



# Venafi Trust Protection Platform 18.1 Security Target

Acumen Security, LLC.

Document Version: 1.2

## Table Of Contents

---

1	Security Target Introduction .....	5
1.1	Security Target and TOE Reference .....	5
1.2	TOE Overview and Description .....	5
1.3	TOE Architecture .....	6
1.3.1	Physical Boundaries .....	6
1.3.2	Security Functions provided by the TOE .....	7
1.3.2.1	Cryptographic Support .....	7
1.3.2.2	Secure Software Update .....	7
1.3.2.3	Security Management .....	7
1.3.2.4	User Data Protection .....	7
1.3.2.5	Protection of the TSF .....	8
1.3.2.6	Trusted Path/Channels .....	8
1.3.3	Other References .....	8
2	Conformance Claims .....	9
2.1	CC Conformance .....	9
2.2	Protection Profile Conformance .....	9
2.3	Conformance Rationale .....	9
2.3.1	Technical Decisions .....	9
3	Security Problem Definition .....	11
3.1	Threats .....	11
3.2	Assumptions .....	11
3.3	Organizational Security Policies .....	12
4	Security Objectives .....	13
4.1	Security Objectives for the TOE .....	13

4.2	Security Objectives for the Operational Environment.....	14
5	Security Requirements.....	16
5.1	Conventions .....	18
5.2	Security Functional requirements.....	19
5.2.1	Cryptographic Support (FCS).....	19
5.2.2	User Data Protection (FDP).....	21
5.2.3	Identification and Authentication (FIA) .....	21
5.2.4	Security Management (FMT) .....	22
5.2.5	Privacy (FPR).....	23
5.2.6	Protection of TSF (FPT).....	23
5.2.7	Trusted Path/Channel (FTP) .....	25
5.3	TOE SFR Dependencies Rationale for SFRs .....	25
5.4	Security Assurance Requirements .....	25
5.5	Rationale for Security Assurance Requirements .....	26
5.6	Assurance Measures .....	26
6	TOE Summary Specification .....	28

## Revision History

Version	Date	Description
1.1	June 2018	Initial release
1.2	August 2018	Updated to respond to NIAP comments

# 1 Security Target Introduction

## 1.1 Security Target and TOE Reference

This section provides information needed to identify and control this ST and its TOE.

Category	Identifier
ST Title	Venafi Trust Protection Platform Security Target
ST Version	1.2
ST Date	August 2018
ST Author	Acumen Security, LLC.
TOE Identifier	Venafi Trust Protection Platform
TOE Software Version	18.1
TOE Developer	Venafi
Key Words	Software

**Table 1 TOE/ST Identification**

## 1.2 TOE Overview and Description

Venafi Trust Protection Platform secures and protects keys and certificates in the datacenter, on desktops, on mobile and IoT devices, and in the cloud. This protection improves security posture with increased visibility, threat intelligence, policy enforcement, and faster incident response for certificate-related outages and compromises leveraging misused keys and certificates.

The platform supports all Venafi products and provides native integration with thousands of applications and common APIs for the extensive security ecosystem. Shared and extensible services enable enterprises to gain complete visibility into their key and certificate inventory, identify certificate reputation, and establish a baseline. The entire issuance and renewal process can be automated with policy enforcement and workflows, enabling new encryption dependent applications to be scaled quickly. Trust Protection Platform keeps organizations secure, helping them comply with standards and remediate key and certificate misuse.

## 1.3 TOE Architecture

### 1.3.1 Physical Boundaries

The TOE boundary is the application software which runs on the host platform. For this evaluation the TOE runs on Windows Server 2012 R2. The Universal C Runtime must be installed. In addition to this the following Microsoft Internet Information (IIS) web server roles must be installed:

- Common HTTP Features\Static Content
- Common HTTP Features\Default Document
- Health and Diagnostics\HTTP Logging
- Health and Diagnostics\Logging Tools
- Health and Diagnostics\Request Monitor
- Health and Diagnostics\Tracing
- Security\Request Filtering
- Performance\Static Content Compression

It should be noted that this operating system is outside the TOE boundary.

The following third party libraries come bundled with the TOE and are inside the TOE boundary.

- JSON.Net
- RestSharp
- PDFSharp
- MigraDoc
- HTMLAgility Pack
- SmartThreadPool
- Anti-Cross Site Scripting Library
- IronPython
- jQuery
- jQuery filament date range picker
- jQuery easyDate
- jquery maskedInput
- Moment JS
- Backbone JS
- Twitter bootstrap Apache v2
- Underscore
- JSON.Net
- ASP.NET Web Stack

- WebApi Versioning
- D3
- Flippy.js
- MagicSuggest
- OpenSSL
- Boost
- Beast
- JSON11
- Base64
- Cxxopts

The TOE also uses an external database to store credentials, certificates, keys and log data. Microsoft SQL Server 2012 is used in the evaluated configuration. This database is outside the boundary of the TOE and is only used for the storage of data. All data that is sent to the database is encrypted by the TOE and is stored in the database as cipherstrings. Decryption of data happens on the TOE after the data is retrieved from the database.

