Hewlett-Packard Company Routers Security Target

Version 1.1 9/24/2013

Prepared for: Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P.

11445 Compaq Center Drive West Houston, Texas 77070

Prepared by:



Science Applications International Corporation

Common Criteria Testing Laboratory 6841 Benjamin Franklin Drive, Columbia, Maryland 21046

Hewlett-Packard

1. SEC	URITY TARGET INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	SECURITY TARGET. TOE AND CC IDENTIFICATION	4
1.2	CONFORMANCE CLAIMS	5
1.3	CONVENTIONS	5
э то	NE DESCRIPTION	(
<i>2</i> . IC	JE DESCRIPTION	0
2.1	TOE OVERVIEW	6
2.2	TOE ARCHITECTURE	
2.2	2.1 Physical Boundaries	
2.2	2.2 Logical Boundaries	
2.3	TOE DOCUMENTATION	14
3. SE	CURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	17
3.1	NDPP SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	17
3.1	1 NDPP Oreanizational Policies	17
3 1	1 2 NDPP Threats	17
3.1	1.3 NDPP Assumptions	
3.2	TFFWPP SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	
3.2	2.1 TFFWPP Threats	
3.2	2.2 TFFWPP Assumptions	
4 CE		20
4. SE	CURITY OBJECTIVES	20
4.1	NDPP SECURITY OBJECTIVES	20
4.1	1.1 NDPP Security Objectives for the TOE	20
4.1	1.2 NDPP Security Objectives for the Environment	21
4.2	TFFWPP SECURITY OBJECTIVES	21
4.2	2.1 TFFWPP Security Objectives for the TOE	21
4.2	2.2 TFFWPP Security Objectives for the Environment	22
5. IT	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS	23
51	EXTENDED REQUIREMENTS	23
5.2	TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	
5.2	2.1 Security audit (FAU).	
5.2	2.2 Cryptographic support (FCS)	
5.2	2.3 User data protection (FDP)	
5.2	2.4 Identification and authentication (FIA)	31
5.2	2.5 Security management (FMT)	33
5.2	2.6 Protection of the TSF (FPT)	34
5.2	2.7 Resource utilisation (FRU)	35
5.2	2.8 TOE access (FTA)	35
5.2	2.9 Trusted path/channels (FTP)	35
		27
5.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
5.3 5.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. B.1 Development (ADV).	37
5.3 5.3 5.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	37 37 38
5.3 5.3 5.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 3.1 Development (ADV) 3.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 3.3 Life-cycle support (ALC)	
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 8.1 Development (ADV) 8.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 8.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 8.4 Tests (ATE) 8.5 Value exclusion (AVA)	37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 8.1 Development (ADV) 8.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 8.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 8.4 Tests (ATE) 8.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA)	
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 6. TC	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 8.1 Development (ADV) 8.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 8.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 8.4 Tests (ATE) 8.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA)	
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 6. TC 6.1	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 3.1 Development (ADV) 3.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 3.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 3.4 Tests (ATE) 3.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA) DE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION SECURITY AUDIT	
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 6. TC 6.1 6.2	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 3.1 Development (ADV) 3.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 3.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 3.4 Tests (ATE) 3.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA) DE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION SECURITY AUDIT CRYPTOGRAPHIC SUPPORT	37 37 38 39 40 40 40 40 40 42 42 43
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 6. TC 6.1 6.2 6.3	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 8.1 Development (ADV) 8.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 8.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 8.4 Tests (ATE) 8.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA) DE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION SECURITY AUDIT CRYPTOGRAPHIC SUPPORT USER DATA PROTECTION	
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 6. TC 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 5.3 6. TC 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 8.1 Development (ADV) 8.2 Guidance documents (AGD) 8.3 Life-cycle support (ALC) 8.4 Tests (ATE) 8.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA) 9 SECURITY AUDIT CRYPTOGRAPHIC SUPPORT USER DATA PROTECTION IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION SECURITY MANAGEMENT	

6.7 RESOURCE UTILISATION	49
6.8 TOE ACCESS	49
6.9 TRUSTED PATH/CHANNELS	50
7. PROTECTION PROFILE CLAIMS	52
8. RATIONALE	55
8.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE	55
8.1.1 NDPP Security Objectives Rationale for the TOE and Environment	55
8.1.2 TFFWPP Security Objectives Rationale for the TOE and Environment	
8.2 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	62
8.2.1 NDPP Security Functional Requirements Rationale	62
8.2.2 TFFWPP Security Functional Requirements Rationale	66
8.3 SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	70
8.4 REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCY RATIONALE	70
8.5 TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION RATIONALE	72

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 TOE Series and Devices	5
Table 2 TOE Security Functional Components	
Table 3 Auditable Events	
Table 4 EAL 2 augmented with ALC FLR.2 Assurance Components	
Table 5 SFR Protection Profile Sources and Notes	54
Table 6 NDPP Environment to Objective Correspondence	55
Table 7 TFFWPP Environment to Objective Correspondence	
Table 8 NDPP Objective to Requirement Correspondence	63
Table 9 TFFWPP Objective to Requirement Correspondence	67
Table 10 Requirement Dependencies	72
Table 11 Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping	

1. Security Target Introduction

This section identifies the Security Target (ST) and Target of Evaluation (TOE) identification, ST conventions, ST conformance claims, and the ST organization. The TOE is Hewlett-Packard Routers provided by Hewlett-Packard Development Company. Each of the Router products is a stand-alone network router appliance designed to implement a wide range of network layer 2 and 3 services, routing and firewall capabilities.

The Security Target contains the following additional sections:

- TOE Description (Section 2)
- Security Problem Definition (Section 3)
- Security Objectives (Section 4)
- IT Security Requirements (Section 5)
- TOE Summary Specification (Section 6)
- Protection Profile Claims (Section 7)
- Rationale (Section 8).

1.1 Security Target, TOE and CC Identification

ST Title - Hewlett-Packard Company Routers Security Target

ST Version – Version 1.0

ST Date - 3/20/2013

TOE Identification - Hewlett-Packard Company Routers with Comware version 5.20

Product Series	Specific Devices
HP 6600 Series with	HP 6616 Router Chassis
	HP 6608 Router Chassis
• HP 6600 Firewall Processing Module	HP 6604 Router Chassis
HP 8800 Series with	HP 8805 Router Chassis
	HP 8808 Router Chassis
HP 8800 Firewall Processing Module	HP 8812 Router Chassis
HP MSR30 Series	HP MSR30-20 Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-40 Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-60 Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-20 PoE Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-40 PoE Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-60 PoE Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-10 Router
	HP MSR30-10 DC Router
	HP MSR30-20 DC Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-40 DC Multi-service Router
	HP MSR30-60 DC Multi-service Router
HP MSR50 Series	HP MSR50-40 Multi-service Router
	HP MSR50-60 Multi-service Router
	HP MSR50-40 DC Multi-service Router
	HP MSR50-60 DC Multi-Service Router

Hewlett-Packard

Table 1 TOE Series and Devices

TOE Developer – Hewlett-Packard Company

Evaluation Sponsor – Hewlett-Packard Company

CC Identification – Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 3, July 2009

1.2 Conformance Claims

This TOE is conformant to the following CC specifications:

- This ST conforms to the U.S. Government Protection Profile for Traffic Filter Firewall In Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.1, July 25, 2007
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional components, Version 3.1, Revision 3, July 2009.
 - Part 2 Extended
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance components, Version 3.1 Revision 3, July 2009.
 - Part 3 Conformant
 - Assurance Level: EAL 2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2

1.3 Conventions

The following conventions have been applied in this document:

- Security Functional Requirements Part 2 of the CC defines the approved set of operations that may be applied to functional requirements: iteration, assignment, selection, and refinement.
 - Iteration: allows a component to be used more than once with varying operations. In the ST, iteration is indicated by a number in parentheses placed at the end of the component. For example FDP_ACC.1(1) and FDP_ACC.1(2) indicate that the ST includes two iterations of the FDP_ACC.1 requirement, (1) and (2).
 - Assignment: allows the specification of an identified parameter. Assignments are indicated using bold and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [assignment]). Note that an assignment within a selection would be identified in italics and with embedded bold brackets (e.g., [[selected-assignment]]).
 - Selection: allows the specification of one or more elements from a list. Selections are indicated using bold italics and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [*selection*]).
 - Refinement: allows the addition of details. Refinements are indicated using bold, for additions, and strike-through, for deletions (e.g., "... **all** objects ..." or "... some **big** things ..."). Note that 'cases' that are not applicable in a given SFR have simply been removed without any explicit identification.
- Other sections of the ST Other sections of the ST use bolding to highlight text of special interest, such as captions.

2. TOE Description

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Hewlett-Packard family of routers. The routers in the evaluated configuration include the 6600, 8800, MSR30 and MSR50 series. Each series of this family consists of a set of distinct devices (as identified in section 1.1) which vary primarily according to power delivery, performance, and port density.

While most of the routers have fixed ports, they all support plug-in modules (or blades) that provide additional functionality (e.g., various numbers and types of network connection ports). While pluggable security blades are required in the evaluated configuration, all of the available plug-in modules are included and can optionally be used in the evaluated configuration (see below). While built into the MSR30 and MSR50 series routers, security blades for the 6600 and 8800 series devices offer additional advanced (e.g., firewall) security functions that are claimed in this Security Target and hence are required.

2.1 TOE Overview

The HP routers are network router appliances which consist of hardware and software components. While the physical form factor of each distinct series in the router family is substantially different, the underlying hardware share a similar architecture. The software utilized is a common code base of a modular nature with only the modules applicable for the specific hardware installed.

6600 Series Routers

As service convergence routers based on a multi-core processor, the HP 6600 series routers have substantial service processing capacity. Distributed processing architecture, isolated routing, and service engines, as well as isolated control and service panels, provide reliability and continual services. The software service engines can handle services such as network address translation (NAT), quality of service (QoS), and IPSec, with no additional hardware service modules. 6600 routers feature a modular design and an embedded hardware encryption capacity, as well as flexible deployment configurations, including High-speed Interface Modules (HIMs), Multi-function Interface Modules (MIMs), and Open Application Architecture (OAA)-enabled modules that provide network customization and investment protection. These routers provide reliability from network, device, link, and service layers. The following module is required in the evaluated configuration:

• HP 6600 Firewall Processing Module

The following additional modules are supported by this series and can optionally be used since they do not affect any of the claimed security functions but rather serve to extend available network connectivity:

- HP 6600 1-port OC-3/STM-1 (E1/T1) CPOS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 2-port OC-3/STM-1 (E1/T1) CPOS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 2-port OC-3/STM-1 (E3/T3) CPOS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 1-port OC-3/STM-1 (E3/T3) CPOS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 4-port OC-3c/STM-1c or 2-port OC-12c/STM-4c POS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 2-port OC-3c/STM-1c or 1-port OC-12c/STM-4c POS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 1-port OC-48c/STM-16c POS/CPOS SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 1-port OC-3c/STM-1c ATM SFP HIM Module
- HP 6600 2-port OC-3c/STM-1c ATM SFP HIM Module
- HP 4-port GbE SFP HIM 6600 Module
- HP 8-port GbE SFP HIM 6600 Module
- HP 6600 4-port Gig-T HIM Module
- HP 6600 8-port Gig-T HIM Module
- HP 6600 1-port 10-GbE XFP HIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial MIM Module

- HP MSR 2-port Gig-T MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port E1/CE1/PRI (750hm) MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port E1/Fractional E1 (75ohm) MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port T1/CT1/PRI MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port E3/CE3/FE3 MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port T1/Fractional T1 MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port T3/CT3/FT3 MIM Module
- HP 6600 RPE-X1 Main Processing Unit
- HP FIP-100 6600 Module
- HP FIP-200 6600 Module

8800 Series Routers

The HP 8800 series routers feature a distributed high-performance network processor (NP) as well as high-capacity crossbar nonblocking switching technology that delivers network processing performance and flexibility. A distributed quality of service (QoS) control unit provides end-to-end service, as well as core services at a fine granularity. Furthermore, the routers' distributed operation, administration, and maintenance detection engines implement fault detection to provide uninterrupted core services. These technologies, paired with the QoS control mechanism, provide operation of multiple users and multiple services. The 8800 routers can be deployed in IP backbone networks, IP metropolitan area networks (MANs), or the core or convergence layers of large IP networks. The 8800 series routers can fulfill user needs in a range of networking scenarios. The following module is required in the evaluated configuration:

• HP 8800 Firewall Processing Module

The following modules are supported by this series and can optionally be used since they do not affect any of the claimed security functions but rather serve to extend available network connectivity:

- HP Single Service Processing Engine 8800 Module
- HP Dual Service Processing Engine 8800 Module
- HP Enhanced Single Service Processing Engine 8800 Module
- HP Enhanced Dual Service Processing Engine 8800 Module
- HP 8800 10-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 20-Port 1000Base-X 8800 Module
- HP 20- Port Gig-T 8800 Module
- HP 8800 1-port 10-GbE XFP Module
- HP 8800 8-port E1/T1 / 8-port SFP Module
- HP 8800 32-port E1/T1 / 2-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 1-port OC-3/STM-1 (E1/T1) CPOS / 8-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 2-port OC-3/STM-1 (E1/T1) CPOS / 8-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 4-port OC-3/STM-1 (E3/T3) CPOS / 4-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 1-port OC-12/STM-4 (E3/T3) CPOS / 4-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 1-port OC-48/STM-16 (OC-3) CPOS SFP Module
- HP 8800 8-port OC-3c/OC-12c POS / GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 2-port OC-3c/STM-1c POS SFP / 6-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 2-port OC-12c/STM-4c POS SFP / 6-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 2-port OC-48c/STM-16c POS SFP / 4-port GbE SFP Module
- HP 8800 4-port OC-48c/STM-16c POS SFP Module
- HP 8800 1-port OC-192c/STM-64c POS XFP Module
- HP 8800 2-port OC-48c/STM-16c RPR SFP Module
- HP 8800 1-port OC-192c/STM-64c RPR XFP Module
- HP 8800 4-port OC-3c/STM-1c ATM SFP Module
- HP 8800 1-port OC-12c/STM-4c ATM SFP Module
- HP 8800 Net Analysis Service Processing Module

• HP 8800 NAT Processing Module

MSR30 Series Routers

Designed for use by enterprise branch and regional offices as well as service providers seeking business continuity and operational efficiency, the HP MSR30 Series delivers flexibility. A high-performance processor and modular design, combined with embedded applications for business productivity, security, and performance acceleration, enable users to meet their networking objectives today, and modify applications and services as their businesses evolve. HP MSR30 series routers feature an interface and module options for reliable, scalable LAN and WAN communications, along with security and convergence capabilities through embedded and integrated encryption and voice processing.

