Thinklogical

VX 320 Router KVM Matrix Switch Security Target

Document Version 3.6



Prepared by Thinklogical

Table of Contents

I SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 SECURITY TARGET AND TOE IDENTIFICATION	3
1.2 Security Target Overview	
1.3 COMMON CRITERIA CONFORMANCE	
1.4 CONVENTIONS	4
2 TOE DESCRIPTION	5
2.1 System Type and Overview	5
2.2 TOE PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES	
2.3 TOE LOGICAL BOUNDARIES	7
3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	8
3.1 SECURE USAGE ASSUMPTIONS	8
3.2 Threats	
3.3 ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES	
4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES	10
4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE	10
4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT	
5 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS	12
5.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	12
5.1.1 User Data Protection (FDP)	
5.1.1.1 FDP_ETC.1 Export of user data without security attributes	
5.1.1.2 FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control	
5.1.1.3 FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	
5.1.1.4 FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes	
5.2 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
5.2.1 ASSURANCE COMPONENTS	
6 TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION	14
6.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS	14
6.1.2 User Data Protection	
6.2 Assurance Measures	
7 RATIONALE	
7.1 RATIONALE FOR SECURITY OBJECTIVES	
7.2 RATIONALE FOR SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT	
7.3 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	
7.4 SECURITY ASSURANCE RATIONALE	
7.5 KATIONALE FOR SATISFFING ALL DEFENDENCIES 7.6 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS RATIONALE	
2 ACDONVMS	າາ

1 Security Target Introduction

1.1 Security Target and TOE Identification

Security Target Title: Thinklogical VX 320 Router KVM Matrix Switch

Security Target

ST Author: Thinklogical

TOE Identification: Velocity Matrix Router 320 (VXR-000320 Rev A)

Velocity Matrix Router 320 Data Input and Output Card, 16 Ports, SFP+, Multi-

Mode (VXM-D00016 Rev A)

Common Criteria Version: 3.1 Revision 3.

Assurance Level: EAL4 PP Identification: None

1.2 Security Target Overview

Thinklogical VX320 Router KVM Matrix Switch is a fiber optic switch that uses multi-mode or single-mode fiber optics to transmit and receive a digital video pulse stream without alteration or interpretation of the original signal. Embedded keyboard, mouse, USB 1.1, USB 2.0 (high speed up to 480 Mbps), and audio signals are also transmitted. The VX320 provides reliability and signal integrity with high performance 6.25Gbps capability. Scalable up to 320 x 320 port groups, this highly robust KVM Matrix Switch is used with Thinklogical™ Velocity extender series. The Switch includes pluggable cards which allow changing the number of supported ports in groups of 16.

The TOE provides remote connections from a set of shared computers to a set of shared peripherals. The switching capability of the TOE is used to connect ports on a particular computer to a particular peripheral set. The corresponding electronic signal from a computer port is transformed into an optical signal by the Velocity extender, transmitted through an optical fiber, switched by the KVM Matrix Switch to another optical fiber, and then transformed back to an electronic form by the Velocity extender. The resulting signal is used by the shared peripherals.

The TOE provides a capability to dynamically change the switching configuration to connect a particular computer to a particular peripheral set.

The TOE enforces secure separation of information flows corresponding to different switched connections. The corresponding Data Separation Security Policy is the main security feature of the TOE.

1.3 Common Criteria Conformance

Common Criteria: Part 2 and Part 3 conformant.

Assurance Level: EAL4.

1.4 Conventions

The notation, formatting, and conventions used in this ST are consistent with version 3.1 of the Common Criteria (CC). The CC allows several operations to be performed on functional requirements; refinement, selection, assignment, and iteration.

The **refinement** operation is used to add detail to a requirement, and thus further restricts a requirement. Refinement of security requirements is denoted by **bold text**. Deleted words are denoted by strikethrough text.

The **selection** operation is used to select one or more options provided by the CC in stating a requirement. Selections are denoted by *italicized* text.

The **assignment** operation is used to assign a specific value to an unspecified parameter, such as the length of a password. Assignment is indicated by showing the value in square brackets, [Assignment value].

The **iteration** operation is used when a component is repeated with varying operations. Iteration is denoted by showing the iteration number in parenthesis following the component identifier, (iteration_number).