### **1.3.2 Security Functions provided by the TOE**

The TOE provides the security functionality required by [SWAPP].

#### **1.3.2.1 Cryptographic Support**

The TOE relies on underlying cryptographic functionality provided by the platform for all of its cryptographic operations, as allowed by the [SWAPP].

#### **1.3.2.2 Secure Software Update**

The TOE is distributed as a .MSI installer package.

#### **1.3.2.3 Security Management**

The TOE does not come with any default credentials. Upon installation it will randomly generate a self-signed certificate, and AES 256 symmetric key and a GUID for the base configuration of the system. No data is stored by the application on the platform file system.

#### **1.3.2.4 User Data Protection**

The TOE does not store or transmit anything that could be considered Personally Identifiable Information (PII).

#### **1.3.2.5 Protection of the TSF**

The TOE employs several mechanisms to ensure that it is secure on the host platform. The TOE never allocates memory with both write and execute permission. The TOE is designed to operate in an environment in which the following security techniques are in effect, Data execution prevention, Mandatory address space layout randomization (no memory map to an explicit address), Structured exception handler overwrite protection, Export address table access filtering, and Anti-Return Oriented Programming. This allows the TOE to operate in an environment in which the Enhanced Mitigation Experience Toolkit is also running. During compilation, the TOE is built with several flags enabled that check for engineering flaws. The TOE is built with the /GS flag enabled. This reduces the possibilities of stack-based buffer overflows in the product.

#### **1.3.2.6 Trusted Path/Channels**

TLS and SSH are used to protect all data transmitted to and from the TOE.

#### **1.3.3 Other References**

Protection Profile for Application Software, version 1.2, dated 22 April 2016 [SWAPP].

Extended Package for Secure Shell, version 1.0, dated 19 February, 2016 [SSHEP].



## **2 Conformance Claims**

### **2.1 CC Conformance**

This TOE is conformant to:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations Part 1, Version 3.1, Revision 5, September 2012
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations Part 2, Version 3.1, Revision 5, September 2012: Part 2 extended
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluations Part 2, Version 3.1, Revision 5, September 2012: Part 3 extended

### **2.2 Protection Profile Conformance**

This TOE is conformant to:

- Protection Profile for Application Software, version 1.2, dated 22 April 2016 [SWAPP].
- Extended Package for Secure Shell, version 1.0, dated 19 February, 2016 [SSHEP].

### **2.3 Conformance Rationale**

This Security Target provides exact conformance to Version 1.2 of the Protection Profile for Application Software and Version 1.0 of the Extended Package for Secure Shell (SSH). The security problem definition, security objectives and security requirements in this Security Target are all taken from the Protection Profile and the Extended Package, performing only operations defined there.

#### **2.3.1 Technical Decisions**

The following Technical Decisions have been considered for this evaluation:

- 0107 – FCS\_CKM - ANSI X9.31-1998, Section 4.1.for Cryptographic Key Generation
- 0119 – FCS\_STO\_EXT.1.1 in PP\_APP\_v1.2
- 0121 – FMT\_MEC\_EXT.1.1 Configuration Options
- 0122 – FMT\_SMF.1.1 Assignments moved to Selections
- 0131 – Update to FCS\_TLSS\_EXT.1.1 Test 4.5

Technical Query 365 was also considered for this evaluation. This Technical Query has not yet been published as a Technical Decision.



### 3 Security Problem Definition

The security problem definition has been taken from [SWAPP] and is reproduced here for the convenience of the reader. The security problem is described in terms of the threats that the TOE is expected to address, assumptions about the operational environment, and any organizational security policies that the TOE is expected to enforce.

#### 3.1 Threats

The following threats are drawn directly from the SWAPP.

ID	Threat
T.NETWORK_ATTACK	An attacker is positioned on a communications channel or elsewhere on the network infrastructure. Attackers may engage in communications with the application software or alter communications between the application software and other endpoints in order to compromise it.
T.NETWORK_EAVESDROP	An attacker is positioned on a communications channel or elsewhere on the network infrastructure. Attackers may monitor and gain access to data exchanged between the application and other endpoints.
T.LOCAL_ATTACK	An attacker can act through unprivileged software on the same computing platform on which the application executes. Attackers may provide maliciously formatted input to the application in the form of files or other local communications.
T.PHYSICAL_ACCESS	An attacker may try to access sensitive data at rest.