The following modules are supported by this series and can optionally be used since they do not affect any of the claimed security functions but rather serve to extend available network connectivity:

- HP MSR Advanced Network Data Encryption ESM Module
- HP MSR Standard Network Data Encryption ESM Module
- HP MSR Voice Co-processing Module
- HP MSR 32-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 24-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 16-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 8-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 9-port 10/100Base-T Switch DSIC Module
- HP MSR 9-port 10/100Base-T PoE Switch DSIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port 10/100Base-T Switch SIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port 10/100Base-T PoE Switch SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port 10/100Base-T SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port 100Base-X SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port GbE Combo SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXO SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port FXO SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXS SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port FXS SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port ISDN-S/T Voice SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXS/1-port FXO SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E1 Voice SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T1 Voice SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E1/Fractional E1 SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T1/Fractional T1 SIC Module
- HP 1-port Analog Modem SIC MSR Module
- HP MSR 1-port ADSL over POTS SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port ISDN-U SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port ISDN-S/T SIC Module
- HP MSR 8-port Async Serial SIC Module
- HP MSR 802.11b/g/n Wireless Access Point SIC Module
- HP 3G Wireless GSM/WCDMA WAN SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port 10/100Base-T MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port 10/100Base-T MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port Gig-T MIM Module
- HP MSR 16-port 10/100Base-T Switch MIM Module
- HP MSR 16-port 10/100Base-T PoE Switch MIM Module
- HP 24-Port 10/100 DMIM MSR Module

- HP 24-port 10/100 PoE DMIM MSR Module
- HP MSR 2-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port Enhanced Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 16-port Enhanced Async Serial MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port FXO MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXO MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port FXS MIM Module
- HP MSR 16-port FXS MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port ISDN-S/T Voice MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port E/M MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port E1 Voice MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port E1 Voice MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port T1 Voice MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port T1 Voice MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port E1/CE1/PRI MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port T1/CT1/PRI MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port E1/CE1/PRI MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port E1/CE1/PRI (750hm) MIM Module
- HP MSR 8-port E1/Fractional E1 (750hm) MIM Module
- HP MSR 4-port T1 IMA MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port T3/CT3/FT3 MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port E3/CE3/FE3 MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port 4-Wire G.SHDSL MIM Module
- HP MSR 1-port OC-3c/STM-1c ATM SFP MIM Module
- HP MSR 2-port E1/Fractional E1 SIC Module
- HP MSR 16-port 10/100Base-T Switch XMIM Module
- HP MSR 24-port 10/100Base-T Switch XMIM Module

MSR50 Series Routers

The HP MSR50 Series Multi-Service routers are enterprise modular routers designed for large enterprise branches and regional offices as well as medium-sized businesses. The MSR50 series routers deliver high-performance, secure, integrated services on a single platform. They feature a modular design and can accommodate embedded hardware-based encryption and voice processing. Flexible deployment configurations are powered by 1.7 GHz CPU and up to 1280 Kbps forwarding performance. With IPv6 and MPLS support, as well as integrated security services, these routers enhance network functionality and simplify management and complexity. High-performance rack-mount platforms, the 3U-high MSR50-40 and 4U-high MSR50-60 router chassis offer flexible, open application architecture (OAA)-ready routing, from the core to the edge.

The following modules are supported by this series and can optionally be used since they do not affect any of the claimed security functions but rather serve to extend available network connectivity:

- HP MSR50 G2 Main Processing Unit
- HP MSR50 G2 Multi-Service Module
- HP MSR50 Main Processing Unit
- HP MSR50 Multi-Service Module
- HP MSR Advanced Network Data Encryption ESM Module
- HP MSR Standard Network Data Encryption ESM Module
- HP MSR Voice Co-processing Module
- HP MSR 32-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 24-Channel Voice Processing Module

- HP MSR 16-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 8-Channel Voice Processing Module
- HP MSR 9-port 10/100Base-T Switch DSIC Module
- HP MSR 9-port 10/100Base-T PoE Switch DSIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port 10/100Base-T Switch SIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port 10/100Base-T PoE Switch SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port 10/100Base-T SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port 100Base-X SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port GbE Combo SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXO SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port FXO SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXS SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port FXS SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port ISDN-S/T Voice SIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port FXS/1-port FXO SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E1 Voice SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T1 Voice SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E1/Fractional E1 SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T1/Fractional T1 SIC Module
- HP 1-port Analog Modem SIC MSR Module
- HP MSR 1-port ADSL over POTS SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port ISDN-U SIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port ISDN-S/T SIC Module
- HP MSR 8-port Async Serial SIC Module
- HP MSR 802.11b/g/n Wireless Access Point SIC Module
- HP 3G Wireless GSM/WCDMA WAN SIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port 10/100Base-T FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port 10/100Base-T FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port Gig-T FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port 1000Base-X FIC Module
- HP 24-port 10/100 DFIC MSR Module
- HP MSR 16-port 10/100Base-T / 1-port GbE Combo Switch FIC Module
- HP 24-port 10/100 PoE DFIC Module
- HP MSR 16-port 10/100Base-T PoE / 1-port GbE Combo Switch FIC Module
- HP MSR 8-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port Enhanced Sync/Async Serial FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port FXO FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port FXS FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port E/M FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port E1 Voice FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E1 Voice FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port T1 Voice FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T1 Voice FIC Module
- HP MSR 8-port E1/CE1/PRI (75ohm) FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port E1/CE1/PRI FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port E1/CE1/PRI FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port E1/Fractional E1 FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port T1/Fractional T1 FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E3/CE3/FE3 FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T3/CT3/FT3 FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port E3 ATM FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port T3 ATM FIC Module

- HP MSR 4-port E1 IMA (750hm) FIC Module
- HP MSR 4-port Enhanced ISDN-S/T FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port OC-3c/STM-1c ATM SFP FIC Module
- HP MSR 1-port OC-3c/STM-1c POS FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port E1/Fractional E1 SIC Module
- HP MSR 8-port Enhanced Async Serial FIC Module
- HP MSR 16-port Enhanced Async Serial FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port Gig-T FIC Module
- HP MSR 2-port 1000Base-X FIC Module

2.2 TOE Architecture

The HP routers all share a common software code base, called Comware. Comware is special purpose appliance system software that implements a wide array of networking technology, including: IPv4/IPv6 dual-stacks, a data link layer, Ethernet switching, Intelligent Resilient Framework (IRF), routing, Quality of Service (QoS), etc.. The evaluated version of Comware is 5.20. It should be noted that Comware runs on a variety of underlying architectures including VxWorks, Linux, pSOS and Windows; however, the only underlying architecture found in the evaluated configuration is Linux (i.e., Fedora 14).

The Comware v5.20 architecture can be depicted as follows:



 General Control Plane (GCP) – The GCP fully supports the IPv4 and IPv6 protocol stacks and provides support to a variety of IPv4/IPv6 applications including routing protocols, voice, WAN link features, and QoS features.

- *Service Control Plane (SCP)* The SCP supports value-added services such as connection control, user policy management AAA, RADIUS, and TACACS+.
- *Data Forwarding Plane (DFP)* The DFP underpins all network data processing. The forwarding engine is the core of the DFP.
- System Management Plane (SMP) The SMP provides user interfaces for device management. This includes implementations for Command line CLI (SSHv2), Web (HTTPS)¹, and Management Information Base MIB (SNMPv3) management options.
- System Service Plane (SSP) The SSP provides a foundation layer that implements primitives on which the other planes rely, for example, memory management, task management, timer management, message queue management, semaphore management, time management, IPC, RPC, module loading management and component management.

Underlying the main Comware components are the hardware-specific Board Support Package (BSP) and device drivers to provide necessary abstractions of the hardware components for the higher-level software components.

The Comware software components are composed of subsystems designed to implement applicable functions. For example there are subsystems dedicated to MIB, Web, and CLI management. There are also subsystems dedicated to the IPv4 and IPv6 network stacks as well as the applicable network protocols and forwarding, routing, etc.

From a security perspective, the TOE includes a cryptographic module that supports SSH, SNMPv3, and HTTPS (HTTP over TLSv1) and also digital signatures used to protect the available remote management and to enable secure update capabilities of the TOE. Otherwise, the TOE implements a wide range of network switching and routing protocols and functions.

More advanced firewall security features are also available (though some of the devices require security blades installed as indicted earlier) including stateful packet filtering and IPSec VPN support.

The various TOE devices include the same security functions. The salient differences between the devices are the available ports and port adapters (supporting different pluggable modules), primarily representing differences in numbers, types, and speeds of available network connections.

2.2.1 Physical Boundaries

The TOE is a physical network rack-mountable appliance that supports modules that serve to offer a wide range of network ports varying in number, form factor (copper or fiber), and performance (1 - 10 Gb). The list of applicable series and devices is provided in section 1.1 and the applicable modules for each series are identified in section 0.

Alternately, the TOE can be deployed as a pair of appliances connected via a dedicated high-availability link so that the pair operates in a redundant manner allowing continued operations should one of the appliances fail.

The TOE can be configured to rely on and utilize a number of other components in its operational environment.

- SYSLOG server to receive audit records when the TOE is configured to deliver them to an external log server.
- Radius and TACACS servers The TOE can be configured to utilize external authentication servers.
- SNMP server The TOE can be configured to issue and received SNMP traps. Note that the TOE supports SNMPv3.
- Certificate Authority (CA) server The TOE can be configured to utilize digital certificates, e.g., for VPN and HTTPS connections.
- VPN Peers The TOE can establish VPNs with peers via IPSec.

¹ The Web interface is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 Series routers.

• Management Workstation – The TOE supports CLI and Web (MSR30 and MSR50 only) access and as such an administrator would need a terminal emulator (supporting SSHv2) or web browser (supporting HTTPS) to utilize those administrative interfaces.

2.2.2 Logical Boundaries

This section summarizes the security functions provided by HP Router:

- Security audit
- Cryptographic support
- User data protection
- Identification and authentication
- Security management
- Protection of the TSF
- Resource utilisation
- TOE access
- Trusted path/channels

2.2.2.1 Security audit

The TOE is designed to be able to generate logs for a wide range of security relevant events. The TOE can be configured to store the logs locally so they can be accessed by an administrator or alternately to send the logs to a designated log server.

Locally stored audit records can be reviewed and otherwise managed by an administrator.

2.2.2.2 Cryptographic support

The TOE includes a FIPS 140-2 certified cryptographic module (Certificate #1911, #1913, #1914 and #1924) that provides key management, random bit generation, encryption/decryption, digital signature and secure hashing and key-hashing features in support of higher level cryptographic protocols including IPSec, SSH, HTTPS, and SNMP.

2.2.2.3 User data protection

The TOE performs a wide variety of network switching and routing functions, passing network traffic among its various physical and logical (e.g., VLAN) network connections. While implementing applicable network protocols associated with network traffic forwarding, the TOE is carefully designed to ensure that it doesn't inadvertently reuse data found in network traffic.

The TOE implements stateful packet filtering and IPSec VPNs services. These services can be configured and monitored by an administrator.

2.2.2.4 Identification and authentication

The TOE requires users (i.e., administrators) to be successfully identified and authenticated before they can access any security management functions available in the TOE. The TOE offers both a locally connected console as well as network accessible interfaces (e.g., SSHv2 and HTTPS) for interactive administrator sessions. An SNMPv3 interface, which also required proper user credentials, is also available non-interactive MIB based management of the TOE.

The TOE supports the local (i.e., on device) definition of administrators with usernames and passwords. Additionally, the TOE can be configured to utilize the services of trusted RADIUS and TACACS servers in the operational environment to support, for example, centralized user administration.

2.2.2.5 Security management

The TOE provides Command Line (CLI) commands, a Web-based Graphical User Interface (Web GUI)², and Management Interface Block (MIB) SNMPv3 interface to access the wide range of security management functions.

² The Web interface is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 Series routers.

Security management commands are limited to administrators only after they have provided acceptable user identification and authentication data to the TOE.

2.2.2.6 Protection of the TSF

The TOE implements a number of features design to protect itself to ensure the reliability and integrity of its security features.

It protects particularly sensitive data such as stored passwords and cryptographic keys so that they are not accessible even by an administrator. It also provides its own timing mechanism to ensure that reliable time information is available (e.g., for log accountability).

From a communication perspective it employs both dedicated communication channels (based on physically separate networks and VLAN technology) and also cryptographic means (e.g., SSH can detect and hence prevent replay attacks) to protect communication between TOE components as well as between TOE and other components in the operation environment (e.g., administrator workstations).

The TOE includes functions to perform self-tests so that it might detect when it is failing. It also includes mechanisms so that the TOE itself can be updated while ensuring that the updates will not introduce malicious or other unexpected changes in the TOE.

2.2.2.7 Resource utilisation

The TOE can limit network connections in order to ensure that administrators will be able to connect when they need to perform security management operations on the TOE.

2.2.2.8 TOE access

The TOE can be configured to display an informative banner when an administrator establishes an interactive session and subsequently will enforce an administrator-defined inactivity timeout value after which the inactive session will be terminated.

2.2.2.9 Trusted path/channels

The TOE protects communication with administrators using SSHv2 for CLI access or HTTPS for Web GUI access. Access to the MIB interface is protected using SNMPv3. In each case, both integrity and disclosure protection is ensured.

The TOE protects communication with network peers, such as a log server, using a IPSec VPNs.