The CC paradigm also allows protection profile (PP) and security target authors extended components. In this ST, extended components will be indicated with the "_EXT" following the component name.

Assumptions: TOE secure usage assumptions are given names beginning with "A."-- e.g., A.ACCESS.

Threats: Threats are given names beginning with "T."-- e.g., T.COMINT.

Policies: Organizational Security Policies are given names beginning with "P."-- e.g., P.CRYPTOGRAPHY.

Objectives: Security objectives for the TOE and the TOE environment are given names beginning with "O." and "OE.", respectively,—e.g., O.CRYPTOGRAPHY and OE.INSTAL.

2 TOE Description

2.1 System Type and Overview

The TOE is a Bi-directional routing system, which provides connection of 320 optical inputs located on the upper card cage ports to any or all of the 320 optical outputs located on the same upper card cage ports and connection of 320 optical inputs located on the lower card cage ports to any or all of the 320 optical outputs located on the same lower card cage ports. The TOE consists of 40 Data Input and Output Cards having 16 optical input and output ports. The 20 Data Input and Output Cards installed in the upper card cage are used to connect the 320 Inputs in one direction (Transmitter Port L1 to Receiver Port L1) and the 20 Data Input and Output Cards installed in the lower card cage are used to connect the 320 Inputs in the other direction (Transmitter Port L1 to Receiver Port L1). The TOE allows for remote operation of shared computers using sets of shared peripherals, dynamically connecting (switching) physical ports on a particular computer to a particular shared peripheral set.

The TOE consists of the following hardware devices:

- 1. Thinklogical KVM Matrix Switch (VX320 Router)
- 2. 40 Data Input and Output Cards

Velocity Transmitter Extenders are connected to the input ports of the Data Input and Output Cards located in the upper card cage and to the output ports of the Data Input and Output cards located in the lower card cage of the Switch using optical fibers connections.

Velocity Receiver Extenders are connected to the output ports of the Data Input and Output Cards located in the upper card cage and to the input ports of the Data Input and Output cards located in the lower card cage of the Switch using optical fibers connections.

Each Transmitter and Receiver Port Group is composed of two ports: T port and R port. Two optical cables are then required to connect a Velocity Transmitter or Receiver Extender to a Transmitter or Receiver Port Group on the Switch. One cable is used to transmit data from the Extender to the Switch; the other cable is used to transmit data from the Switch to the Extender. As a result, a bi-directional connection is established, where data can flow in both directions.

All data types, including video, audio and serial data are converted to an optical form and transmitted in a single optical cable.

The purpose of the Switch is to establish logical connections between Transmitter and Receiver Port Groups, while preserving Data Separation Security Function Policy (SFP).

Data Separation Security Function Policy (SFP) states that data shall flow between Transmitter Port group A and Receiver Port group B if and only if a deliberate logical connection has been established to connect A to B. There shall be no data flow between any pair of Transmitter Port Groups or Receiver Port Groups. There shall be no data flow between Transmitter Port Groups or Receiver Port Groups and any other physical port on the Switch.

The TOE can be administered over a wired 10/100BASE-TX LAN connection.

The Thinklogical VX 320 Router is depicted in Figure 1a.

3 Redundant Power supplies, Left to Right:

1 Upper Card Cage Primary

2 Upper Card Cage Back-up

3 Lower Card Cage Primary 4 Lower Card Cage Back-up 1600 Watts Fan Tray VX320 Router KVM Matrix Switch Chassis: 24 Rack Units Φ 42" High x 17.2" Wide x 14" Deep (1066.8mm x 436.88mm x 355.6mm) **Upper Card Primary** Controller Card Cage Back-up Controller Card **Cable Caddy (2 places):** 4.10" Deep x 2.17" High x 16.0" Wide (104.14mm x 55.12mm x 406.4mm) Snap-ON/Snap-OFF Cover Cable Caddy 1 **Lower Card** Cage Cable Keepers: Each holds up to 32 fiber cables. Supplied: 40 per chassis Cable Into Cable Caddy Caddy 2

Figure 1a. Thinklogical VX 320 Router

DESTINATIONS VX320 Router application using Thinklogical's Velocitykvm-24 Receivers Velocitykvm-24 2-DVI Display Extenders. **Customer Supplied Computer KVM Matrix Switch** L1: Data Tx to Rx & Video 1 Supports up to 160 dual-fiber L2: Data Rx to Tx L3: Video 2 sources & destinations thinklogical **SOURCES** Velocitykvm-24 Transmitters

Figure 2a. Typical TOE VX320 Configuration

2.2 TOE Physical Boundaries

VX 320 Router KVM Matrix Switch is a hardware device. TOE Physical Boundaries then correspond to the physical boundaries of the device enclosure.