**Table 2 Threats**

#### 3.2 Assumptions

The following assumptions are drawn directly from the SWAPP.

ID	Assumption
A.PLATFORM	The TOE relies upon a trustworthy computing platform for its execution. This includes the underlying platform and whatever runtime environment it provides to the TOE.
A.PROPER_USER	The user of the application software is not willfully negligent or hostile, and uses the software in compliance with the applied enterprise security policy.
A.PROPER_ADMIN	The administrator of the application software is not careless, willfully negligent or hostile, and administers the software within compliance of the applied enterprise security policy.

**Table 3 OSPs**

**3.3 Organizational Security Policies**

There are no OSPs for the application

## 4 Security Objectives

The security objectives have been taken from [SWAPP] and are reproduced here for the convenience of the reader.

### 4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

The following security objectives for the TOE were drawn directly from the SWAPP.

ID	TOE Objective
O.INTEGRITY	<p>Conformant TOEs ensure the integrity of their installation and update packages, and also leverage execution environment-based mitigations. Software is seldom if ever shipped without errors, and the ability to deploy patches and updates to fielded software with integrity is critical to enterprise network security. Processor manufacturers, compiler developers, execution environment vendors, and operating system vendors have developed execution environment-based mitigations that increase the cost to attackers by adding complexity to the task of compromising systems. Application software can often take advantage of these mechanisms by using APIs provided by the runtime environment or by enabling the mechanism through compiler or linker options.</p> <p>Addressed by: FDP_DEC_EXT.1, FMT_CFG_EXT.1, FPT_AEX_EXT.1, FPT_TUD_EXT.1</p>
O.QUALITY	<p>To ensure quality of implementation, conformant TOEs leverage services and APIs provided by the runtime environment rather than implementing their own versions of these services and APIs. This is especially important for cryptographic services and other complex operations such as file and media parsing. Leveraging this platform behavior relies upon using only documented and supported APIs.</p> <p>Addressed by: FMT_MEC_EXT.1, FPT_API_EXT.1, FPT_LIB_EXT.1</p>
O.MANAGEMENT	<p>To facilitate management by users and the enterprise, conformant TOEs provide consistent and supported interfaces for their security-relevant configuration and maintenance. This includes the deployment of applications and application updates through the use of platform-supported deployment mechanisms and formats, as</p>

	<p>well as providing mechanisms for configuration. This also includes providing control to the user regarding disclosure of any PII.</p> <p>Addressed by: FMT_SMF.1, FPT_IDV_EXT.1, FPT_TUD_EXT.1.5, FPR_ANO_EXT.1</p>
O.PROTECTED_STORAGE	<p>To address the issue of loss of confidentiality of user data in the event of loss of physical control of the storage medium, conformant TOEs will use data-at-rest protection. This involves encrypting data and keys stored by the TOE in order to prevent unauthorized access to this data. This also includes unnecessary network communications whose consequence may be the loss of data.</p> <p>Addressed by: FDP_DAR_EXT.1, FCS_STO_EXT.1, FCS_RBG_EXT.1</p>
O.PROTECTED_COMMS	<p>To address both passive (eavesdropping) and active (packet modification) network attack threats, conformant TOEs will use a trusted channel for sensitive data. Sensitive data includes cryptographic keys, passwords, and any other data specific to the application that should not be exposed outside of the application.</p> <p>Addressed by: FTP_DIT_EXT.1, FCS_TLSC_EXT.1, FCS_RBG_EXT.1</p>

**Table 4 Objectives for the TOE**

**4.2 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment**

The following security objectives for the operational environment assist the TOE in correctly providing its security functionality. These track with the assumptions about the environment.

<b>ID</b>	<b>Objective for the Operation Environment</b>
OE.PLATFORM	The TOE relies upon a trustworthy computing platform for its execution. This includes the underlying operating system and any discrete execution environment provided to the TOE.

OE.PROPER_USER	The user of the application software is not willfully negligent or hostile, and uses the software within compliance of the applied enterprise security policy.
OE.PROPER_ADMIN	The administrator of the application software is not careless, willfully negligent or hostile, and administers the software within compliance of the applied enterprise security policy.

**Table 5 Objectives for the environment**

## 5 Security Requirements

This section identifies the Security Functional Requirements for the TOE and/or Platform. The Security Functional Requirements included in this section are derived from Part 2 of the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 4, dated: September 2012 and all international interpretations.