2.3 TOE Documentation

There are numerous documents that provide information and guidance for the deployment of Hewlett-Packard Routers. The following documents were specifically examined in the context of the evaluation:

- Command Reference for CC Supplement for 6600
- Command Reference for CC Supplement for MSR 30 and 50
- Command Reference for CC Supplement for Firewall Modules
- Configuration Reference for CC Supplement for 6600
- Configuration Reference for CC Supplement for MSR 30 and 50
- Configuration Reference for CC Supplement for Firewall Modules
- H3C SR6600Fundamentals Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers Fundamentals Command Reference (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers Fundamentals Command Reference (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers ACL and QoS Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers ACL and QoS Command Reference (Document Version 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers Security Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers Security Command Reference (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- IPSec Guide (2.IPsec Commands.doc and 2.IPsec Configuration.doc)

- H3C SR6600 Routers Network Management and Monitoring Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110627-C-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers Network Management and Monitoring Command Reference (Document Version: 20110627-c-1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers OAA Configuration Guide (Document version: 20110627-C- 1.11)
- H3C SR6600 Routers OAA Command Reference (Document version: 20110627-C-1.11)H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers Fundamentals Configuration Guide (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers Fundamentals Command Reference (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers ACL and QoS Configuration Guide (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers ACL and QoS Command Reference (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers Security Configuration Guide (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers Security Command Reference (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers Network Management and Monitoring Configuration Guide (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers Network Management and Monitoring Command Reference (Document Version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers OAA Configuration Guide (Document version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C SR8800 10G Core Routers OAA Command Reference (Document version: 6W102-20110415)
- H3C MSR Series Routers Fundamentals Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers Fundamentals Command Reference (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers ACL and QoS Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers ACL and QoS Command Reference (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers Security Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers Security Command Reference (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- IPSec Guide (2.IPsec Commands.doc and 2.IPsec Configuration.doc)
- H3C MSR Series Routers Network Management and Monitoring Configuration Guide (Document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers Network Management and Monitoring Command Reference (document Version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers OAA Configuration Guide (Document version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C MSR Series Routers OAA Command Reference (Document version: 20110715-C-1.09)
- H3C SecPath Series High-End Firewalls Getting Started Guide (Document version: 5PW105-20110921)
- H3C SecPath Series High-End Firewalls Access Control Configuration Guide (Document version: 5PW105-20110921)
- H3C SecPath Series High-End Firewalls VPN Configuration Guide (Document version: 5PW105-20110921)
- H3C SecPath Series High-End Firewalls System Management and Maintenance Configuration Guide (Document version: 5PW105-20110921)

Additional, on-line documentation can be found for the applicable TOE models and devices can be found via the following URLs:

• HP 6600 Router Series overview

http://h10010.www1.hp.com/wwpc/uk/en/sm/WF05a/12883-12883-4172265-4172271-4172271-4177478.html?jumpid=reg_R1002_UKEN

http://www.h3c.com/portal/Technical%5FSupport%5F%5F%5FDocuments/Technical%5FDocuments/Routers/ H3C%5FSR6600%5FSeries%5FRouters/

• HP 8800 Router Series overview

http://h10010.www1.hp.com/wwpc/uk/en/sm/WF05a/12883-12883-4172265-4172271-4172271-4177488.html?jumpid=reg_R1002_UKEN

http://www.h3c.com/portal/Technical%5FSupport%5F%5F%5FDocuments/Technical%5FDocuments/Routers/ H3C%5FSR8800%5FSeries%5FRouters/ • HP MSR30 Router Series overview

http://h10010.www1.hp.com/wwpc/uk/en/sm/WF05a/12883-12883-4172265-4172270-4172270-4176068.html?jumpid=reg_R1002_UKEN

http://www.h3c.com/portal/Technical%5FSupport%5F%5F%5FDocuments/Technical%5FDocuments/Routers/ H3C%5FMSR%5F30%5FSeries%5FRouters/

• HP MSR50 Router Series overview

http://h10010.www1.hp.com/wwpc/uk/en/sm/WF05a/12883-12883-4172265-4172271-4172271-4176214.html?jumpid=reg_R1002_UKEN

http://www.h3c.com/portal/Technical%5FSupport%5F%5F%5FDocuments/Technical%5FDocuments/Routers/ H3C%5FMSR%5F50%5FSeries%5FRouters/

• Firewall VPN Security Blade

http://www.h3c.com/portal/Technical_Support___Documents/Technical_Documents/Security_Products/H3C_S ecBlade II Firewall Cards/

3. Security Problem Definition

The Security Problem Definition (composed of organizational policies, threat statements, and assumption) has been drawn verbatim from two distinct Protection Profiles (PPs): the Security Requirements for Network Devices, Version 1.0, 10 December 2010 (NDPP) and the U.S. Government Protection Profile for Traffic Filter Firewall In Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.1, July 25, 2007 (TFFWPP). Note that the NDPP offers additional information about the identified threats, but that has not been reproduced here and the NDPP should be consulted if there is interest in that material.

In general, the NDPP has presented a Security Problem Definition appropriate for network infrastructure devices, such as routers, and as such is applicable to the HP TOE. More specifically, the TFFWPP has presented a Security Problem Definition specifically appropriate for firewall devices and is applicable to the HP TOE in its evaluated configuration (e.g., with Security Blades installed).

Note that the Security Problem Definitions from the NDPP and TFFWPP are presented separately below. There has been no attempt to merge or integrate them.

3.1 NDPP Security Problem Definition

P.ACCESS_BANNER	The TOE shall display an initial banner describing restrictions of use, legal agreements, or any other appropriate information to which users consent by accessing the TOE.
3.1.2 NDPP Threats	
T.ADMIN_ERROR	An administrator may unintentionally install or configure the TOE incorrectly, resulting in ineffective security mechanisms.
T.RESOURCE_EXHAUSTION	A process or user may deny access to TOE services by exhausting critical resources on the TOE.
T.TSF_FAILURE	Security mechanisms of the TOE may fail, leading to a compromise of the TSF.
T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS	A user may gain unauthorized access to the TOE data and TOE executable code. A malicious user, process, or external IT entity may masquerade as an authorized entity in order to gain unauthorized access to data or TOE resources. A malicious user, process, or external IT entity may misrepresent itself as the TOE to obtain identification and authentication data.
T.UNAUTHORIZED_UPDATE	A malicious party attempts to supply the end user with an update to the product that may compromise the security features of the TOE.
T.UNDETECTED_ACTIONS	Malicious remote users or external IT entities may take actions that adversely affect the security of the TOE.

3.1.1 NDPP Organizational Policies

Security Target	Hewlett-Packard	Version 1.1, 9/24/2013
T.USER_DATA_REUSE	These actions may remain undetecter effects cannot be effectively mitigated User data may be inadvertently sent intended by the original sender.	ed and thus their d. to a destination not
3.1.3 NDPP Assumptions		
A.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE	It is assumed that there are no gener computing capabilities (e.g., compile applications) available on the TOE, o services necessary for the operation, support of the TOE.	al-purpose rs or user ther than those administration and
A.PHYSICAL	Physical security, commensurate with TOE and the data it contains, is assubly the environment.	h the value of the imed to be provided
A.TRUSTED_ADMIN	TOE Administrators are trusted to fol administrator guidance in a trusted m	low and apply all nanner.

3.2 TFFWPP Security Problem Definition

3.2.1 TFFWPP Threats

T.ASPOOF	An unauthorized person may carry out spoofing in which information flow through the TOE into a connected network by using a spoofed source address.
T.AUDACC	Persons may not be accountable for the actions that they conduct because the audit records are not reviewed, thus allowing an attacker to escape detection.
T.AUDFUL	An unauthorized person may cause audit records to be lost or prevent future records from being recorded by taking actions to exhaust audit storage capacity, thus masking an attackers actions.
T.MEDIAT	An unauthorized person may send impermissible information through the TOE which results in the exploitation of resources on the internal network.
T.NOAUTH	An unauthorized person may attempt to bypass the security of the TOE so as to access and use security functions and/or non-security functions provided by the TOE.
T.OLDINF	Because of a flaw in the TOE functioning, an unauthorized person may gather residual information from a previous information flow or internal TOE data by monitoring the padding of the information flows from the TOE.
T.PROCOM	An unauthorized person or unauthorized external IT entity may be able to view, modify, and/or delete security related information that is sent between a remotely located authorized administrator and the TOE.
T.REPEAT	An unauthorized person may repeatedly try to guess authentication data in order to use this information to launch attacks on the TOE.

- T.REPLAY An unauthorized person may use valid identification and authentication data obtained to access functions provided by the TOE.
- T.SELPRO An unauthorized person may read, modify, or destroy security critical TOE configuration data.
- T.TUSAGE The TOE may be inadvertently configured, used and administered in a insecure manner by either authorized or unauthorized persons.

3.2.2 TFFWPP Assumptions

- A.DIRECT Human users within the physically secure boundary protecting the TOE may attempt to access the TOE from some direct connection (e.g., a console port) if the connection is part of the TOE.
- A.GENPUR There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., the ability to execute arbitrary code or applications) and storage repository capabilities on the TOE.
- A.LOWEXP The threat of malicious attacks aimed at discovering exploitable vulnerabilities is considered low.
- A.NOEVIL Authorized administrators are non-hostile and follow all administrator guidance; however, they are capable of error.
- A.NOREMO Human users who are not authorized administrators can not access the TOE remotely from the internal or external networks.
- A.PHYSEC The TOE is physically secure.
- A.PUBLIC The TOE does not host public data.
- A.REMACC Authorized administrators may access the TOE remotely from the internal and external networks.
- A.SINGEN Information can not flow among the internal and external networks unless it passes through the TOE.

4. Security Objectives

Like the Security Problem Definition, the Security Objectives have been drawn verbatim from the NDPP and TFFWPP. Note that the NDPP offers additional information about the identified security objectives, but that has not been reproduced here and the NDPP should be consulted if there is interest in that material.

In general, the NDPP has presented a Security Objectives appropriate for network infrastructure devices, such as routers, and as such are applicable to the HP TOE. More specifically, the TFFWPP has presented a Security Objectives specifically directed at firewall devices and is applicable to the HP TOE in its evaluated configuration (i.e., with Security Blades installed).

Note that the Security Objectives from the NDPP and TFFWPP are presented separately below. There has been no attempt to merge or integrate them.

4.1 NDPP Security Objectives

4.1.1 NDPP Security Objectives for the TOE

O.DISPLAY_BANNER	The TOE will display an advisory warning regarding use of the TOE.
O.PROTECTED_COMMUNICATIONS	The TOE will provide protected communication channels for administrators, other parts of a distributed TOE, and authorized IT entities.
O.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION_CLEARING	The TOE will ensure that any data contained in a protected resource is not available when the resource is reallocated.
O.RESOURCE_AVAILABILITY	The TOE shall provide mechanisms that mitigate user attempts to exhaust TOE resources (e.g., persistent storage).
O.SESSION_LOCK	The TOE shall provide mechanisms that mitigate the risk of unattended sessions being hijacked.
O.SYSTEM_MONITORING	The TOE will provide the capability to generate audit data and send those data to an external IT entity.
O.TOE_ADMINISTRATION	The TOE will provide mechanisms to ensure that only administrators are able to log in and configure the TOE, and provide protections for logged-in administrators.
O.TSF_SELF_TEST	The TOE will provide the capability to test some subset of its security functionality to ensure it is operating properly.
O.VERIFIABLE_UPDATES	The TOE will provide the capability to help ensure that any updates to the TOE can be verified by the

Hewlett-Packard

administrator to be unaltered and (optionally) from a trusted source.

4.1.2 NDPP Security Objectives for the Environment

OE.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE	There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., compilers or user applications) available on the TOE, other than those services necessary for the operation, administration and support of the TOE.
OE.PHYSICAL	Physical security, commensurate with the value of the TOE and the data it contains, is provided by the environment.
OE.TRUSTED_ADMIN	TOE Administrators are trusted to follow and apply all administrator guidance in a trusted manner.

4.2 TFFWPP Security Objectives

4.2.1 TFFWPP Security Objectives for the TOE

- O.ACCOUN The TOE must provide user accountability for information flows through the TOE and for authorized administrator use of security functions related to audit.
- O.AUDREC The TOE must provide a means to record a readable audit trail of security-related events, with accurate dates and times, and a means to search and sort the audit trail based on relevant attributes.
- O.ENCRYP The TOE must protect the confidentiality of its dialogue with an authorized administrator through encryption, if the TOE allows administration to occur remotely from a connected network.
- O.IDAUTH The TOE must uniquely identify and authenticate the claimed identity of all users, before granting a user access to TOE functions.
- O.LIMEXT The TOE must provide the means for an authorized administrator to control and limit access to TOE security functions by an authorized external IT entity.
- O.MEDIAT The TOE must mediate the flow of all information from users on a connected network to users on another connected network, and must ensure that residual information from a previous information flow is not transmitted in any way.
- O.SECFUN The TOE must provide functionality that enables an authorized administrator to use the TOE security functions, and must ensure that only authorized administrators are able to access such functionality.

Security Target

- O.SECSTA Upon initial start-up of the TOE or recovery from an interruption in TOE service, the TOE must not compromise its resources or those of any connected network.
- O.SELPRO The TOE must protect itself against attempts by unauthorized users to bypass, deactivate, or tamper with TOE security functions.
- O.SINUSE The TOE must prevent the reuse of authentication data for users attempting to authenticate at the TOE from a connected network.

4.2.2 TFFWPP Security Objectives for the Environment

- OE.ADMTRA Authorized administrators are trained as to establishment and maintenance of security policies and practices.
- OE.DIRECT Human users within the physically secure boundary protecting the TOE may attempt to access the TOE from some direct connection (e.g., a console port) if the connection is part of the TOE.
- OE.GENPUR There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., the ability to execute arbitrary code or applications) and storage repository capabilities on the TOE.
- OE.GUIDAN The TOE must be delivered, installed, administered, and operated in a manner that maintains security.
- OE.LOWEXP The threat of malicious attacks aimed at discovering exploitable vulnerabilities is considered low.
- OE.NOEVIL Authorized administrators are non-hostile and follow all administrator guidance; however, they are capable of error.
- OE.NOREMO Human users who are not authorized administrators can not access the TOE remotely from the internal or external networks.
- OE.PHYSEC The TOE is physically secure.
- OE.PUBLIC The TOE does not host public data.
- OE.REMACC Authorized administrators may access the TOE remotely from the internal and external networks.
- OE.SINGEN Information can not flow among the internal and external networks unless it passes through the TOE.

5. IT Security Requirements

This section defines the Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) and Security Assurance Requirements (SARs) that serve to represent the security functional claims for the Target of Evaluation (TOE) and to scope the evaluation effort.

With the exception of the FPT_ITT.1 iterations (drawn directly from the CC to replace NDPP versions), the SFRs have been drawn from the Protection Profiles (PPs): *Security Requirements for Network Devices, Version 1.0, 10 December 2010* (NDPP) and *U.S. Government Protection Profile for Traffic Filter Firewall In Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.1, July 25, 2007* (TFFWPP).. As a result, refinements and operations already performed in those PPs are not identified (e.g., highlighted) here, rather the requirements have been copied from those PPs and any residual operations have been completed herein. Of particular note, those PPs make a number of refinements and complete some of the SFR operations defined in the CC and those PPs should be consulted to identify those changes if necessary. Note that unlike the previous sections, the SFRs from both PPs have been combined to present a common set of SFRs for the TOE.

The SARs are drawn from the Common Criteria (CC) part 3 since this ST is claiming EAL2.

5.1 Extended Requirements

All of the extended requirements in this ST have been drawn from the NDPP. The NDPP defines the following extended SFRs and since they are not redefined in this ST, the NDPP should be consulted for more information in regard to those CC extensions.