2.3 TOE Logical Boundaries

TOE logical boundaries include all software and firmware components inside the VX320 Router KVM Matrix Switch.

The following Security Functions are provided by the TOE

User Data Protection (enforces Data Separation SFP),

This Security Target includes all product security features. There are no security features outside the scope of the evaluation.

3 Security Problem Definition

This section describes the assumptions, threats, and policies that are relevant to both the TOE and the Operational Environment.

Note: there is currently no Protection Profile directly applicable to the type of technology provided by the TOE. Peripheral Sharing Switch (PSS) For Human Interface Devices Protection Profile Version 1.2 (PSSPP) is applicable to the situation, where there is a single set of peripherals locally managing multiple computers. In the case of the TOE there are *multiple* sets of peripherals *remotely* managing multiple computers. The aim of this Security Target is to stay close to the requirements of the PSSPP generalizing them for the case of multiple sets of peripherals and remote connectivity.

3.1 Secure Usage Assumptions

The TOE is physically protected and managed as required for the highest level of security classified data handled or transferred by the TOE.

The following Table defines the Secure Usage Assumptions.

Table 1: Secure Usage Assumptions

Assumption	Definition
A.PHYSICAL	The switch, the transmitters, the receivers, the optical connections from the Switch to the transmitters and receivers and the wired network connections from the Switch to the administrators are physically secure.
	Note: The TOE does not encrypt optical or wired network connections. Therefore, such connections need to be physically secured.
	Note: A similar assumption exists in PSSPP. In the case of PSSPP connections from the TOE to the set of peripherals and to the managed computers are short-distance local connections. Therefore, PSSPP does not raise questions regarding physical security of physical connections. In present case due to the long-distance nature of the connections, separate care must be given to physically securing optical and network connections. As an example, an outdoor optical connection may be subject to eavesdropping.
A.EMISSION	The TOE meets the appropriate national requirements (in the country where used) for conducted/radiated electromagnetic emissions. [In the United States, Part 15 of the FCC Rules for Class B digital devices.
	Note: a similar assumption exists in PSSPP.
A.MANAGE	The TOE is installed and managed in accordance with the manufacturer's directions.
	Note: a similar assumption exists in PSSPP.
A.NOEVIL	The TOE users and administrators are non-hostile and follow all usage guidance.
	Note: a similar assumption exists in PSSPP. A hostile user could easily circumvent the security restrictions, by, e.g. switching to a classified Computer1, copying a file from Computer1 to a USB drive, then switching to an unclassified Computer2 and copying the file from the USB drive to Computer2. The Data Separation SFP may only be effective if the users do not intentionally violate the SFP.

Table 1: Secure Usage Assumptions (continued)

Assumption	Definition	
A.SCENARIO	Vulnerabilities associated with attached devices are a concern of the application scenario and not of the TOE.	
	Note: a similar assumption exists in PSSPP. The TOE is not intended to mitigate or protect against security vulnerabilities in the attached devices.	

3.2 Threats

The asset under attack is the information transiting the TOE. The threat agent is most likely people with TOE access that possess average expertise, with few resources, and moderate motivation. Another threat is a failure of the TOE or peripherals. The following Table defines the Threats to Security.

Table 2: Threats

Threat	Definition		
T.INSTALL	The TOE may be delivered and installed in a manner which violates the security policy.		
	Note: a similar threat exists in PSSPP.		
T.ATTACK	An attack on the TOE may violate the security policy.		
	Note: a similar threat exists in PSSPP.		
T.RESIDUAL	Residual data may be transferred between different port groups in violation of data separation security policy.		
	Note: a similar threat exists in PSSPP.		
T.STATE	State information may be transferred to a port group other than the intended one.		
	Note: a similar threat exists in PSSPP		

3.3 Organizational Security Policies

There are no Organizational Security Policies claimed in this ST.