Requirement	Description
<b>Mandatory SFRs</b>	
FCS_COP.1(1)	Cryptographic Operation - Encryption/Decryption
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Cryptographic Operation - Keyed-Hash Message Authentication
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	SSH Protocol
FCS_STO_EXT.1	Storage of Secrets
FDP_DEC_EXT.1	Access to Platform Resources
FDP_NET_EXT.1	Network Communications
FDP_DAR_EXT.1	Encryption of Sensitive Application Data
FMT_MEC_EXT.1	Supported Configuration Mechanism
FMT_CFG_EXT.1	Secure by Default Configuration
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management Functions



FPR_ANO_EXT.1	User Consent for Transmission of Personally Identifiable Info
FPT_API_EXT.1	Use of Supported Services and APIs
FPT_AEX_EXT.1	Anti-Exploitation Capabilities
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Integrity for Installation and Update
FPT_LIB_EXT.1	Use of Third Party Libraries
FTP_DIT_EXT.1	Protection of Data in Transit
<b>Optional, Selection-Based and Objective SFRs</b>	
FCS_CKM_EXT.1	Cryptographic Key Generation Services
FCS_CKM.1(1)	Cryptographic Asymmetric Key Generation
FCS_CKM.1(2)	Cryptographic Symmetric Key Generation
FCS_CKM.2	Cryptographic Key Establishment
FCS_COP.1(2)	Cryptographic Operation - Hashing
FCS_COP.1(3)	Cryptographic Operation - Signing
FCS_COP.1(4)	Cryptographic Operation - Keyed-Hash Message Authentication

FCS_RBG_EXT.2	Random Bit Generation from Application
FCS_SSHC_EXT.1	SSH Protocol - Client
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1	TLS Client Protocol
FCS_TLSC_EXT.2	TLS Client Protocol
FCS_TLSC_EXT.4	TLS Client Protocol
FCS_TLSS_EXT.1	TLS Server Protocol
FIA_X509_EXT.1	X.509 Certificate Validation
FIA_X509_EXT.2	X.509 Certificate Authentication

**Table 6 SFRs**

**5.1 Conventions**

The CC defines operations on Security Functional Requirements: assignments, selections, assignments within selections and refinements. This document uses the following font conventions to identify the operations defined by the CC:

- Assignment: Indicated with *italicized* text;
- Refinement: Indicated with **bold** text;
- Selection: Indicated with underlined text;
- Iteration: Indicated by appending the iteration number in parenthesis, e.g., (1), (2), (3).
- Where operations were completed in the PP itself, the formatting used in the PP has been retained.

Explicitly stated SFRs are identified by having a label 'EXT' after the requirement name for TOE SFRs. Formatting conventions outside of operations matches the formatting specified within the PP.

## 5.2 Security Functional requirements

### 5.2.1 Cryptographic Support (FCS)

#### FCS\_COP.1(1) Cryptographic Operation – Encryption/Decryption (Refined)

##### FCS\_COP.1.1(1)

The SSH software shall invoke platform-provided encryption/decryption services for data in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm AES-CTR (as defined in NIST SP 800-38A) mode and cryptographic key sizes [128-bit, 256-bit].

#### FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 Random Bit Generation Services

##### FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [invoke platform-provided DRBG functionality] for its cryptographic operations

#### FCS\_SSH\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol

##### FCS\_SSH\_EXT.1.1

The SSH software shall implement the SSH protocol that complies with RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, 4254 and [no other RFCs] as a [client].

#### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1 SSH Protocol – Client

##### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.1

The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, and [password-based].

##### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.2

The SSH client shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than [35,000] bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.

##### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.3

The SSH software shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses the following encryption algorithms and rejects all other encryption algorithms: aes128-ctr, aes256-ctr, [aes128-cbc, aes256-cbc].

##### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.4

The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [ssh-rsa, ecdsa-sha2- nistp256] and [no other public key algorithms] as its public key algorithm(s) and rejects all other public key algorithms.

#### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.5

The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses [hmac-sha1, hmac-sha2-256, hmac-sha2-512] and [no other MAC algorithms] as its data integrity MAC algorithm(s) and rejects all other MAC algorithm(s).

#### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.6

The SSH client shall ensure that [diffiehellman- group14-sha1] and [no other methods] are the only allowed key exchange methods used for the SSH protocol.

#### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.7

The SSH server shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after [no more than 1 hour] using that key.

#### FCS\_SSHC\_EXT.1.8

The SSH client shall ensure that the SSH client authenticates the identity of the SSH server using a local database associating each host name with its corresponding public key or [no other methods] as described in RFC 4251 section 4.1.

### **FCS\_STO\_EXT.1 Storage of Secrets**

#### FCS\_STO\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [invoke the functionality provided by the platform to securely store *[DSN, PKCS12, PKCS8 (private key), Usernames, Passwords, Customer Application Credentials]*] to non-volatile memory.