- FAU_STG_EXT.1: External Audit Trail Storage
- FAU_STG_EXT.3: Action in case of Loss of Audit Server Connectivity
- FCS_CKM_EXT.4: Cryptographic Key Zeroization
- FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1: Communications Protection
- FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1: Explicit: HTTPS
- FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 Explicit: IPSEC
- FCS_RBG_EXT.1: Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation)
- FCS_SSH_EXT.1: Explicit: SSH
- FCS_TLS_EXT.1: Explicit: TLS
- FIA_PMG_EXT.1: Password Management
- FIA_UIA_EXT.1: User Identification and Authentication
- FPT_PTD.1: Management of TSF Data
- FPT_TST_EXT.1: TSF Testing
- FPT_TUD_EXT.1: Extended: Trusted Update
- FTA_SSL_EXT.1: TSF-initiated Session Locking

5.2 TOE Security Functional Requirements

The following table describes the SFRs that are satisfied by HP Routers.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component	
FAU: Security audit	FAU_GEN.1: Audit Data Generation	
	FAU_GEN.2: User identity association	
	FAU_SAR.1: Audit review	
	FAU_SAR.3: Selectable audit review	
	FAU_STG.1: Protected audit trail storage	
	FAU_STG.4: Prevention of audit data loss	
	FAU_STG_EXT.1: External Audit Trail Storage	
	FAU_STG_EXT.3: Action in case of Loss of Audit Server Connectivity	
FCS: Cryptographic support	FCS_CKM.1: Cryptographic Key Generation (for asymmetric keys)	
	FCS_CKM_EXT.4: Cryptographic Key Zeroization	
	FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1: Communications Protection	
	FCS_COP.1(1): Cryptographic Operation (for data encryption/decryption)	
	FCS_COP.1(2): Cryptographic Operation (for cryptographic signature)	
	FCS_COP.1(3): Cryptographic Operation (for cryptographic hashing)	
	FCS_COP.1(4): Cryptographic Operation (for keyed-hash message authentication)	
	FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1: Explicit: HTTPS	
	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 Explicit: IPSEC	
	FCS_RBG_EXT.1: Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation)	
	FCS_SSH_EXT.1: Explicit: SSH	
	FCS_TLS_EXT.1: Explicit: TLS	
FDP: User data protection	FDP_IFC.1: Subset information flow control	
	FDP_IFF.1: Simple security attributes	
	FDP_RIP.2: Full Residual Information Protection	
FIA: Identification and	FIA_AFL.1: Authentication failure handling	
authentication	FIA_ATD.1: User attribute definition	
	FIA_PMG_EXT.1: Password Management	
	FIA_UAU.1: Timing of authentication	
	FIA_UAU.4: Single-use authentication mechanisms	
	FIA_UAU.6: Re-authenticating	
	FIA_UAU.7: Protected Authentication Feedback	
	FIA_UIA_EXT.1: User Identification and Authentication	
	FIA_UID.2: User identification before any action	
FMT: Security management	FMT_MOF.1: Management of security functions behavior	
	FMT_MSA.3: Static attribute initialization	
	FMT_MTD.1: Management of TSF Data (for general TSF data)	
	FMT_SMF.1: Specification of Management Functions	
	FMT_SMR.1: Security Roles	
FPT: Protection of the TSF	FPT_ITT.1(1): Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection (Disclosure)	
	FPT_ITT.1(2): Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection (Modification)	
	FPT_PTD.1(1): Management of TSF Data (for reading of authentication data)	
	FPT_PTD.1(2): Management of TSF Data (for reading of all symmetric keys)	
	FPT_RPL.1: Replay Detection	
	FPT_STM.1: Reliable Time Stamps	
	FPT_TST_EXT.1: TSF Testing	
	FPT_TUD_EXT.1: Extended: Trusted Update	
FRU: Resource utilisation	FRU_RSA.1: Maximum Quotas	
FTA: TOE access	FTA_SSL.3: TSF-initiated Termination	
	FTA_SSL_EXT.1: TSF-initiated Session Locking	

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
	FTA_TAB.1: Default TOE Access Banners
FTP: Trusted path/channels	FTP_ITC.1(1): Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Prevention of Disclosure)
	FTP_ITC.1(2): Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Detection of Modification)
	FTP_TRP.1(1): Trusted Path
	FTP_TRP.1(2): Trusted Path

Table 2 TOE Security Functional Components

5.2.1 Security audit (FAU)

5.2.1.1 Audit Data Generation (FAU_GEN.1)

FAU_GEN.1.1	The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:
	a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;
	b) All auditable events for the basic level of audit; and
	c) All administrative actions;
	d) [Specifically defined auditable events listed in Table 3].
FAU_GEN.1.2	The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:
	a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome
	(success or failure) of the event; and
	b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the
	functional components included in the PP/ST, [information specified in column
	three of Table 3].

Application Note: The table below is based on the audit events required in the NDPP. The material identified using <u>underlined blue text</u> is drawn from the TFFWPP, so all together the required auditable events and audit record content from both PPs is fully represented.

Requirement	Auditable Events	Additional Audit Record Contents
FAU_GEN.1	None.	
FAU_GEN.2	None.	
FAU SAR.1	None.	
FAU SAR.3	None.	
FAU STG.1	None.	
FAU STG.4	None.	
FAU_STG_EXT.1	None.	
FAU_STG_EXT.3	Loss of connectivity.	No additional information.
FCS_CKM.1	Failure on invoking functionality.	No additional information.
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Failure on invoking functionality.	No additional information.
FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1	None.	
FCS_COP.1(1)	Failure on invoking functionality.	The identity of the external IT entity
		attempting to perform the
	Success and failure, and the type of	cryptographic operation.
	cryptographic operation.	
FCS_COP.1(2)	Failure on invoking functionality.	No additional information.
FCS_COP.1(3)	Failure on invoking functionality.	No additional information.
FCS_COP.1(4)	Failure on invoking functionality.	No additional information.
FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1	Failure to establish a HTTPS Session.	Reason for failure.
	Establishment/Termination of a HTTPS	Non-TOE endpoint of connection (IP
	session.	address) for both successes and failures.
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1	Failure to establish an IPsec SA.	Reason for failure.

Requirement	Auditable Events	Additional Audit Record Contents
	Establishment/Termination of an IPsec SA.	Non-TOE endpoint of connection (IP
		address) for both successes and failures.
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Failure of the randomization process.	No additional information.
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	Failure to establish an SSH session.	Reason for failure
	Establishment/Termination of an SSH	Non-TOE endpoint of connection (IP
	session.	address) for both successes and failures.
FCS_TLS_EXT.1	Failure to establish a TLS Session.	Reason for failure.
	Establishment/Termination of a TLS	Non-TOE endpoint of connection (IP
	session.	address) for both successes and failures.
FDP_IFC.1	None.	
FDP_IFF.1	All decisions on requests for information	The presumed addresses of the source
	flow.	and destination subject.
FDP_RIP.2	None.	
FIA AFL.1	The reaching of the threshold for	The identity of the offending user and
	unsuccessful authentication attempts and	the administrator.
	the subsequent restoration by an	
	administrator of the user's capability to	
	authenticate.	
FIA ATD.1	None.	
FIA_PMG_EXT.1	None.	
FIA_UIA_EXT.1	All use of the authentication mechanism.	Provided user identity, origin of the
		attempt (e.g., IP address).
FIA UAU.1	Any use of the authentication mechanism.	The user identities provided to the TOE.
FIA UAU.4	None.	
FIA_UAU.6	Attempt to re-authenticate.	Origin of the attempt (e.g., IP address).
FIA_UAU.7	None.	
FIA_UID.2	All use of the user identification	The user identities provided to the TOE.
	Mechanism.	
FMT_MOF.1	Use of the functions listed in this	The identity of the administrator
	requirement pertaining to audit.	performing the operation.
FMT MSA.3	None.	
FMT_MTD.1	None.	
FMT_SMF.1	None.	
FMT_SMR.1	Modifications to the group of users	The identity of the administrator
	that are part of an administrator role per	performing the modification and the
	FMT_SMR.1.	user identity being associated with the
		administrator role.
FPT_ITT.1(1)	None.	
FPT_ITT.1(2)	None.	
FPT_PTD.1(1)	None.	
FPT_PTD.1(2)	None.	
FPT_RPL.1	Detected replay attacks.	Origin of the attempt (e.g., IP address).
FPT_STM.1	Changes to the time.	The old and new values for the time.
		Origin of the attempt (e.g., IP address).
		The identity of the administrator
		performing the operation.
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Initiation of update.	No additional information.
FPT_TST_EXT.1	Indication that TSF self-test was completed.	Any additional information generated by
		the tests beyond "success" or "failure".

Requirement	Auditable Events	Additional Audit Record Contents
FRU_RSA.1	Maximum quota being exceeded.	Resource identifier.
FTA_SSL_EXT.1	Any attempts at unlocking of an interactive	No additional information.
	session.	
FTA_SSL.3	The termination of a remote session by the	No additional information.
	session locking mechanism.	
FTA_TAB.1	None.	
FTP_ITC.1(1)	Initiation of the trusted channel.	Identification of the initiator and target
	Termination of the trusted channel.	of failed trusted channels establishment
	Failure of the trusted channel functions.	attempt.
FTP_ITC.1(2)	Initiation of the trusted channel.	Identification of the initiator and target
	Termination of the trusted channel.	of failed trusted channels establishment
	Failure of the trusted channel functions.	attempt.
FTP_TRP.1(1)	Initiation of the trusted channel.	Identification of the claimed user
	Termination of the trusted channel.	identity.
	Failures of the trusted path functions.	
FTP_TRP.1(2)	Initiation of the trusted channel.	Identification of the claimed user
	Termination of the trusted channel.	identity.
	Failures of the trusted path functions.	

Table 3 Auditable Events

5.2.1.2 User identity association (FAU_GEN.2)

FAU_GEN.2.1 For audit events resulting from actions of identified users, the TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.

5.2.1.3 Audit review (FAU_SAR.1)

FAU_SAR.1.1	The TSF shall provide [an authorized administrator] with the capability to read [all audit
	trail data] from the audit records.
FAU_SAR.1.2	The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the
	information.

5.2.1.4 Selectable audit review (FAU_SAR.3)

FAU_SAR.3.1 The TSF shall provide the ability to perform searches and sorting of audit data based on:
a) [presumed subject address;
b) ranges of dates;
c) ranges of times;
d) ranges of addresses].

5.2.1.5 Protected audit trail storage (FAU_STG.1)

```
FAU_STG.1.1The TSF shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorized deletion.FAU_STG.1.2The TSF shall be able to prevent modifications to the audit records.
```

5.2.1.6 Prevention of audit data loss (FAU_STG.4)

FAU_STG.4.1The TSF shall prevent auditable events, except those taken by the authorized
administrator and [shall limit the number of audit records lost] if the audit trail is full.

5.2.1.7 External Audit Trail Storage (FAU_STG_EXT.1)

FAU_STG_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to [*transmit the generated audit data to an external IT entity over a trusted channel defined in FTP_ITC.1*].

Hewlett-Packard

5.2.1.8 Action in case of Loss of Audit Server Connectivity (FAU_STG_EXT.3)

FAU_STG_EXT.3.1 The TSF shall [generate an SNMP trap] if the link to the external IT entity collecting the audit data generated by the TOE is not available.

5.2.2 Cryptographic support (FCS)

5.2.2.1 Cryptographic Key Generation (for asymmetric keys) (FCS_CKM.1)

FCS_CKM.1.1

Refinement: The TSF shall generate asymmetric cryptographic keys in accordance with a domain parameter generator and [*a random number generator*] that meet the following: a) All cases: (i.e., any of the above)

- ANSI X9.80 (3 January 2000), "Prime Number Generation, Primality Testing, and Primality Certificates" using random integers with deterministic tests, or constructive generation methods
- Generated key strength shall be equivalent to, or greater than, a symmetric key strength of 112 bits using conservative estimates.
- b) Case: For domain parameters used in finite field-based key establishment schemes
 - NIST Special Publication 800-56A, 'Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography'
- c) Case: For domain parameters used in RSA-based key establishment schemes
 - NIST Special Publication 800-56B, 'Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Integer Factorization Cryptography'.

5.2.2.2 Cryptographic Key Zeroization (FCS_CKM_EXT.4)

FCS_CKM_EXT.4.1 The TSF shall zeroize all plaintext secret and private cryptographic keys and CSPs when no longer required.

5.2.2.3 Communications Protection (FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1)

FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall protect communications using [IPSec, SSH] and [TLS/HTTPS].

Application Note: Note that the Web based interface, and hence, TLS/HTTPS, is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 series router appliances.

5.2.2.4 Cryptograph	ic Operation (for data encryption/decryption) (FCS_COP.1(1))
FCS_COP.1(1).1	 Refinement: The TSF shall perform [encryption and decryption] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [AES operating in [ECB and CBC modes]] and cryptographic key sizes 128-bits, 256-bits, and [<i>192 bits</i>] that meets the following: FIPS PUB 197, 'Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)' [<i>NIST SP 800-38A</i>].
5.2.2.5 Cryptograph	ic Operation (for cryptographic signature) (FCS_COP.1(2))
FCS_COP.1(2).1	 Refinement: The TSF shall perform cryptographic signature services in accordance with a [(1) Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) with a key size (modulus) of 1024 bits³, (2) RSA Digital Signature Algorithm (rDSA) with a key size (modulus) of 2048 bits or greater] that meets the following: Case: Digital Signature Algorithm [FIPS PUB 186-2, 'Digital Signature Standard'] Case: RSA Digital Signature Algorithm

³ The NDPP indicates 2048 bits or greater, but FIPS 186-2 supports only 1024 bits for DSA. It has been determined the NDPP is in error and will be corrected in the future – this ST includes the corrected SFR.

Hewlett-Packard

o [FIPS PUB 186-2, 'Digital Signature Standard'].

5.2.2.6 Cryptographic Operation (for cryptographic hashing) (FCS_COP.1(3))

FCS_COP.1(3).1Refinement: The TSF shall perform [cryptographic hashing services] in accordance with
a specified cryptographic algorithm [SHA-1, SHA-256] and message digest sizes [160,
256] bits that meet the following: FIPS Pub 180-3, 'Secure Hash Standard.'

5.2.2.7 Cryptographic Operation (for keyed-hash message authentication) (FCS_COP.1(4))

FCS_COP.1(4).1Refinement: The TSF shall perform [keyed-hash message authentication] in accordance
with a specified cryptographic algorithm HMAC-[SHA-1], key size [20 octets], and
message digest sizes [160] bits that meet the following: FIPS Pub 198-1, 'The Keyed-
Hash Message Authentication Code', and FIPS Pub 180-3, 'Secure Hash Standard.'

5.2.2.8 Explicit: HTTPS (FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1) -- (MSR30 and MSR30 only)

FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1.1The TSF shall implement the HTTPS protocol that complies with RFC 2818.FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1.2The TSF shall implement HTTPS using TLS as specified in FCS_TLS_EXT.1.

Application Note: Note that the Web based interface, and hence, TLS/HTTPS, is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 series router appliances.