4 Security Objectives

This section identifies the security objectives of the TOE and its supporting environment. The security objectives identify the responsibilities of the TOE and its environment in meeting the security needs.

4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

The following are the TOE Security Objectives.

Table 3: Security Objectives for the TOE

O.CONF	The TOE shall not violate the confidentiality of information which it processes. Information generated within any peripheral set/computer connection shall not be accessible by any other peripheral set/computer connection. Note: a similar objective exists in PSSPP.
O.CONNECT	No information shall be shared between switched computers and peripheral sets via the TOE in violation of Data Separation SFP.
	Note: a similar objective exists in PSSPP. This ST adds the requirement that information shall not be shared between peripheral sets.

4.2 Security Objectives for the Environment

All of the Secure Usage Assumptions are considered to be Security Objectives for the Environment. These Objectives are to be satisfied without imposing technical requirements on the TOE; they will not require the implementation of functions in the TOE hardware and/or software, but will be satisfied largely through application of procedural or administrative measures.

Table 4: Security Objectives for the Environment

Security Objective for the Environment		
OE.EMISSION	The TOE shall meet the appropriate national requirements (in the country where used) for conducted/radiated electromagnetic emissions. [In the United States, Part 15 of the FCC Rules for Class B digital devices. Note: a similar objective exists in PSSPP.	
OE.MANAGE	The TOE shall be installed and managed in accordance with the manufacturer's directions. Note: a similar objective exists in PSSPP.	
OE.NOEVIL	The authorized user shall be non-hostile and follow all usage guidance.	
	Note: a similar objective exists in PSSPP.	
OE.PHYSICAL	The Switch, the transmitters, the receivers, the optical connections from the Switch to the transmitters and receivers and the wired network connections from the TOE to the administrators shall be physically secure.	
	Note: The TOE does not encrypt optical or wired network connections. Therefore, such connections need to be physically secured.	
	Note: A similar objective exists in PSSPP. In the case of PSSPP connections from the TOE to the peripheral sets and to the managed computers are short-distance local connections. Therefore, PSSPP does not raise questions regarding physical security of such connections. In the case of the TOE separate care must be given to physically securing optical and network connections.	
OE.SCENARIO	Vulnerabilities associated with attached devices or their connections to the TOE, shall be a concern of the application scenario and not of the TOE.	
	Note: a similar objective exists in PSSPP. The TOE does not mitigate vulnerabilities in attached devices.	

5 Security Requirements

This section defines the functional requirements for the TOE that are relevant to supporting the secure operation of the TOE, as well as the assurance requirements for the TOE.

5.1 TOE Security Functional Requirements

Most of the TOE Security Functional Requirements are similar to those of PSSPP. The remaining FIA and FMT requirements are handled by the external management and user interface and are not part of the TOE. This external management computer commands the TOE by either the LAN or the Serial (RS232) connections that are physically secure.

TOE Security Functional Requirements

FDP_ETC.1 Export of User Data Without Security Attributes

FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control

FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes

FDP_ITC.1 Import of User Data Without Security Attributes

Table 5: TOE Security Functional Requirements

5.1.1 User Data Protection (FDP)

5.1.1.1 FDP ETC.1 Export of user data without security attributes

FDP_ETC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [Data Separation SFP] when exporting user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TOE.

FDP_ETC.1.2 The TSF shall export the user data without the user data's associated security attributes.

5.1.1.2 FDP IFC.1 Subset information flow control

FDP_IFC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [Data Separation SFP] on [the set of Transmitter and Receiver Port Groups, and the bi-directional flow of data and state information between the shared peripherals and the switched computers].

5.1.1.3 FDP IFF.1 Simple security attributes

FDP_IFF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [Data Separation SFP] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [Transmitter and Receiver Port Groups (subjects), peripheral data and state information (objects), port group IDs, logical connections of Transmitter and Receiver Groups (attributes)].

FDP_IFF.1.2 The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [peripheral data and state information can only flow between Transmitter and Receiver port groups that have been previously logically connected by the administrator using the TOE management interface].