### **FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1 TLS Client Protocol**

#### FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [invoke platform-provided TLS 1.2] supporting the following ciphersuites:  
Mandatory Ciphersuites: TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA as defined in RFC 5246

Optional Ciphersuites: [

- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246,
- TLS\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246,
- TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_CBC\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289,
- TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289,
- TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_CBC\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289,
- TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_256\_GCM\_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289]

#### FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.2

The application shall verify that the presented identifier matches the reference identifier according to RFC 6125.

#### FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.1.3

The application shall only establish a trusted channel if the peer certificate is valid.

### **FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.4 TLS Client Protocol**

#### FCS\_TLSC\_EXT.4.1

The application shall present the supported Elliptic Curves Extension in the Client Hello with the following NIST curves: [secp256r1, secp384r1, secp521r1] and no other curves.

## **5.2.2 User Data Protection (FDP)**

### **FDP\_DEC\_EXT.1 Access to Platform Resources**

#### FDP\_DEC\_EXT.1.1

The application shall restrict its access to [no hardware resources].

#### FDP\_DEC\_EXT.1.2

The application shall restrict its access to [system logs].

### **FDP\_NET\_EXT.1 Network Communications**

#### FDP\_NET\_EXT.1.1

The application shall restrict network communication to [*communications with the backend database, IIS application communication, communicating with managed hosts, user configured discovery*]

### **FDP\_DAR\_EXT.1 Encryption Of Sensitive Application Data**

#### FDP\_DAR\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [leverage platform provided functionality to encrypt sensitive data] in non-volatile memory.

## **5.2.3 Identification and Authentication (FIA)**

### **FIA\_X509\_EXT.1 Certificate Validation**

#### FIA\_X509\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [invoked platform-provided functionality] to validate certificates in accordance

with the following rules:

- RFC 5280 certificate validation and certificate path validation.
- The certificate path must terminate with a trusted CA certificate.
- The application shall validate a certificate path by ensuring the presence of the basicConstraints extension and that the CA flag is set to TRUE for all CA certificates.
- The application shall validate the revocation status of the certificate using [the Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) as specified in RFC 2560 , a Certificate Revocation List (CRL) as specified in RFC 5759 , an OCSP TLS Status Request Extension (i.e., OCSP stapling) as specified in RFC 6066].
- The application shall validate the extendedKeyUsage field according to the following rules:
  - Certificates used for trusted updates and executable code integrity verification shall have the Code Signing purpose (id-kp 3 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.3) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Server certificates presented for TLS shall have the Server Authentication purpose (id-kp 1 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.1) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Client certificates presented for TLS shall have the Client Authentication purpose (id-kp 2 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.2) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - S/MIME certificates presented for email encryption and signature shall have the Email Protection purpose (id-kp 4 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.4) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - OCSP certificates presented for OCSP responses shall have the OCSP Signing purpose (id-kp 9 with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.9) in the extendedKeyUsage field.
  - Server certificates presented for EST shall have the CMC Registration Authority (RA) purpose (id-kp-cmcRA with OID 1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.28) in the extendedKeyUsage field.

FIA\_X509\_EXT.1.2

The application shall only treat a certificate as a CA certificate if the basicConstraints extension is present and the CA flag is set to TRUE.

## **5.2.4 Security Management (FMT)**

### **FMT\_MEC\_EXT.1 Supported Configuration Mechanism**

FMT\_MEC\_EXT.1.1

The application shall invoke the mechanisms recommended by the platform vendor for storing and setting configuration options.

### **FMT\_CFG\_EXT.1 Secure by Default Configuration**

#### FMT\_CFG\_EXT.1.1

The application shall only provide enough functionality to set new credentials when configured with default credentials or no credentials.

#### FMT\_CFG\_EXT.1.2

The application shall be configured by default with file permissions which protect it and its data from unauthorized access.

### **FMT\_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions**

#### FMT\_SMF.1.1

The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions [

- enable/disable the transmission of any information describing the system's hardware, software, or configuration,
- enable/disable transmission of any application state (e.g. crashdump) information,
- *enable/disable debug level logging, enable/disable service modules, enable/disable web applications]*

].

### **5.2.5 Privacy (FPR)**

#### **FPR\_ANO\_EXT.1 User Consent for Transmission of Personally Identifiable Information**

##### FPR\_ANO\_EXT.1

The application shall [not transmit PII over a network].

### **5.2.6 Protection of TSF (FPT)**

#### **FPT\_API\_EXT.1 Use of Supported Services and APIs**

##### FPT\_API\_EXT.1.1

The application shall only use supported platform APIs.