5.2.2.9 Explicit: IPSEC (FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1)

FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall implement IPsec using the ESP protocol as defined by RFC 4303 using the cryptographic algorithms AES-CBC-128, AES-CBC-256 (both specified by RFC 3602), [<i>no other algorithms</i> ,] and using IKEv1 as defined in RFCs 2407, 2408, 2409, and RFC 4109; [<i>no other method</i>] to establish the security association.
FCS IPSEC EXT.1.2	The TSF shall ensure that IKEv1 Phase 1 exchanges use only main mode.
FCS IPSEC EXT.1.3	The TSF shall ensure that IKEv1 SA lifetimes are able to be limited to 24 hours for Phase
	1 SAs and 8 hours for Phase 2 SAs.
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.4	The TSF shall ensure that IKEv1 SA lifetimes are able to be limited to [100] MB of
	traffic for Phase 2 SAs.
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.5	The TSF shall ensure that all IKE protocols implement DH Groups 14 (2048-bit MODP),
	and [[DH Group 1 (768-bit MODP), DH Group 2 (1024-bit MODP), DH Group 5
	(1536-bit MODP)]].
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.6	The TSF shall ensure that all IKE protocols implement Peer Authentication using the
	[<i>rDSA</i>] algorithm.
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.7	The TSF shall support the use of pre-shared keys (as referenced in the RFCs) for use in
	authenticating its IPsec connections.
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.8	The TSF shall support the following:
	1. Pre-shared keys shall be able to be composed of any combination of upper and
	lower case letters, numbers, and special characters (that include: "!", "@", "#", "\$" "%" "^" "&" "*" "(" and ")"):
	2. Pre-shared keys of 22 characters and $[8 \sim 128 characters]$
5.2.2.10 Extended: Cry	ptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation) (FCS_RBG_EXT.1)
FCS_RBG_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall perform all random bit generation (RBG) services in accordance with
	[FIPS Pub 140-2 Annex C: X9.31 Appendix 2.4 using AES] seeded by an entropy
	source that accumulated entropy from at least one independent TSF-hardware-based
	noise source.
FCS_RBG_EXT.1.2	The deterministic RBG shall be seeded with a minimum of [128 bits] of entropy at least

5.2.2.11 Explicit: SSH (FCS_SSH_EXT.1)

FCS_SSH_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall implement the SSH protocol that complies with RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, and 4254
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.2	The TSF shall ensure that the SSH connection be rekeyed after no more than 2^{28} packets have been transmitted using that key.
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.3	The TSF shall ensure that the SSH protocol implements a timeout period for authentication as defined in RFC 4252 of [90 seconds], and provide a limit to the number of failed authentication attempts a client may perform in a single session to [3] attempts.
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.4	The TSF shall ensure that the SSH protocol implementation supports the following authentication methods as described in RFC 4252: public key-based, password-based.
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.5	The TSF shall ensure that, as described in RFC 4253, packets greater than [256K] bytes in an SSH transport connection are dropped.
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.6	The TSF shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses the following encryption algorithms: AES-CBC-128, AES-CBC-256, [<i>no other algorithms</i>].
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.7	The TSF shall ensure that the SSH transport implementation uses SSH_RSA and [<i>no other public key algorithms</i>] as its public key algorithm(s).
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.8	The TSF shall ensure that data integrity algorithms used in SSH transport connection is [<i>hmac-sha1, hmac-sha1-96</i>].
FCS_SSH_EXT.1.9	The TSF shall ensure that diffie-hellman-group14-sha1 is the only allowed key exchange method used for the SSH protocol.
5.2.2.12 Explicit: TLS	(FCS_TLS_EXT.1) (MSR30 and MSR30 only)

FCS_TLS_EXT.1.1

The TSF shall implement one or more of the following protocols [*TLS 1.0 (RFC 2346)*] supporting the following ciphersuites: Mandatory Ciphersuites:

> TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA Optional Ciphersuites: [*None*].

Application Note: Note that the Web based interface, and hence, TLS/HTTPS, is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 series router appliances.

5.2.3 User data protection (FDP)

5.2.3.1 Subset information flow control (FDP_IFC.1)

FDP_IFC.1.1

The TSF shall enforce the [UNAUTHENTICATED SFP] on:

- a) [subjects: unauthenticated external IT entities that send and receive information through the TOE to one another;
- b) information: traffic sent through the TOE from one subject to another;
- c) operation: pass information].

5.2.3.2 Simple security attributes (FDP_IFF.1)

FDP_IFF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [UNAUTHENTICATED SFP] based on at least the following types of subject and information security attributes:

- a) [subject security attributes: presumed address;
- b) information security attributes:
 - presumed address of source subject;
 - o presumed address of destination subject;
 - o transport layer protocol;
 - TOE interface on which traffic arrives and departs;
 - o service].

Hewlett-Packard

FDP_IFF.1.2	 The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and another controlled subject via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: a) [Subjects on an internal network can cause information to flow through the TOE to another connected network if: a) all the information security attribute values are unambiguously permitted by the information flow security policy rules, where such rules may be composed from all possible combinations of the values of the information flow security attributes, created by the authorized administrator; the presumed address of the source subject, in the information, translates to an internal network address;
	 translates to an address on the other connected network. b) Subjects on the external network can cause information to flow through the TOE to another connected network if: all the information security attribute values are unambiguously permitted by the information flow security policy rules, where such rules may be composed from all possible combinations of the values of the information flow security attributes, created by the authorized administrator; the presumed address of the source subject, in the information, translates to an external network address; and the presumed address on the other connected network.]
FDP_IFF.1.3	The TSF shall enforce the [none].
FDP_IFF.1.4	The TSF shall provide the following [none].
FDP IFF.1.5	The TSF shall explicitly authorize an information flow based on the following rules:
_	[none].
FDP_IFF16	The TSE shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules:
FDI_IIT.1.0	 a) [The TOE shall reject requests for access or services where the information arrives on an external TOE interface, and the presumed address of the source subject is an external IT entity on an internal network;
	b) The TOE shall reject requests for access or services where the information arrives on an internal TOE interface, and the presumed address of the source subject is an external IT entity on the external network;
	c) The TOE shall reject requests for access or services where the information arrives on either an internal or external TOE interface, and the presumed address of the source subject is an external IT entity on a broadcast network.
	d) The TOE shall reject requests for access or services where the information arrives on either an internal or external TOE interface, and the presumed address of the source subject is an external IT entity on the loopback network.]
5.2.3.3 Full Residual Inf	Cormation Protection (FDP_RIP.2)
FDD DID 2 1	The TSE shell ensure that any previous information content of a recourse is made
FDP_KIP.2.1	unavailable upon the [<i>allocation of the resource to</i>] all objects.
5.2.4 Identification a	and authentication (FIA)
5041 And - 4 - 4 - 6	silvers handling (FIA AFI 1)

5.2.4.1 Authentication failure handling (FIA_AFL.1)FIA_AFL.1.1 The TSF shall detect when [a settable, non-zero number, that is a positive integer] of

FIA_AFL.1.2
 FIA_AFL.1.2
 when the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been met or surpassed, the TSF shall [prevent the offending external IT entity from successfully authenticating until an authorized administrator takes some action to make authentication possible for the external IT entity in question.]

5.2.4.2 User attribute definition (FIA_ATD.1)

FIA_ATD.1.1	The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users: a) [identity; b) association of a human user with the authorized administrator role; c) Authentication data (e.g. password) any other user security attributes {to be determined by the Security Target writer(s)}].	
5.2.4.3 Password Mana	gement (FIA_PMG_EXT.1)	
FIA_PMG_EXT.1.1	 The TSF shall provide the following password management capabilities for administrative passwords: Passwords shall be able to be composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and special characters (that include: '!', '@', '#', '\$', '%', '%', '&', '&', '&', '&', '&', '&	
5.2.4.4 Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1)		
FIA_UAU.1.1 FIA_UAU.1.2	The TSF shall allow [identification as stated in FIA_UID.2] on behalf of the authorized administrator or authorized external IT entity accessing the TOE to be performed before the authorized administrator or authorized external IT entity is authenticated. The TSF shall require each authorized administrator or authorized external IT entity to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that authorized administrator or authorized IT entity.	
5.2.4.5 Single-use authe	ntication mechanisms (FIA_UAU.4)	
FIA_UAU.4.1	 The TSF shall prevent reuse of authentication data related to [authentication attempts from either an internal or external network by: a) authorized administrators; b) authorized external IT entities]. 	
5.2.4.6 Re-authenticatin	ng (FIA_UAU.6)	
FIA_UAU.6.1	The TSF shall re-authenticate the user under the conditions: when the user changes their password, [<i>following TSF-initiated locking (FTA_SSL</i>)].	
5.2.4.7 Protected Authe	ntication Feedback (FIA_UAU.7)	
FIA_UAU.7.1	The TSF shall provide only obscured feedback to the user while the authentication is in progress at the local console.	
5.2.4.8 User Identificati	on and Authentication (FIA_UIA_EXT.1)	
FIA_UIA_EXT.1.1 FIA_UIA_EXT.1.2	The TSF shall allow [<i>no services</i>] on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified and authenticated. The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified and authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.	
5.2.4.9 User identification	on before any action (FIA UID.2)	
FIA_UID.2.1	The TSF shall require each user to identify itself before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.	

5.2.5 Security management (FMT)

5.2.5.1 Management of security functions behavior (FMT_MOF.1)

FMT_MOF.1.1

The TSF shall restrict the ability to perform the functions:

- a) [start-up and shutdown;
- b) create, delete, modify, and view information flow security policy rules that permit or deny information flows;
- c) create, delete, modify, and view user attribute values defined in FIA_ATD.1;
- enable and disable single-use authentication mechanisms in FIA_UAU.4 (if the TOE supports authorized IT entities and/or remote administration from either an internal or external network);
- e) modify and set the threshold for the number of permitted authentication attempt failures (if the TOE supports authorized IT entities and/or remote administration from either an internal or external network);
- f) restore authentication capabilities for users that have met or exceeded the threshold for permitted authentication attempt failures (if the TOE supports authorized IT entities and/or remote administration from either an internal or external network);
- g) enable and disable external IT entities from communicating to the TOE (if the TOE supports authorized external IT entities);
- h) modify and set the time and date;
- i) archive, create, delete, empty, and review the audit trail;
- j) backup of user attribute values, information flow security policy rules, and audit trail data, where the backup capability shall be supported by automated tools;
- k) recover to the state following the last backup;
- 1) additionally, if the TSF supports remote administration from either an internal or external network:
 - enable and disable remote administration from internal and external networks;
- restrict addresses from which remote administration can be performed]. to [an authorized administrator].

5.2.5.2 Static attribute initialization (FMT_MSA.3)

FMT_MSA.3.1The TSF shall enforce the [UNAUTHENTICATED SFP] to provide restrictive default
values for information flow security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.FMT_MSA.3.2The TSF shall allow the [authorized administrator] to specify alternative initial values to
override the default values when an object or information is created.

5.2.5.3 Management of TSF Data (for general TSF data) (FMT_MTD.1)

FMT_MTD.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to manage the TSF data to the Security Administrators.

5.2.5.4 Specification of Management Functions (FMT_SMF.1)

FMT_SMF.1.1

The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions:

- Ability to configure the list of TOE services available before an entity is identified and authenticated, as specified in FIA_UIA_EXT.1, respectively.
- Ability to configure the cryptographic functionality.
- Ability to update the TOE, and to verify the updates using the digital signature capability (FCS_COP.1(2)) and [*[other functions identified in FMT_MOF.1]*].

5.2.5.5 Security Roles (FMT_SMR.1)

FMT_SMR.1.1	The TSF shall maintain the roles: [Security Administrator ⁴ , [<i>no other roles</i>]].
FMT_SMR.1.2	The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

5.2.6 Protection of the TSF (FPT)

5.2.6.1 Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection (Disclosure) (FPT_ITT.1(1))

FPT_ITT.1(1).1 The TSF shall protect TSF data from [*disclosure*] when it is transmitted between separate parts of the TOE.

Application Note: While the NDPP includes a version of this requirement to require the use of a cryptographic service to protect communication between distributed TOE components, the base CC requirement has been substituted since when applicable (i.e., TOE appliance redundancy supporting high-availability) protection is afforded by virtue of a dedicated link between TOE components in the evaluated configuration.

5.2.6.2 Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection (Modification) (FPT_ITT.1(2))

FPT_ITT.1(2).1 The TSF shall protect TSF data from [*modification*] when it is transmitted between separate parts of the TOE.

Application Note: While the NDPP includes a version of this requirement to require the use of a cryptographic service to protect communication between distributed TOE components, the base CC requirement has been substituted since when applicable (i.e., TOE appliance redundancy supporting high-availability) protection is afforded by virtue of a dedicated link between TOE components in the evaluated configuration.

5.2.6.3 Management of TSF Data (for reading of authentication data) (FPT_PTD.1(1))		
FPT_PTD.1(1).1	Refinement: The TSF shall prevent reading of the plaintext passwords.	
5.2.6.4 Management of	TSF Data (for reading of all symmetric keys) (FPT_PTD.1(2))	
FPT_PTD.1 (2).1	Refinement: The TSF shall prevent reading of all pre-shared keys, symmetric key, and private keys.	
5.2.6.5 Replay Detection (FPT_RPL.1)		
FPT_RPL.1.1	The TSF shall detect replay for the following entities: [network packets terminated at the TOE].	
FPT_RPL.1.2	The TSF shall perform: [reject the data] when replay is detected.	
5.2.6.6 Reliable Time Stamps (FPT_STM.1)		
FPT_STM.1.1	The TSF shall be able to provide reliable time stamps for its own use.	
5.2.6.7 TSF Testing (FPT_TST_EXT.1)		
FPT_TST_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall run a suite of self tests during initial start-up (on power on) to demonstrate the correct operation of the TSF.	
5.2.6.8 Extended: Trusted Update (FPT_TUD_EXT.1)		
FPT_TUD_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall provide security administrators the ability to query the current version of the TOE firmware/software.	
F1 1_1U D_EA1.1.2	firmware/software.	

⁴ While the TOE implements four distinct roles: visit, monitor, system, and manage, only two of them (system and manage) can perform security management functions and are logically mapped to the required 'Security Administrator' role.

FPT_TUD_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall provide a means to verify firmware/software updates to the TOE using a [*digital signature mechanism*] prior to installing those updates.

5.2.7 Resource utilisation (FRU)

5.2.7.1 Maximum Quotas (FRU_RSA.1)

FRU_RSA.1.1The TSF shall enforce maximum quotas of the following resources: [user sessions
supporting the administrative interface], [[memory, CPU]] that [subjects] can use
[simultaneously].

5.2.8 TOE access (FTA)

5.2.8.1 TSF-initiated Termination (FTA_SSL.3)

FTA_SSL.3.1Refinement: The TSF shall terminate a remote interactive session after a [Security
Administrator-configurable time interval of session inactivity].

5.2.8.2 TSF-initiated Session Locking (FTA_SSL_EXT.1)

FTA_SSL_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall, for local interactive sessions, [

• lock the session - disable any activity of the user's data access/display devices other than unlocking the session, and requiring that the administrator re-authenticate to the TSF prior to unlocking the session]

after a Security Administrator-specified time period of inactivity.

5.2.8.3 Default TOE Access Banners (FTA_TAB.1)

FTA_TAB.1.1 Refinement: Before establishing a user/administrator session the TSF shall display a Security Administrator-specified advisory notice and consent warning message regarding unauthorized use of the TOE.

