.1	The TOE provides user data protection by enforcing the SECURE FILE
	FDP_ACF.1	TRANSFER SFP on requests for a secure file transfer of files protected by the
	FDP_UCT.1	TOE. (FDP_ACC.1, FDP_ACF.1)
	FDP_UIT.1	The secure file transfer is done through the TLS connection. During this
		operation, sender and receiver compute an HMAC for each file segment
		transmitted. When hash comparisons fail, and the TOE is the receiving end-point,
		it will trigger an immediate termination of the file transfer operation and error
		messages at both ends of the transaction are generated. This mechanism
		ensures that modification, deletion, insertion, or replay has not occurred on receipt
		of files. (FDP_UCT.1, FDP_UIT.1)

	FMT_MOF.1 (a)	The TOE lets a user who possesses the appropriate privileges to perform
	FMT_MOF.1 (b)	management functions. All management functionality is allowed only by
	FMT_MSA.1	authorized TOE users. They must authenticate with the TOE operating
	FMT_MSA.3	environment before they can access this TSF.
	FMT_MTD.1	TOE users can take three roles:
	FMT_SMF.1	Administrative users access the software to configure the system, update
	FMT_SMR.1	network maps, update security parameters, start and stop the system,
O a survita a		create new users and assign privileges, submit jobs and monitor all
Security		activity on the server, and modify the TOE audit settings.
Management		(FMT_MOF.1(a), FMT_MOF.1(b), FMT_SMF.1)
		• Users can submit jobs, monitor, view, and sort audit data related to their
		own jobs. A user cannot monitor the jobs of another user. The audit
		viewing feature can be further restricted by an administrator.
		(FMT_MDT.1)
		The TOE's ability to provide restrictive default values which can only be
		overridden by the administrator to specify alternative initial values is not-
		applicable. (FMT_MSA.3)
		The functions that enforce the TSP must succeed first before any other function
	TOE SED (EV	can preced. No other administrator functions can be performed before
Protection of		identification and authentication of the user is completed (EPT BVM 1)
	F ). I	The TOE maintains different demains of execution enfercing demain expertise
155		The TOE maintains different domains of execution enforcing domain separation
		between human/II Entity-initiated and IOE-internal processes.
		(FPI_SEP_(EXP).1)
Resource	FRU_PRS.1	The TOE provides the means to utilize resources when it is operating on objects
Litilization		for file transfer. This is managed through priority and queue position of submitted
Ounzation		file transfer jobs. (FRU_PRS.1)
	FTP_ITC.1	The TOE provides the means to establish a trusted path between two C:D SP
		nodes. The TOE will use TLS to establish the trusted path with a remote C:D SP
Trusted		server. TLS performs mutual authentication and exchanges cryptographic keys
Path/Channel		as part of the TLS handshake that initiates the secure communication session.
		The established TLS session is the trusted path that is used to transfer files
		between the TOE and a remote C:D SP server. (FTP ITC.1)
	1	

## 8.7 TOE Summary Specification Rationale for the Security Assurance Requirements

EAL2 augmented with Flaw Remediation was chosen to provide a basic level of independently assured security in the absence of ready availability of the complete development record from the vendor. The chosen assurance level is consistent with the postulated threat environment.

The TOE is expected to be in a non-hostile position and embedded in or protected by other products designed to address threats that correspond with the intended environment. The chosen assurance level was also selected for conformance with the client's needs. See section 6.2 for detailed description of each of the assurance requirements used for development and maintenance of the TOE.

## 8.8 Strength of Function Rationale

A strength of function rating of SOF-basic is claimed for this TOE to meet the EAL 2+ assurance requirements. This SOF is sufficient to resist the threats identified in Section 3. Section 4 provides evidence that demonstrates that TOE threats are countered by the TOE security objectives. Section 8 demonstrates that the security objectives for the TOE and the TOE environment are satisfied by the security requirements. The evaluated TOE is intended to operate in commercial and DoD low-robustness environments processing unclassified information.

The relevant security functional requirement which has probabilistic or permutational functions is:

**FIA\_UAU.2** User Authentication before any action

The only mechanism within the TOE Environment that is probabilistic and permutational in nature is the password used to authenticate users to the TOE. The TOE requires that the minimum password length used to authenticate an entity would be equal to or greater than eight characters, containing at least one non-alphanumeric character (from a set of 33), at least one numeric character (from a set of 10), and at least two alpha characters (from a set of 52, since upper- and lower-case characters are differentiated), for a total character set of 95 characters.

In accordance with annex A.8 in the CEM, the elapsed time of attack results in a strength of function rating exceeding SOF-basic.

## 9 Acronyms

#### Table 26: Acronyms

Acronym	Description
ΑΡΙ	Applications Programming Interface
BUI	Browser User Interface
C:D	Connect: Direct
сс	Common Criteria
ccs	Canadian Common Criteria Scheme
C:D SP	Connect: Direct with Secure+
CLI	Command Line Interface
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level
EAL2	Evaluation Assurance Level 2
EAL2+	Evaluation Assurance Level 2 augmented with Flaw Remediation
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standards
НМАС	Hashed Message Authentication Code
SARs	Security Assurance Requirements
SFRs	Security Functional Requirements
SSL	Secure Socket Layer
STS	Station to Station
ST	Security Target
тсq	Transmission Control Queue
TLS	Transport Layer Security
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSC	TOE Scope of Control
TSF	TOE Security Function

Acronym	Description
TSP	TOE Security Policy