FDP_IFF.1.3 The TSF shall enforce a [Transmitter Port Group may be logically connected to multiple Receiver Port Groups, out of which bi-directional information flow will be established only with a single Primary Receiver Port Group selected by the administrator. The remaining Non-Primary Receiver port groups will only receive unidirectional multicast audio and video signals. Any Receiver Port Group may only be logically connected to a single Transmitter Port Group].

FDP_IFF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly authorize an information flow based on the following rules: [no additional rules].

FDP_IFF.1.5 The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [No data or state information flow shall be allowed between logically unconnected port groups. No data or state information flow shall be allowed between any two Receiver Port Groups. No data or state information flow shall be allowed between any two Transmitter Port Groups. No data or state information flow shall be allowed between any Receiver or Transmitter Port Group and any other non-optical physical port on the Switch].

5.1.1.4 FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes

FDP_ITC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [Data Separation SFP] when importing user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TOE.

FDP_ITC.1.2 The TSF shall ignore any security attributes associated with the user data when imported from outside the TOE.

FDP_ITC.1.3 The TSF shall enforce the following rules when importing user data controlled under the SFP from outside the TOE: [no additional rules].

5.2 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

This section defines the assurance requirements for the TOE as EAL4 requirements.

5.2.1 Assurance components

The table below summarizes the components for EAL4.

Table 6: TOE Security Assurance Requirements (EAL4)

Assurance Class	Assurance Component		
Life Cycle Support	ALC_CMC.4	Product support, acceptance procedures and automation	
	ALC_CMS.4	Problem tracking CM coverage	
	ALC_DEL.1	Delivery procedures	
	ALC_DVS.1	Identification of security measures	
	ALC_LCD.1	Developer defined life-cycle model	
	ALC_TAT.1	Well-defined development tools	
Development	ADV_ARC.1	Security Architectural Description	
	ADV_FSP.4	Complete functional specification	
	ADV_IMP.1	Implementation of the TSF	
	ADV_TDS.3	Basic modular design	
Guidance	AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance	
Documents	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative User guidance	
Tests	ATE_COV.2	Analysis of coverage	
	ATE_DPT.2	Testing: security enforcing modules	
	ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing	
	ATE_IND.2	Independent testing – sample	
Vulnerability	AVA_VAN.3	Focused vulnerability analysis	
assessment			

6 TOE Summary Specification

This section addresses IT security functions and the corresponding assurance measures.

6.1 TOE Security Functions

The TOE includes the following Security Functions:

1. User Data Protection – this security function is used to enforce the Data Separation SFP.

6.1.2 User Data Protection

The TOE logically connects Transmitter and Receiver Port Groups according to the current switching configuration. The data flows between a particular Transmitter Port Group and a set of Receiver Port Groups if and only if there is an active logical connection connecting these. If there are multiple Receiver Port Groups connected to a Transmitter Port Group, bi-directional information flow will be then established between the Primary Receiver Port Group and the Transmitter Port Group. The remaining Non-Primary Receiver Port Groups will receive uni-directional multi-cast video and audio signals from the Transmitter Port Group.

6.2 Assurance Measures

The assurance measures addressed in this section apply to the EAL 4 requirements and are presented in the following table.

Table 7: Assurance Measures

Assurance		
Requirement	Name	Assurance Measure
ALC_CMC.4	Product support, acceptance procedures	Thinklogical Product Support Plan and
	and automation	Procedures
		Thinklogical Acceptance Plan and
		Procedures
ALC_CMS.4	Problem tracking CM coverage	Thinklogical Configuration Management
		Plan and Procedures
ALC_DEL.1	Delivery procedures	Thinklogical Delivery Plan and Procedures
ALC_DVS.1	Identification of security measures	Thinklogical Security Measures Plan
		and Procedures
ALC_LCD.1	Developer defined life-cycle model	Thinklogical Life-Cycle Model Plan
		and Procedures
ALC_TAT.1	Well-defined development tools	Thinklogical Development Tools Plan and
		Procedures
ADV_ARC.1	Security Architectural Description	Thinklogical Security Architectural
		Description Document
ADV_FSP.4	Complete functional specification	Thinklogical Functional Specification
		Document
ADV_IMP.1	Implementation of the TSF	Thinklogical TSF implementation
ADV_TDS.3	Basic modular design	Thinklogical High-Level Design Document
AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance	Thinklogical Operational User Guidance
AGD_PRE.1	Preparative User guidance	Thinklogical Preparative User Guidance
ATE_COV.2	Analysis of coverage	Thinklogical Analysis of Coverage
		Document