5.2.9 Trusted path/channels (FTP)

5.2.9.1 Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Prevention of Disclosure) (FTP_ITC.1(1))

FTP_ITC.1(1).1	Refinement: The TSF shall use [IPSEC] to provide a trusted communication channel between itself and authorized IT entities that is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from disclosure.
FTP_ITC.1(1).2	Refinement: The TSF shall permit the TSF, or the authorized IT entities to initiate communication via the trusted channel.
FTP_ITC.1(1).3	The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for [all authentication functions, [audit data, and VPN peer communication]].

5.2.9.2 Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Detection of Modification) (FTP_ITC.1(2))

FTP_ITC.1(2).1	Refinement: The TSF shall use [IPSEC] in providing a trusted communication channel
	between itself and authorized IT entities that is logically distinct from other
	communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and
	detection of the modification of data.
FTP_ITC.1(2).2	Refinement: The TSF shall permit the TSF, or the authorized IT entities to initiate
	communication via the trusted channel.
FTP_ITC.1(2).3	The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for [all authentication
	functions, [audit data, and VPN peer communication]].

5.2.9.3 Trusted Path (FTP_TRP.1(1))

FTP_TRP.1(1).1	Refinement: The TSF shall provide a communication path between itself and remote administrators using [SSH or TLS/HTTPS] that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from disclosure.
FTP_TRP.1(1).2	The TSF shall permit remote administrators to initiate communication via the trusted path.
FTP_TRP.1(1).3	Refinement: The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for all remote administrative actions.

Application Note: Note that the Web based interface, and hence, TLS/HTTPS, is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 series router appliances.

5.2.9.4 Trusted Path (FTP_TRP.1(2))

FTP_TRP.1(2).1	Refinement: The TSF shall provide a communication path between itself and remote
	administrators using [SSH or TLS/HTTPS] that is logically distinct from other
	communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and detection
	of modification of the communicated data.
FTP_TRP.1(2).2	The TSF shall permit remote administrators to initiate communication via the trusted
	path.
FTP_TRP.1(2).3	Refinement: The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for all remote
	administrative actions.

Application Note: Note that the Web based interface, and hence, TLS/HTTPS, is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 series router appliances.
5.3 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

The security assurance requirements for the TOE are the EAL 2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 components as specified in Part 3 of the Common Criteria.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
ADV: Development	ADV_ARC.1: Security architecture description
	ADV_FSP.2: Security-enforcing functional specification
	ADV_TDS.1: Basic design
AGD: Guidance documents	AGD_OPE.1: Operational user guidance
	AGD_PRE.1: Preparative procedures
ALC: Life-cycle support	ALC_CMC.2: Use of a CM system
	ALC_CMS.2: Parts of the TOE CM coverage
	ALC_DEL.1: Delivery procedures
	ALC_FLR.2: Flaw reporting procedures
ATE: Tests	ATE_COV.1: Evidence of coverage
	ATE_FUN.1: Functional testing
	ATE_IND.2: Independent testing - sample
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.2: Vulnerability analysis

Table 4 EAL 2 augmented with ALC	_FLR.2 Assurance Components
----------------------------------	-----------------------------

5.3.1 Development (ADV)

5.3.1.1 Security architecture description (ADV_ARC.1)

- ADV_ARC.1.1d The developer shall design and implement the TOE so that the security features of the TSF cannot be bypassed.
- ADV_ARC.1.2d The developer shall design and implement the TSF so that it is able to protect itself from tampering by untrusted active entities.
- ADV_ARC.1.3d The developer shall provide a security architecture description of the TSF.
- ADV_ARC.1.1c The security architecture description shall be at a level of detail commensurate with the description of the SFR-enforcing abstractions described in the TOE design document.
- ADV_ARC.1.2c The security architecture description shall describe the security domains maintained by the TSF consistently with the SFRs.
- ADV_ARC.1.3c The security architecture description shall describe how the TSF initialisation process is secure.
- ADV_ARC.1.4c The security architecture description shall demonstrate that the TSF protects itself from tampering.
- ADV_ARC.1.5c The security architecture description shall demonstrate that the TSF prevents bypass of the SFRenforcing functionality.
- ADV_ARC.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.1.2 Security-enforcing functional specification (ADV_FSP.2)

- ADV_FSP.2.1d The developer shall provide a functional specification.
- ADV_FSP.2.2d The developer shall provide a tracing from the functional specification to the SFRs.
- ADV_FSP.2.1c The functional specification shall completely represent the TSF.
- ADV_FSP.2.2c The functional specification shall describe the purpose and method of use for all TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.2.3c The functional specification shall identify and describe all parameters associated with each TSFI.
- ADV_FSP.2.4c For each SFR-enforcing TSFI, the functional specification shall describe the SFR-enforcing actions associated with the TSFI.
- **ADV_FSP.2.5c** For each SFR-enforcing TSFI, the functional specification shall describe direct error messages resulting from processing associated with the SFR-enforcing actions.
- ADV_FSP.2.6c The tracing shall demonstrate that the SFRs trace to TSFIs in the functional specification.

- **ADV_FSP.2.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- **ADV_FSP.2.2e** The evaluator shall determine that the functional specification is an accurate and complete instantiation of the SFRs.

5.3.1.3 Basic design (ADV_TDS.1)

- **ADV_TDS.1.1d** The developer shall provide the design of the TOE.
- ADV_TDS.1.2d The developer shall provide a mapping from the TSFI of the functional specification to the lowest level of decomposition available in the TOE design.
- ADV_TDS.1.1c The design shall describe the structure of the TOE in terms of subsystems.
- ADV_TDS.1.2c The design shall identify all subsystems of the TSF.
- ADV_TDS.1.3c The design shall describe the behaviour of each SFR-supporting or SFR-non-interfering TSF subsystem in sufficient detail to determine that it is not SFR-enforcing.
- **ADV_TDS.1.4c** The design shall summarise the SFR-enforcing behaviour of the SFR-enforcing subsystems.
- ADV_TDS.1.5c The design shall provide a description of the interactions among SFR-enforcing subsystems of the TSF, and between the SFR-enforcing subsystems of the TSF and other subsystems of the TSF.
- ADV_TDS.1.6c The mapping shall demonstrate that all TSFIs trace to the behaviour described in the TOE design that they invoke.
- **ADV_TDS.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- **ADV_TDS.1.2e** The evaluator shall determine that the design is an accurate and complete instantiation of all security functional requirements.

5.3.2 Guidance documents (AGD)

5.3.2.1 Operational user guidance (AGD_OPE.1)

AGD_OPE.1.1d The developer shall provide operational user guidance.

- AGD_OPE.1.1c The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the user-accessible functions and privileges that should be controlled in a secure processing environment, including appropriate warnings.
- AGD_OPE.1.2c The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, how to use the available interfaces provided by the TOE in a secure manner.
- AGD_OPE.1.3c The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the available functions and interfaces, in particular all security parameters under the control of the user, indicating secure values as appropriate.
- AGD_OPE.1.4c The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, clearly present each type of securityrelevant event relative to the user-accessible functions that need to be performed, including changing the security characteristics of entities under the control of the TSF.
- AGD_OPE.1.5c The operational user guidance shall identify all possible modes of operation of the TOE (including operation following failure or operational error), their consequences and implications for maintaining secure operation.
- AGD_OPE.1.6c The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, describe the security measures to be followed in order to fulfil the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.
- AGD_OPE.1.7c The operational user guidance shall be clear and reasonable.
- AGD_OPE.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.2.2 Preparative procedures (AGD_PRE.1)

AGD_PRE.1.1d The developer shall provide the TOE including its preparative procedures.

AGD_PRE.1.1c The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure acceptance of the delivered TOE in accordance with the developer's delivery procedures.

- AGD_PRE.1.2c The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure installation of the TOE and for the secure preparation of the operational environment in accordance with the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.
- AGD_PRE.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AGD_PRE.1.2e The evaluator shall apply the preparative procedures to confirm that the TOE can be prepared securely for operation.

5.3.3 Life-cycle support (ALC)

5.3.3.1 Use of a CM system (ALC_CMC.2)

- ALC_CMC.2.1d The developer shall provide the TOE and a reference for the TOE.
- ALC_CMC.2.2d The developer shall provide the CM documentation.
- ALC_CMC.2.3d The developer shall use a CM system.
- ALC_CMC.2.1c The TOE shall be labelled with its unique reference.
- ALC_CMC.2.2c The CM documentation shall describe the method used to uniquely identify the configuration items.
- ALC_CMC.2.3c The CM system shall uniquely identify all configuration items.
- ALC_CMC.2.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage (ALC_CMS.2)

- ALC_CMS.2.1d The developer shall provide a configuration list for the TOE.
- ALC_CMS.2.1c The configuration list shall include the following: the TOE itself; the evaluation evidence required by the SARs; and the parts that comprise the TOE.
- ALC_CMS.2.2c The configuration list shall uniquely identify the configuration items.
- ALC_CMS.2.3c For each TSF relevant configuration item, the configuration list shall indicate the developer of the item.
- ALC_CMS.2.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.3 Delivery procedures (ALC_DEL.1)

- ALC_DEL.1.1d The developer shall document and provide procedures for delivery of the TOE or parts of it to the consumer.
- ALC_DEL.1.2d The developer shall use the delivery procedures.
- ALC_DEL.1.1c The delivery documentation shall describe all procedures that are necessary to maintain security when distributing versions of the TOE to the consumer.
- ALC_DEL.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.3.4 Flaw reporting procedures (ALC_FLR.2)

- ALC_FLR.2.1d The developer shall document and provide flaw remediation procedures addressed to TOE developers.
- ALC_FLR.2.2d The developer shall establish a procedure for accepting and acting upon all reports of security flaws and requests for corrections to those flaws.
- ALC_FLR.2.3d The developer shall provide flaw remediation guidance addressed to TOE users.
- ALC_FLR.2.1c The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the procedures used to track all reported security flaws in each release of the TOE.
- ALC_FLR.2.2c The flaw remediation procedures shall require that a description of the nature and effect of each security flaw be provided, as well as the status of finding a correction to that flaw.
- ALC_FLR.2.3c The flaw remediation procedures shall require that corrective actions be identified for each of the security flaws.
- ALC_FLR.2.4c The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the methods used to provide flaw information, corrections and guidance on corrective actions to TOE users.

- ALC_FLR.2.5c The flaw remediation procedures shall describe a means by which the developer receives from TOE users reports and enquiries of suspected security flaws in the TOE.
- ALC_FLR.2.6c The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall ensure that any reported flaws are remediated and the remediation procedures issued to TOE users.
- ALC_FLR.2.7c The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall provide safeguards that any corrections to these security flaws do not introduce any new flaws.
- ALC_FLR.2.8c The flaw remediation guidance shall describe a means by which TOE users report to the developer any suspected security flaws in the TOE.
- ALC_FLR.2.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4 Tests (ATE)

5.3.4.1 Evidence of coverage (ATE_COV.1)

- ATE_COV.1.1d The developer shall provide evidence of the test coverage.
- ATE_COV.1.1c The evidence of the test coverage shall show the correspondence between the tests in the test documentation and the TSFIs in the functional specification.
- ATE_COV.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.2 Functional testing (ATE_FUN.1)

- ATE_FUN.1.1d The developer shall test the TSF and document the results.
- ATE_FUN.1.2d The developer shall provide test documentation.
- ATE_FUN.1.1c The test documentation shall consist of test plans, expected test results and actual test results.
- **ATE_FUN.1.2c** The test plans shall identify the tests to be performed and describe the scenarios for performing each test. These scenarios shall include any ordering dependencies on the results of other tests.
- ATE_FUN.1.3c The expected test results shall show the anticipated outputs from a successful execution of the tests.
- ATE_FUN.1.4c The actual test results shall be consistent with the expected test results.
- ATE_FUN.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.3 Independent testing - sample (ATE_IND.2)

- ATE_IND.2.1d The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.
- **ATE_IND.2.1c** The TOE shall be suitable for testing.
- ATE_IND.2.2c The developer shall provide an equivalent set of resources to those that were used in the developer's functional testing of the TSF.
- ATE_IND.2.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- ATE_IND.2.2e The evaluator shall execute a sample of tests in the test documentation to verify the developer test results.
- ATE_IND.2.3e The evaluator shall test a subset of the TSF to confirm that the TSF operates as specified.

5.3.5 Vulnerability assessment (AVA)

5.3.5.1 Vulnerability analysis (AVA_VAN.2)

- AVA_VAN.2.1d The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.
- AVA_VAN.2.1c The TOE shall be suitable for testing.
- AVA_VAN.2.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AVA_VAN.2.2e The evaluator shall perform a search of public domain sources to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.

- AVA_VAN.2.3e The evaluator shall perform an independent vulnerability analysis of the TOE using the guidance documentation, functional specification, TOE design and security architecture description to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.
- AVA_VAN.2.4e The evaluator shall conduct penetration testing, based on the identified potential vulnerabilities, to determine that the TOE is resistant to attacks performed by an attacker possessing Basic attack potential.

6. TOE Summary Specification

This chapter describes the security functions:

- Security audit
- Cryptographic support
- User data protection
- Identification and authentication
- Security management
- Protection of the TSF
- Resource utilisation
- TOE access
- Trusted path/channels

6.1 Security audit

The TOE is designed to be able to generate log records for a wide range of security relevant and other events as they occur. The events that can cause an audit record to be logged include starting and stopping the audit function, any use of an administrator command via the CLI and Web interfaces, as well as all of the events identified in Table 3.

In general, the logged audit records identify the date and time, the nature or type of the triggering event, an indication of whether the event succeeded, failed or had some other outcome, and the identity of the agent (e.g., user) responsible for the event (e.g., user or network host). The logged audit records also include event-specific content that includes at least all of the content required in Table 3.

The TOE includes an internal log implementation that can be used to store and review audit records locally. Alternately, the TOE can be configured to send generated audit records to an external SYSLOG server. The TOE can be configured to use an IPSEC VPN to communicate with the external SYSLOG server, ensuring that audit records are protected from disclosure and modification. The TOE can be further configured so that that SYSLOG server is on a dedicated VLAN to help protect exported audit records for disclosure or modification. This necessarily requires that the dedicated VLAN be used for this dedicated purpose in the operational environment.

When configured to export audit records, when the TOE finds that the external SYSLOG server is not responding (e.g., due to a network discontinuity), it will send an SNMP trap to a configure SNMP server so that an administrator can become aware of, and remedy, the situation.

When configured to store audit records locally, the TOE can be configured to basically suspend operations should the internal log run out of space allowing only a Security Administrator to log in and remedy the situation (e.g., clear the log) so that the TOE can resume normal operations. When that occurs, the TOE could potentially lose any audit records not committed to the log (i.e., those buffered in internal memory) but it would not lose records already in the log.