#### **FPT\_AEX\_EXT.1 Anti-Exploitation Capabilities**

##### FPT\_AEX\_EXT.1.1

The application shall not request to map memory at an explicit address except for [*no exceptions*].

##### FPT\_AEX\_EXT.1.2

The application shall [not allocate any memory region with both write and execute permissions].

FPT\_AEX\_EXT.1.3

The application shall be compatible with security features provided by the platform vendor.

FPT\_AEX\_EXT.1.4

The application shall not write user-modifiable files to directories that contain executable files unless explicitly directed by the user to do so.

FPT\_AEX\_EXT.1.5

The application shall be compiled with stack-based buffer overflow protection enabled.

### **FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1 Integrity for Installation and Update**

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [provide the ability] to check for updates and patches to the application software.

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.2

The application shall be distributed using the format of the platform-supported package manager.

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.3

The application shall be packaged such that its removal results in the deletion of all traces of the application, with the exception of configuration settings, output files, and audit/log events.

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.4

The application shall not download, modify, replace or update its own binary code.

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.5

The application shall [provide the ability] to query the current version of the application software.

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.6

The application installation package and its updates shall be digitally signed such that its platform can cryptographically verify them prior to installation.

### **FPT\_LIB\_EXT.1 Use of Third Party Libraries**

FPT\_LIB\_EXT.1.1

The application shall be packaged with only [*JSON.net, RestSharp, PDFSharp, MigraDocm HTMLAgility Pack, SmartThreadPool, MS Anti-Cross Site Scripting Library, IronPython, jQuery, jQuery filament date*]



*range picker, jquery easyDate, jquery maskedInput, Moment JS, Backbone JS, twitter bootstrap Apache v2, underscore, JSON.Net, ASP.NET Web Stack, WebApi Versioning, D3, Flippy.js, MagicSuggest, OpenSSL, Boost, Beast, JSON11, Base64, cxxopts].*

### 5.2.7 Trusted Path/Channel (FTP)

#### FTP\_DIT\_EXT.1 Protection of Data in Transit

FTP\_DIT\_EXT.1.1

The application shall [encrypt all transmitted data with [SSH, TLS]] between itself and another trusted IT product.

### 5.3 TOE SFR Dependencies Rationale for SFRs

The Protection Profile for Application Software contains all the requirements claimed in this Security Target. As such, the dependencies are not applicable since the PP has been approved.

### 5.4 Security Assurance Requirements

The TOE assurance requirements for this ST are taken directly from the Protection Profile for Application Software which are derived from Common Criteria Version 3.1, Revision 4. The assurance requirements are summarized in the table below.

Assurance Class	Components	Components Description
Development	ADV_FSP.1	Basic Functional Specification
Guidance Documents	AGD_OPE.1	Operational User Guidance
	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative User Guidance
Life Cycle Support	ALC_CMC.1	Labeling of the TOE
	ALC_CMS.1	TOE CM Coverage
	ALC_TSU_EXT.1	Timely Security Updates
Tests	ATE_IND.1	Independent Testing – Conformance
Vulnerability Assessment	AVA_VAN.1	Vulnerability Analysis

**Table 7 Security Assurance Requirements**

## 5.5 Rationale for Security Assurance Requirements

The functional specification describes the external interfaces of the TOE; such as the means for a user to invoke a service and the corresponding response of those services. The description includes the interface(s) that enforces a security functional requirement, the interface(s) that supports the enforcement of a security functional requirement, and the interface(s) that does not enforce any security functional requirements. The interfaces are described in terms of their purpose (general goal of the interface), method of use (how the interface is to be used), parameters (explicit inputs to and outputs from an interface that control the behavior of that interface), parameter descriptions (tells what the parameter is in some meaningful way), and error messages (identifies the condition that generated it, what the message is, and the meaning of any error codes). The development evidence also contains a tracing of the interfaces to the SFRs described in this ST.

## 5.6 Assurance Measures

The TOE satisfies the identified assurance requirements. This section identifies the Assurance Measures applied by FEYE to satisfy the assurance requirements. The table below lists the details.