ATE_DPT.2	Testing: security enforcing modules	Thinklogical Testing Setup Document Thinklogical Security Enforcing Modules Testing Plan and Procedures Thinklogical Security Enforcing Modules Testing Report
ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing	Thinklogical Testing Setup Document Thinklogical Functional Testing Plan and Procedures Thinklogical Functional Testing Report
ATE_IND.2	Independent testing – sample	Thinklogical Testing Setup Document Lab Independent Testing Report
AVA_VAN.3	Focused vulnerability analysis	Thinklogical Testing Setup Document Lab Vulnerability Analysis Report

7 Rationale

This section provides the rationale for the selection of the IT security requirements, objectives, assumptions, and threats. In particular, it shows that the IT security requirements are suitable to meet the security objectives, which in turn are shown to be suitable to cover all aspects of the TOE security environment.

7.1 Rationale for Security Objectives

The following table provides mapping of threats to objectives and the corresponding rationale.

Table 8: Security Objectives Rationale

Threat	Objective	Rationale
T.INSTALL The TOE may be delivered and installed in a manner which violates the security policy	OE.MANAGE	The TOE shall be installed and managed in accordance with the manufacturer's directions.
T.ATTACK An attack on the TOE may violate the security policy.	O.CONF	Information generated within any peripheral set/computer connection shall not be accessible by any other peripheral group/computer connection. Otherwise, the security policy is violated.
T.RESIDUAL Residual data may be transferred between different port groups in violation of data separation security policy	O.CONF	The requirement that information generated within any peripheral group/computer connection shall not be accessible by any other peripheral group/computer connection includes the residual information.
	O.CONNECT	No information shall be shared between switched computers and sets of peripherals via the TOE in violation of data separation security policy. This includes the residual information.
T.STATE State information may be transferred to a port group other than the intended one.	O.CONF	The requirement that information generated within any peripheral group/computer connection shall not be accessible by any other peripheral group/computer connection includes the state information.
	O.CONNECT	No information shall be shared between switched computers and sets of peripherals via the TOE in violation of data separation security policy. This includes the state information.

Table 9: Mapping of Threats to Security Objectives

Objective	O.CONF	O.CONNECT	OE.MANAGE
T.INSTALL			X
T.ATTACK	X		
T.RESIDUAL	X	X	
T.STATE	X	Х	

7.2 Rationale for Security Objectives for the Environment

All of the Security Objectives for the Environment are considered to be Secure Usage Assumptions. These objectives on the environment do not contain any IT security requirements because they are non-IT related objectives. Thus, the CC does not mandate it map to any requirements.

Mapping of Assumptions to the Security Objectives for the Environment including the corresponding rationale is provided below.

Table 10: Security Objectives for the Environment Rationale

Assumption	Objective	Rationale
A.PHYSICAL	OE.PHYSICAL	The TOE is assumed to be protected
The TOE, the optical connections from	The TOE shall be	from physical attack (i.e. theft,
the TOE to the transmitters and	physically secure.	modification, reconfiguration, or
receivers and the wired network		eavesdropping). Physical attack
connections from the TOE to the users		could include unauthorized intruders
are physically secure.		into the TOE environment, but it
		does not include physical destructive
		actions that could be taken by an
		individual that is authorized to
		access the TOE environment.
A.EMISSION	OE.EMISSION	TOE chassis construction is such
The TOE meets the appropriate national	The TOE shall pass	that emissions will be below that of
requirements (in the country where	testing for	the appropriate national
used) for conducted/radiated	conducted/radiated	requirements (in the country where
electromagnetic emissions. [In the	electromagnetic	used) for conducted/radiated
United States, Part 15 of the FCC Rules	emissions, Part 15 of	electromagnetic emissions. [In the
for Class B digital devices.]	the FCC Rules for Class	United States, Part 15 of the FCC
	B digital devices.	Rules for Class B digital devices.]