The internal log can be accessed only by a Security Administrator, who can review, delete (but not modify), or archive stored audit records using available CLI commands specifically designed for the management of the internal LOG. The functions available to review audit records allow the audit records to be sorted in forward or reverse order according to date/time and to be searched using regular expressions.

The Security audit function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FAU_GEN.1: The TOE can generate audit records for events include starting and stopping the audit function, administrator commands, and all other events identified in **Table 3**. Furthermore, each audit record identifies the date/time, event type, outcome of the event, responsible subject/user, as well as the additional event-specific content indicated in **Table 3**.
- FAU_GEN.2: The TOE identifies the responsible user for each event based on the specific administrator or network entity (identified by IP address) that caused the event.
- FAU_SAR.1: The internal log can be fully reviewed by a Security Administrator.

- FAU_SAR.3: The available log review tools support sorting and searching. Sorting is based on time/date and searching is based on any attributes or ranges thereof using regular expressions.
- FAU_STG.1: Audit records in the internal log can be deleted only by a Security Administrator and are not otherwise subject to modification.
- FAU_STG.4: The TOE can be configured so that it will stop performing auditable actions once the internal log has exhausted its available storage space and the only audit records subject to loss are those that happen to be buffered in memory when the space becomes exhausted.. This can be remedied by a Security Administrator.
- FAU_STG_EXT.1: The TOE can be configured to export audit records to an external SYSLOG server and can be configured to use an IPSEC VPN for communication with the SYSLOG server.
- FAU_STG_EXT.3: The TOE will issue an SNMP trap to a configured SNMP server when it discovers the configured external SYSLOG server is not responding.

6.2 Cryptographic support

The TOE includes a FIPS 140-2 certified cryptomodule (Certificate #1911, #1913, #1914 and #1924) providing supporting cryptographic functions. The following functions have been FIPS certified in accordance with the identified standards.

Functions	Standards	Certificates			
Asymmetric key generation					
Domain parameter generation	NIST Special Publication 800-56A	NA			
	NIST Special Publication 800-56B				
Random number generation	ANSI X9.80	NA			
Encryption/Decryption					
• AES ECB and CBC (128-256 bits)	FIPS PUB 197	AES Cert #1927			
	NIST SP 800-38A				
Cryptographic signature services					
Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA)	FIPS PUB 186-2	DSA Cert #611			
(modulus 1024)					
RSA Digital Signature Algorithm	FIPS PUB 186-2	RSA Cert #993			
(rDSA) (modulus 2048)					
Cryptographic hashing					
• SHA-1 and SHA-256 (digest sizes	FIPS Pub 180-3	SHS Cert #1692			
160 and 256 bits)					
Keyed-hash message authentication					
• HMAC-SHA-1 (digest size 160 bits)	FIPS Pub 198-1	HMAC Cert #1161			
	FIPS Pub 180-3				
Random bit generation					
RGB with one independent	FIPS Pub 140-2 Annex C: X9.31	RNG Cert #1014			
hardware based noise source of 128	Appendix 2.4 using AES				
bits of non-determinism					

The TOE is able to generate asymmetric key pairs with modulus 2048 bits which is equivalent to a symmetric key strength of 112 bits. The RSA asymmetric key generation ability has not been covered by FIPS testing; however, it is allowed for use in FIPS mode provided it is used with 80 or 112 bits of encryption strength. In the evaluated configuration it must be used with 112 bits.

Additionally, the TOE is designed to zeroize secret and private keys when they are no longer required by the TOE. This function has also been subject to FIPS 140 certification.

These supporting cryptographic functions are included to support the IPSEC (RFC 4304), IKEv1 (RFCs 2407, 2408, 2409, and 4109), SSHv2 (RFCs 4251, 4252, 4253, and 4254), TLSv1 (RFC 2346)/HTTPS (RFC 2818), and SNMPv3 (based on AES-128) secure communication protocols.

The TOE (MSR30 and MSR50 series routers only) supports TLSv1 with AES (CBC) 128 or 256 bit ciphers, in conjunction with SHA-1, and RSA. The following cipher suites are implemented by the TOE: TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA, TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA, TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA, and TLS_DHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA.

The TOE supports SSHv2 with AES (CBC) 128 or 256 bit ciphers, in conjunction with HMAC-SHA-1 or HMAC-SHA-1-96, and RSA (with diffie-hellman-group14-sha1 for the key exchange method). SSHv2 connections are rekeyed prior to reaching 228 packets; the authentication timeout period is 90 seconds allowing clients to retry only 3 times; both public-key and password based authentication can be configured; and packets are limited to 256K bytes.

The TOE supports IPSec to form VPN connections with peer network devices using the ESP protocol and AES-CBC-128 or AES-CBC-256 and using IKEv1 (including DH Group 14 with a modulus of 2048 and peer authentication using rDSA). IKEv1 Phase 1 exchanges use only main mode and SA lifetimes are limited to 24 hours for Phase 1 SAs and 8 hours for Phase 2 SAs. Phase 2 SAs can also be limited to no more than 100MB of network traffic. The TOE supports the configuration of pre-shared keys for the purpose of authenticating IPSec connections. Pre-shared keys can be between 8 and 128 characters in length and can be composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers and special characters including blank space and $\sim !@#$%^&()_+={}[]::;'<>,./.$

The Cryptographic support function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FCS_CKM.1: See table above.
- FCS_CKM_EXT.4: Keys are zeroized when they are no longer needed by the TOE.
- FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1: The TOE provides IPSEC, SSH, and TLS/HTTPS in support of secure administrator session protection.
- FCS_COP.1(1): See table above.
- FCS_COP.1(2): See table above.
- FCS_COP.1(3): See table above.
- FCS_COP.1(4): See table above.
- FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1: The TOE supports HTTPS web-based secure administrator sessions.
- FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1: The TOE supports IPSec/IKEv1 VPN connections as indicated above.
- FCS_RBG_EXT.1: See table above.
- FCS_SSH_EXT.1: The TOE supports SSHv2 interactive command-line secure administrator sessions as indicated above.
- FCS_TLS_EXT.1: The TOE supports HTTPS web-based secure administrator sessions.

6.3 User data protection

The TOE is designed to ensure its own internal integrity as well as to protect user data from potential, unintended reuse by clearing resources (e.g., memory) as they are allocated to create objects used in the implementation of the TOE operations. Note that volatile memory is the primary resource involved in normal TOE execution while its persistent storage is based on non-volatile flash memory.

The TOE includes firewall functions that allow the definition of firewall rules, collectively known as access control lists (ACLs), that are applied to applicable network traffic as it is received and would pass through the TOE between connected networks. The ACLs can be *basic*, with matching criteria based only on source IP address, or *advanced*, with matching criteria based on source and destination addresses, protocols, and other header information. ACLs can also be defined independently for both IPv4 and IPv6 network traffic.

Basic ACLs define matching criteria in terms of source IPv4 or IPv6 addresses and a time range and support permit and deny operations.

Advanced ACLs define matching criteria in terms of source and destination IPv4 or IPv6 addresses, source and destination ports, protocol/transport layer, other header fields (ack, fin, psh, rst, syn, urg), ICMP type, time range, and VPN instance information and also support permit and deny operations.

In each case, ACL ordering can be selected by the Security Administrator to be either as configured (i.e., rules are processed in the order they are defined by the administrator) or automatic, in which case the rules are automatically sorted in a depth-first order so that the most specific matching criteria is applied first with some tie-breaking heuristics to resolve equal specificity.

Once ACLs are defined, the TOE will process all network traffic against the configured ACLs. The rules in the applicable ACLs are processed in the specified order until a match is encountered and the operation associated with that matching rule (permit or deny) will be enforced. If there is no match, the traffic will be denied by default.

In addition to the administrator-configured rules, there are a number of implicit rules that are always applied to ensure the validity of network traffic being processed:

- If the source address of the network traffic does not translate to an address on the network interface on which it was received it will be rejected.
- If the destination address of the network traffic does not translate to a network associated with an available network interface it will be rejected.
- If the source address of the network traffic is on a broadcast network it will be rejected.
- If the source address of the network traffic is on a loopback network it will be rejected.

The User data protection function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FDP_IFC.1: The TOE implements an unauthenticated security functional policy (i.e., firewall policy) that applies to all network traffic that would flow through the TOE between connected networks.
- FDP_IFF.1: The TOE provides a flexible set of firewall rules that can be employed to permit or deny network traffic that would flow through the TOE based on source and destination addresses, source and destination ports, transport layer, TOE interface (where networks are defined), and service (e.g., ports and other header information). These rules also serve to address network traffic validity issues ensuring that received traffic is defined on the interface that it arrives and also that broadcast and loopback networks are not valid source networks for passing traffic through the TOE.
- FDP_RIP.2: The TOE always overwrites resources when allocated for use in objects.

6.4 Identification and authentication

The TOE is designed to require users to be identified and authenticated before they can access any of the TOE functions. Note that the normal switching of network traffic is not considered accessing TOE functions in this regard.

In the evaluated configuration, users can connect to the TOE via a local console or remotely using SSHv2, HTTPS, or SNMPv3. In each case, the user is required to log in prior to successfully establishing a session through which TOE functions can be exercised.

The only other form of authentication associated with the TOE is that required to establish IPSEC/IKEv1 VPNs. In all cases, authentication functions occur within cryptographically protected network traffic and as such are inherently protected from potential replay or reuse of the authentication data.

In order to log in, the user must provide an identity and also authentication data (e.g., password or RSA credentials used in conjunction with an SSH session) that matches the provided identity. Users can be defined locally within the TOE with a user identity, password, and privilege level. Once a locally defined user logs in, they can optionally provide RSA credentials (i.e., their public key) that the TOE will store for use with subsequent SSH credential based authentication. Alternately, users can be defined within an external RADIUS or TACACS server configured to be used by the TOE each of which also defined the user's privilege level in the TOE. Locally defined users are

authenticated directly by the TOE, while remotely defined users are authenticated by the external server and the result is enforced by the TOE. In either case, any resulting session is dependent upon successful authentication and established sessions are associated with the privilege level (see section 6.5) assigned to the user.

When logging in the TOE will not echo passwords so that passwords are not inadvertently displayed to the user and any other users that might be able to view the login display.

Note also that should a console user have their session locked (e.g., due to inactivity), they are required to successfully re-authenticate, by reentering their identity and authentication data, in order to regain access to their locked session.

If a user fails to log in an administrator configured number of times in a row, the user (unless the user identity is not defined) is added to a blacklist and is subject to the following configurable results:

- The user is prohibited from logging in until removed from a black list.
- The user can continue to try to log in and is removed from the blacklist if successful or the blacklist entry times out (after one minute).
- The user is prohibited from logging in for a configurable period of time, allowing the user to log in again after that time has lapsed or the user has been removed from the black list (i.e., by an administrator).

In order to ensure that passwords are changed periodically, an administrator can configure a maximum password lifetime for locally defined users. Additionally, an administrator can define a value which identifies the number of times a user can log in with an expired password before the password has to be changed. The password lifetime is checked each time a user logs in and if the configured lifetime is expired, the user is notified that the password has expired. The configured value allowing the use of expired passwords is also checked and if that value has been exceeded the user is required to change their password immediately. Note that the TOE can also be configured with a minimum password update interval to similarly ensure that passwords are not changed too frequently.

When changing passwords, they can be composed of upper and lower case letters, numbers and special characters including blank space and $\sim !@#\%\%\&*()_+-={}[]:::;:<>,./.$ Also, new passwords have to satisfy configured minimum password length and, if configured, the new password cannot match any of the passwords retained within the scope of the configured history.

Administrators have even more control over password composition using configurable complexity checking. First, the number (1 through 4) of categories (upper case letters, lower case letters, numbers, and special characters) can be configured. Next, the minimum number of characters in each of the required categories can also be configured. Finally, a password complexity feature can be enabled which ensures a password cannot contain the username or the reverse of the username and also that no character of the password is repeated three or more times consecutively.

The Identification and authentication function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FIA_AFL.1: The administrator can configure a non-zero threshold for authentication failures that can occur before the TOE takes action to prevent subsequent authentication attempts. The TOE can be configured to disable the user until an administrator takes an explicit action to change that. However, the TOE offers other options (summarized above) for operational environments where that may not be necessary.
- FIA_ATD.1: Locally defined users are assigned identities, passwords, and privilege levels (i.e., roles).
- FIA_PMG_EXT.1: The TOE implements a rich set of password composition and aging constraints as described above.
- FIA_UAU.1: The TOE doesn't offer any services or access to its functions without requiring a user to be identified and authenticated.
- FIA_UAU.4: The TOE prevents reuse of authentication data by virtue of the cryptographic mechanism employed for administrator sessions and IPSec VPNs.
- FIA_UAU.6: The TOE requires re-authentication when changing passwords and unlocking locked sessions.
- FIA_UAU.7: The TOE does not echo passwords as they are entered.

- FIA_UIA_EXT.1: The TOE doesn't offer any services or access to its functions without requiring a user to be identified and authenticated.
- FIA_UID.2: The TOE doesn't offer any services or access to its functions without requiring a user to be identified and authenticated.

6.5 Security management

The TOE supports four privilege levels (i.e., roles): Visit, Monitor, System, and Manage. Manage is the highest privilege level followed closely by the system privilege level and, given limited differences, for the purpose of this Security Target both are considered instances of the 'Security Administrator' as defined in the NDPP. The other two privilege levels represent logical subsets of those security management roles, but do not offer any security relevant configuration management capabilities.

- Visit: Involves commands for network diagnosis and accessing an external device. Configuration of commands at this level cannot survive a device restart. Upon device restart, the commands at this level will be restored to the default settings. Commands at this level include ping, tracert, telnet and ssh2.
- **Monitor:** Involves commands for system maintenance and service fault diagnosis. Commands at this level are not allowed to be saved after being configured. After the switch is restarted, the commands at this level will be restored to the default settings. Commands at this level include debugging, terminal, refresh, reset, and send.
- System: Involves service configuration commands, such as routing configuration commands and commands for configuring services at different network levels. By default, commands at this level include all configuration commands except for those at the manage level.
- Manage: Involves commands that influence the basic operation of the system and commands for configuring system support modules. By default, commands at this level involve the configuration commands of file system, SFTP, STELNET, user management, level setting, and parameter settings within a system (which are not defined by any protocols or RFCs).

The System and Manage roles, and hence the Security Administrator, are the only roles capable of managing the security functions of the TOE. The other roles are limited to non-security relevant functions and review of information.

The TOE offers command-line, web-based graphical user (MSR30 and MSR50 series only), and MIB interfaces each providing a range of security management functions for use by an authorized administrator. Among these functions are those necessary to manage all aspects of the cryptographic functions of the TOE, those necessary to enable or disable the network services offered by the TOE, and the functions necessary to review the TOE versions, update the TOE components, and also to verify the validity of those updates.