SAR Component	How the SAR will be met
ADV_FSP.1	The functional specification describes the external interfaces of the TOE; such as the means for a user to invoke a service and the corresponding response of those services. The description includes the interface(s) that enforces a security functional requirement, the interface(s) that supports the enforcement of a security functional requirement, and the interface(s) that does not enforce any security functional requirements. The interfaces are described in terms of their purpose (general goal of the interface), method of use (how the interface is to be used), parameters (explicit inputs to and outputs from an interface that control the behavior of that interface), parameter descriptions (tells what the parameter is in some meaningful way), and error messages (identifies the condition that generated it, what the message is, and the meaning of any error codes).
AGD_OPE.1	The Administrative Guide provides the descriptions of the processes and procedures of how the administrative users of the TOE can securely administer the TOE using the interfaces that provide the features and functions detailed in the guidance.
AGD_PRE.1	The Installation Guide describes the installation, generation, and startup procedures so that the users of the TOE can put the components of the TOE in the evaluated configuration.
ALC_CMC.1	The Configuration Management (CM) documents describe how the consumer identifies the evaluated TOE. The CM documents identify the configuration items, how those configuration items are uniquely identified, and the adequacy of the procedures that are used to control and
ALC_CMS.1	

SAR Component	How the SAR will be met
	track changes that are made to the TOE. This includes details on what changes are tracked and how potential changes are incorporated.
ALC_TSU_EXT.1	Venafi uses a systematic method for identifying and providing security relevant updates to the TOEs users via its support infrastructure.
ATE_IND.1	Venafi will provide the TOE for testing.
AVA_VAN.1	Venafi will provide the TOE for testing.

**Table 8 TOE Security Assurance Measures**

## 6 TOE Summary Specification

This chapter identifies and describes how the Security Functional Requirements identified above are met by the TOE.

TOE SFR	Rationale
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	<p>The TOE uses the DRBG functionality provided by the Windows platform. The platform's .Net's RNGCryptoServiceProvider service is used for this functionality.</p> <p>Due to its leveraging of platform cryptographic functionality there are no TOE functions covered by ST SFRs that use random numbers provided by the platform. All random numbers used by SFR related functions are used by the platform's underlying cryptographic functionality.</p>
FCS_STO_EXT.1	<p>The TOE relies on the platform to securely store credentials. The Windows Registry is used for storage of the TOE's symmetric key. This AES 256 key is used for the encryption and decryption of secrets. It is protected by the Windows Data Protection API (DPAPI).</p>
FCS_TLSC_EXT.1 FCS_TLSC_EXT.4	<p>TLS support is provided by the platform that the TOE runs on. The following cipher suites are supported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA</li> <li>• TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246,</li> <li>• TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5246,</li> <li>• TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289,</li> <li>• TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256 as defined in RFC 5289,</li> <li>• TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289,</li> <li>• TLS_ECDHE_ECDSA_WITH_AES_256_GCM_SHA384 as defined in RFC 5289</li> </ul> <p>TLS is used to protect the transmission of TOE data to external entities. The TOE supports the following Elliptic Curve Extensions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• secp256r1</li> <li>• secp384r1</li> <li>• secp521r1</li> </ul> <p>The TOE support these curves by default and no additional configuration is required in</p>

TOE SFR	Rationale
	<p>order to enable them.</p> <p>In the evaluated configuration the TOE is restricted to TLS 1.2.</p> <p>The TOE uses the underlying Windows platform for all certificate validation. As described in the Windows Server 2012 ST, the following identifiers are checked;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distinguished Name (DN)</li> <li>• Subject Name (SN)</li> <li>• Subject Alternative Name (SAN)</li> <li>• Extended Key Usages</li> </ul> <p>Wildcards in the leftmost portion of the resource identifier can be accepted for identification.</p> <p>Certificate pinning is not supported.</p>
<p>FCS_SSH_EXT.1</p> <p>FCS_SSHC_EXT.1</p>	<p>The TOE functions as an SSH client in order to communicate with target applications and certificate authorities.</p> <p>Both public-key and password-based authentication are supported. The following SSH transport algorithms may be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AES128-CBC</li> <li>• AES256-CBC</li> <li>• AES128-CTR</li> <li>• AES256-CTR</li> </ul> <p>SSH-RSA and ECDSA-SHA2-NISTp256 are the supported public key algorithms. HMAC-SHA1, HMAC-SHA2-256 and HMAC-SHA2-512 may be used for data integrity. Diffiehellman-group14-sha1 is the only key exchange method used.</p> <p>If the TOE receives an SSH packet larger than 35,000 bytes the packet is dropped and the SSH connection is closed.</p>
<p>FDP_DEC_EXT.1</p> <p>FDP_NET_EXT.1</p>	<p>Network connectivity is the only platform resource accessed by the TOE. The TOE communicates with a backend database, IIS applications, managed hosts and to perform discovery services.</p>
<p>FDP_DAR_EXT.1</p>	<p>No sensitive data is stored by the TOE in non-volatile memory.</p>
<p>FIA_X509_EXT.1</p>	<p>The TOE uses X.509v3 certificates as defined by RFC 5280 to support authentication for TLS connections. All certificate validation is performed by the underlying Windows platform, and certificates are stored in the Windows certificate store.</p>