Table 10: Security Objectives for the Environment Rationale (continued)

Assumption	Objective	Rationale
A.MANAGE The TOE is installed and managed in accordance with the manufacturer's directions.	OE.MANAGE The TOE shall be installed and managed in accordance with the manufacturer's directions.	Complying with Manufacturers documentation for installation and operation assures that the TOE is operating properly.
A.NOEVIL The TOE users are non-hostile and follow all usage guidance.	OE.NOEVIL The TOE users shall be non-hostile and follow all usage guidance.	Correct usage of the TOE assures operation as expected.
A.SCENARIO Vulnerabilities associated with attached devices are a concern of the application scenario and not of the TOE.	OE.SCENARIO Vulnerabilities associated with attached devices shall be a concern of the application scenario and not of the TOE.	Vulnerabilities associated with attached devices due to an application scenario are a concern of the application scenario and not that of the TOE.

7.3 Security Requirements Rationale

This section demonstrates that the functional components selected for the TOE provide complete coverage of the defined TOE security objectives.

Table 11: Security Requirements Rationale

Objective	Security Requirement	Rationale
O.CONF The TOE shall not violate the confidentiality of information which it processes. Information	FDP_ETC.1 (Export of User Data Without Security Attributes)	The TOE enforces Data Separation SFP on user data. No security attributes are added to data going to peripheral devices.
generated within any peripheral group/computer connection shall not be accessible by any other peripheral group/computer connection.	FDP_IFC.1 (Subset Information Flow Control)	The TOE enforces Data Separation SFP which is based on establishing logical connections between Transmitter and Receiver Port Groups.
	FDP_IFF.1 (Simple Security Attributes)	Information flow is only permitted between input and Receiver Port Groups that have been logically connected.
	FDP_ITC.1 (Import of User Data Without Security Attributes)	When TOE inputs user data, no security attributes are imported.
O.CONNECT No information shall be shared between switched computers and sets of peripherals via the TOE in violation of data separation	FDP_ETC.1 (Export of User Data Without Security Attributes)	The TOE enforces Data Separation SFP on user data. No security attributes are added to data going to peripheral devices.
security policy.	FDP_IFC.1 (Subset Information Flow Control)	The TOE enforces Data Separation SFP which is based on establishing logical connections between Transmitter and Receiver Port Groups.
	FDP_IFF.1 (Simple Security Attributes)	Information flow is only permitted between input and Receiver Port Groups that has been logically connected using the TOE management interface.
	FDP_ITC.1 (Import of User Data Without Security Attributes)	When TOE inputs user data, no security attributes are imported.

Table 12: Mapping of TOE Security Objectives to Security Requirements

Objective	FDP_ ETC.1	FDP_ IFC.1	FDP_ IFF.1	FDP_ ITC.1
O.CONF	x	X	x	x
O.CONNECT	х	х	х	х

7.4 Security Assurance Rationale

EAL4 was chosen to provide moderate level of assurance that is consistent with good commercial practices. The EAL is consistent with the assurance measures claimed by competitive products as well as with the PSSPP.

7.5 Rationale for Satisfying all Dependencies

Each functional requirement was analyzed to determine that all dependencies were satisfied. All requirements were then analyzed to determine that no additional dependencies were introduced as a result of completing each operation. All dependencies in this ST have been satisfied. Dependencies are illustrated in the table below.

Table 13: Dependencies

Functional Component	Dependency
FDP_ETC.1	FDP_IFC.1
FDP_IFC.1	FDP_IFF.1
FDP_IFF.1	FDP_IFC.1 ** FMT_MSA.3
FDP_ITC.1	FDP_IFC.1 ** FMT_MSA.3

^{**} Note: FMT MSA.3 is dependent on the Management system and is not part of the TOE.

7.6 TOE Security Functions Rationale

The following table provides a mapping between security functions and security functional requirements.

Table 14: Mapping between security functions and security functional requirements

Functional Component	User Data Protection
FDP_ETC.1	X
FDP_IFC.1	Х
FDP_IFF.1	Х
FDP_ITC.1	Х

8 Acronyms

CC Common Criteria

EAL Evaluation Assurance Level IT Information Technology SFP Security Function Policy

PP Protection Profile

PSSPP US Government Peripheral Sharing Switch (PSS) For Human Interface Devices

Protection Profile Version 1.2

ST Security Target
TOE Target of Evaluation
TSF TOE Security Functions
TSC TSF Scope of Control
TSP TOE Security Policy

CSCS Customer Supplied Computer System