TOE SFR	Rationale
	<p>As stated in the Window ST, certificate validation is done in conformance to RFC 5280. Certificate validation paths must terminate with a trusted CA certificate that contains the basicConstraints extension and a CA flag that is set to TRUE. ExtendedkeyUsage field validation is also performed.</p> <p>CRLs and OCSP are configurable and may be used for certificate revocation. Checking is also done for the basicConstraints extension and the cA flag to determine whether they are present and set to TRUE. If they are not, the certificate is not accepted.</p>
FMT_MEC_EXT.1	The TOE does not store any TSF related configuration options using platform mechanisms.
FMT_CFG_EXT.1	There are no default credentials within the TOE. Upon installation the TOE generates a GUID for the base configuration of the system.
FMT_SMF.1	<p>The TOE is capable of the following management functions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Logging: It is possible to enable/disable Debug level logging. Debug level logging has the potential to display internal information. Debug level logging can be enabled/disabled via an option in the UI on the Engine (Platform Tree → Engine → Allow Debug)</li> <li>• Stack Traces: By default stack traces are not displayed in the Admin UI consoles. In order to enable the display of stack traces it is necessary to modify the web.config file for each application.</li> <li>• Enable/Disable Service Modules: It is possible to enable/disable functions of the platform. In order to do so, go to the Platforms Tree and Enable/Disable desired modules.</li> <li>• Web Applications: Upon install, the Admin is given the option to enable various web applications. Once created, these applications can be modified by running the Venafi Control Center.</li> </ul>
FPR_ANO_EXT.1	The TOE does not transmit any PII.
FPT_API_EXT.1	<p>Microsoft .Net 4.6.1 is used by the TOE.</p> <p>Through .Net the TOE is able to call the following underlying Windows cryptographic modules:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cryptographic Primitives Library (bcryptprimitives.dll and ncryptssp.dll) (FIPS Approved algorithms: AES (Cert. #2832); DRBG (Certs. #489); DSA (Cert. #855); ECDSA (Cert. #505); HMAC (Cert. #1773); KAS (Cert. #47); KBKDF (Cert. #30); PBKDF (vendor affirmed); RSA (Certs. #1487, #1493 and #1519); SHS (Cert. #2373); Triple-DES (Cert. #1692))</li> </ul>

TOE SFR	Rationale
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kernel Mode Cryptographic Primitives Library (cng.sys) (FIPS Approved algorithms: AES (Cert. #2832); DRBG (Certs. #489); ECDSA (Cert. #505); HMAC (Cert. #1773); KAS (Cert. #47); KBKDF (Cert. #30); PBKDF (vendor affirmed); RSA (Certs. #1487, #1493 and #1519); SHS (Cert. # 2373); Triple-DES (Cert. #1692))</li> </ul>
FPT_AEX_EXT.1	<p>The TOE never maps memory to explicit addresses, nor does it allocate memory regions with write and execute permissions.</p> <p>It is not necessary to use compiler flags to enable ASLR. The TOE's code is not run natively, but instead as managed code on top of Microsoft's .Net.</p> <p>Similarly, the use of a managed code base means that compiler flags aren't used for stack-based buffer overflow protection. Stack Based buffer overflows are protected in managed code by an exception being thrown by the CLR rather than having the overflow happen on the stack.</p>
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	<p>Updates to the TOE are distributed as .MSI installation files, and are performed in the same manner as a product installation.</p> <p>All binaries are signed using signtool.exe, which is a .Net framework tool for digital file signatures.</p>
FPT_LIB_EXT.1	<p>The TOE is installed with the following third-party libraries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ISON.net</li> <li>RestSharp</li> <li>PDFSharp</li> <li>MigraDocm HTMLAgility Pack</li> <li>SmartThreadPool</li> <li>MS Anti-Cross Site Scripting Library</li> <li>IronPython</li> <li>jQuery</li> <li>jQuery filament date range picker</li> <li>iquery easyDate</li> <li>iquery maskedInput</li> <li>Moment JS</li> <li>Backbone JS</li> <li>twitter bootstrap Apache v2</li> <li>underscore</li> <li>JSON.Net</li> <li>ASP.NET Web Stack,</li> <li>WebApi Versioning</li> </ul>

TOE SFR	Rationale
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• D3</li> <li>• Flippy.js</li> <li>• MagicSuggest</li> <li>• OpenSSL</li> <li>• Boost</li> <li>• Beast</li> <li>• JSON11</li> <li>• Base64</li> <li>• cxxopts</li> </ul>
FTP_DIT_EXT.1	All external communications are protected by SSH or TLS.

**Table 9 TOE Summary Specification SFR Description**