The TOE also offers the following functions, limited to the Security Administrator (i.e., System and Manage roles):

- Start-up and shutdown the TOE,
- Manage the firewall rules,
- Manage user account definitions,
- Manage the secure administration mechanisms (SSHv2, HTTPS, SNMPv3) and associated authentication,
- Manage password and logon constraints (e.g., failed logon threshold),
- Restoration of disabled users,
- Manage configuration of peer components (e.g., SYSLOG, RADIUS, and TACACS servers),
- Manage the internal clock,
- Manage the internal audit log,
- Backup and restore the TOE data and configuration,
- Enable/disable secure remote administration, and
- Manage locations (e.g., specify allowed IP addresses) from which external administration can occur.

The TOE imposes a restrictive default behavior in regard to its firewall policy by virtue of the fact that if there are no matching rules, the traffic is dropped. This default behavior can in effective be modified by a Security Administrator by defining one or more firewall rules that would serve to match all network traffic that might be received by the TOE.

The Security management function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FMT_MOF.1: The TOE restricts the access to manage the TOE security functions to Security Administrators (i.e., System and Manage roles).
- FMT_MSA.3: The TOE implements a restrictive default firewall policy by dropping network traffic when there are no matching rules. Only a Security Administrator (i.e., System and Manage roles) can change that by defining rules that are capable of matching and taking specifically configured actions for all network traffic the TOE might receive.
- FMT_MTD.1: The TOE restricts the access to manage TSF data that can affect the security functions of the TOE to Security Administrators (i.e., System and Manage roles).
- FMT_SMF.1: The TOE includes the functions necessary to enable/disable available network services, to manage the cryptomodule and associated functions, and to manage and verify updates of the TOE software and firmware.
- FMT_SMR.1: The TOE includes four defined roles, two of which correspond to the require 'Security Administrator'.

6.6 Protection of the TSF

The TOE is an appliance and as such is designed to work independent of other components to a large extent. Secure communication with third-party peers as addressed in section 6.9, Trusted path/channels and secure communication among multiple instances of the TOE is limited to a direct link between redundant switch appliances deployed in a high-availability configuration. Normally redundant components are co-located and connected via a link that would not be exposed outside of the same physical environment. As such, no additional protection (e.g., encryption) should be necessary in most operational environments. Note that peer routers can be con figured to utilize IPSec connections to cryptographically protect communications between them.

While the administrative interface is function rich, the TOE is designed specifically to provide access only to hashed (and not plain text) passwords and also, while cryptographic keys can be entered, the TOE does not disclose any keys stored in the TOE. Stored passwords are hashed using SHA512.

The TOE utilizes SSHv2, HTTPS, and SNMPv3 for secure communications. Each of these protocols includes builtin capabilities to detect and appropriately handle (e.g., reject) replayed network traffic.

The TOE is a hardware appliance that includes a hardware-based real-time clock. The TOE's embedded OS manages the clock and exposes administrator clock-related functions.

The TOE includes a number of built in diagnostic tests that are run during start-up to determine whether the TOE is operating properly. An administrator can configure the TOE to reboot or to stop, with errors displayed, when an error is encountered. The built-in self tests include basic read-write memory, flash read, software checksum tests, and device detection tests. Furthermore, the TOE is designed to query each pluggable module which in turn includes its own diagnostics that will serve to help identify any failing modules. When operating in CC/FIPS mode, the power-on self-tests comply with the FIPS 140-2 requirements for self testing.

The TOE is designed to support upgrades to the boot ROM program and system boot file as well as to support software hotfixes. The TOE provides interfaces so that an administrator can query the current boot ROM program or system boot file versions as well as to identify any installed patches. Both the boot ROM program and system boot file can be upgraded via the Boot ROM menu or the command line interface, but a reboot is required in each case. Hotfixes, which can affect only the system boot file, can be installed via the command line interface and do not require a reboot to become effective.

The TOE includes a validity checking function that can be enabled when upgrading the boot ROM program, while system boot files and software patches are always validated prior to installation. In each case, the upgrade version will be checked to ensure it is appropriate and the upgrade file will be verified using an embedded (HP authorized) digital signature verified against a configured pair of hard-coded keys embedded in the TOE. If the version is incorrect or the signature cannot be verified, the upgrade will not proceed to protect the integrity of the TOE.

The Protection of the TSF function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FPT_ITT.1(1): The only inter-TOE secure communications involve a dedicated link used for highavailability redundant configurations. The link is dedicated to this purpose and does not extend beyond the physical environment hosting the redundant components and hence serves to ensure data is not subject to disclosure or modification.
- FPT_ITT.1(2): The only inter-TOE secure communications involve a dedicated link used for highavailability redundant configurations. The link is dedicated to this purpose and does not extend beyond the physical environment hosting the redundant components and hence serves to ensure data is not subject to disclosure or modification.
- FPT_PTD.1(1): The TOE does not offer any functions that will disclose to any user a plain text password.
- FPT_PTD.1(2): The TOE does not offer any functions that will disclose to any users a stored cryptographic key.
- FPT_RPL.1: The TOE rejects network traffic replays automatically in the context of SSHv2, HTTPS, and SNMPv3 secure communication channels.
- FPT_STM.1: The TOE includes its own hardware clock.
- FPT_TST_EXT.1: The TOE includes a number of power-on diagnostics that will serve to ensure the TOE is functioning properly. The tests include ensure memory and flash can be accessed as expected, to ensure that software checksums are correct, and also to test the presence and function of plugged devices.
- FPT_TUD_EXT.1: The TOE provides functions to query and upgrade the versions of the boot ROM program and system boot file (including installing hotfixes). Digital signatures are used to ensure the integrity of each upgrade prior to performing the upgrade; this checking is optional for the boot ROM program since special circumstances might require those checks to be disabled (e.g., a corrupted image or checking capability that is blocking a software load).

6.7 Resource utilisation

The TOE is designed so that sessions available via the SSH and HTTPS interfaces, as well as the memory and CPU resources available to those sessions can be limited. Normally, each user is limited to a single administrative session and all sessions have a predefined memory and CPU usage threshold.

When memory usage reaches the defined threshold, the Comware memory manager will notify the offending task to free memory to ensure administrator always can get memory resources.

Similarly, Comware is a non-preemptive system and each task has its own limited and scheduled CPU time slice. This ensures the administrator tasks can always get CPU resources.

The Resource utilisation function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

• FRU_RSA.1: The TOE limits the number of interactive user sessions and administrator can have at any given time and also the memory and CPU resources available to each of those sessions.

6.8 TOE access

The TOE can be configured to display administrator-configured advisory banners that will appear under a variety of circumstances. A session banner can be configured to be displayed when a session is established. A login banner can be configured to display welcome information displayed in conjunction with login prompts. A message of the day

can also be configured to be displayed before authentication is completed. A legal banner can be configured to present legal advisories prior to a user logging in and this banner waits, requiring the user to confirm whether they want to continue with the authentication process.

The TOE can be configured by an administrator to set an interactive session timeout value (any integer value in minutes and also optionally in seconds, with 0 disabling the timeout) – the default timeout is 10 minutes. A remote session that is inactive (i.e., no commands issuing from the remote client) for the defined timeout value will be terminated. A local session that is similarly inactive for the defined timeout period will be locked. Once locked, the TOE will not interact with the console display or accept console inputs except to re-authenticate the user that was locked. The user will be required to re-enter their user id and their password so they can be reauthenticated. If the user id and password match those of the user that was locked, the session is reconnected with the console and normal input/output can again occur for that user.

The TOE access function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FTA_SSL.3: The TOE terminates remote sessions that have been inactive for an administrator-configured period of time.
- FTA_SSL_EXT.1: The TOE locks local sessions that have been inactive for an administrator-configured period of time. Locked sessions are disconnected from the local console input/output functions and can be reconnected only if the locked user correctly reenters their user id and password in order to be reauthenticated.
- FTA_TAB.1: The TOE can be configured to display administrator-defined advisory banners when administrators successfully establish interactive sessions with the TOE.

6.9 Trusted path/channels

The TOE can be configured to export audit records to an external SYSLOG server. In order to protect exported audit records from disclosure or modification, the TOE can be configured to utilize an IPSEC VPN for this purpose. Of course, the SYSLOG server would need to be similarly configured to use the IPSEC VPN in the operational environment.

Note that other remote peers, such as SNMP, RADIUS, and TACACS servers, could also be configured to use IPSEC VPNs if deemed necessary in a given operational environment.

To support secure remote administration, the TOE includes implementations of SSHv2, HTTPS (HTTP over TLSv1)⁵, and SNMPv3. In each case, a remote host (presumably acting on behalf of an administrator) can initiate a secure remote connection for the purpose of security management. Note that only the local console is available by default and each of these remote administration services can be independently enabled by an administrator.

In the case of SNMPv3, the TOE acts as an SNMP server accepting non-interactive Management Information Base (MIB) options from an authenticated source. SNMPv3 requires the client to be authenticated against a locally configured user base and utilizes AES-128 in order to protect this security management channel.

In the cases of SSHv2 and HTTPS, the TOE offers secure command line interface (CLI) or graphical user interface (GUI) interactive administrator sessions as applicable. An administrator with appropriate SSHv2 or HTTPS capable clients can establish secure remote connections with the TOE. However, to successfully establish such an interactive session, the administrator must be able to provide acceptable user credentials (e.g., user id and password), after which they will be able to issue commands within their assigned authorizations.

All of the secure protocols are supported by the cryptographic operations provided by the FIPS certified cryptomodule included in the TOE implementation.

The Trusted path/channels function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

• FTP_ITC.1(1): The TOE can be configured to use a IPSEC VPNs to ensure that exported audit records are not subject to inappropriate disclosure or modification. Note that there are no applicable TOE-to-peer

⁵ The Web interface is implemented only in the MSR30 and MSR50 Series routers.

authentication functions, other than those addressed by the FTP_TRP.1 requirements, but IPSEC VPNs can be configured for essentially any network peers.

- FTP_ITC.1(2): The TOE can be configured to use a IPSEC VPNs to ensure that exported audit records are not subject to inappropriate disclosure or modification. Note that there are no applicable TOE-to-peer authentication functions, other than those addressed by the FTP_TRP.1 requirements, but IPSEC VPNs can be configured for essentially any network peers.
- FTP_TRP.1(1): The TOE provides SSH and HTTPS (MSR30 and MSR50 series only), based on its embedded cryptomodule, to support secure remote administration. Furthermore, the TOE supports SNMPv3, also based on its embedded cryptomodule, for secure remote non-interactive remote administration functions. In each case, the administrator can initiate the remote session, the remote session is secured (disclosure and modification) using FIPS certified cryptographic operations, and all remote security management functions require the use of one of these secure channels.
- FTP_TRP.1(2): The TOE provides SSH and HTTPS (MSR30 and MSR50 series only), based on its embedded cryptomodule, to support secure remote administration. Furthermore, the TOE supports SNMPv3, also based on its embedded cryptomodule, for secure remote non-interactive remote administration functions. In each case, the administrator can initiate the remote session, the remote session is secured (disclosure and modification) using FIPS certified cryptographic operations, and all remote security management functions require the use of one of these secure channels.

7. Protection Profile Claims

This ST is conformant to the U.S. Government Protection Profile for Traffic Filter Firewall In Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.1, July 25, 2007 (TFFWPP).

The TOE includes network router devices, including security blades (modules) that introduce firewall security functions where applicable. As such, the TOE is both a network device and a traffic filter firewall.

As explained in section 3, Security Problem Definition, the Security Problem Definitions of the TFFWPP and NDPP have have been copied verbatim into distinct subsections in this ST. The statements are generally complimentary and are not contradictory.

As explained in section 4, Security Objectives, the Security Objectives of the TFFWPP and NDPP have have been copied verbatim into distinct subsections in this ST. Again, the statements are generally complimentary and are not contradictory.

The following table identifies all the Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) in this ST. Each SFR is drawn from the NDPP, TFFWPP, or both. The SFRs from both PPs have been copied verbatim from those PPs, except as follows.

There are only 5 requirements that intersect and they have been combined appropriately.

- FAU_GEN.1 The auditable events from both PPS have been combined to form a superset of auditable events satisfying both PPs.
- FCS_COP.1(1) FCS_COP.1(1) from the NDPP maps directly to FCS_COP.1 from the TFFWPP, both serving to define requirements for AES encryption and the single instance in this ST satisfies both PPs.
- FDP_RIP.2 While the TFFWPP requires only FDP_RIP.1, the NDPP requires FDP_RIP.2 which is hierarchical to and hence satisfies both PPs.
- FMT_SMR.1 The TFFWPP requires a single 'authorized administrator' role while the NDPP requires a single 'security administrator' role. This ST is using 'security administrator' to represent both and hence satisfies both PPs.
- FPT_STM.1 This SFR is identical in both PPs and hence both PPs are satisfied by a single instance in this ST.

Note that this ST does not conform to the NDPP since it does not always use cryptographic mechanisms to protect communication between its distributed parts (e.g., in a high-availability configuration) and it does not include the explicit assurance activities defined in the NDPP.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component	PP Source - Notes
FAU: Security	FAU_GEN.1: Audit Data Generation	Both - Combined
audit	FAU_GEN.2: User identity association	NDPP
	FAU_SAR.1: Audit review	TFFWPP
	FAU_SAR.3: Selectable audit review	TFFWPP
	FAU_STG.1: Protected audit trail storage	TFFWPP
	FAU_STG.4: Prevention of audit data loss	TFFWPP
	FAU_STG_EXT.1: External Audit Trail Storage	NDPP
	FAU_STG_EXT.3: Action in case of Loss of Audit Server Connectivity	NDPP
FCS:	FCS_CKM.1: Cryptographic Key Generation (for asymmetric keys)	NDPP
Cryptographic	FCS_CKM_EXT.4: Cryptographic Key Zeroization	NDPP
support	FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1: Communications Protection	NDPP
	FCS_COP.1(1): Cryptographic Operation (for data	Both – FCS_COP.1
	encryption/decryption)	in the TFFWPP
		maps directly to

Requirement Class	Requirement Component	PP Source - Notes
		FCS_COP.1(1) in the NDPP
	FCS_COP 1(2): Cryptographic Operation (for cryptographic signature)	NDPP
	FCS_COP 1(3): Cryptographic Operation (for cryptographic high during)	NDPP
	FCS_COP_1(4): Cryptographic Operation (for keyed-hash message	NDPP
	authentication)	
	FCS HTTPS EXT.1: Explicit: HTTPS	NDPP
	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 Explicit: IPSEC	NDPP
	FCS_RBG_EXT.1: Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit	NDPP
	Generation)	
	FCS_SSH_EXT.1: Explicit: SSH	NDPP
	FCS_TLS_EXT.1: Explicit: TLS	NDPP
FDP: User data	FDP_IFC.1: Subset information flow control	TFFWPP
protection	FDP_IFF.1: Simple security attributes	TFFWPP
	FDP_RIP.2: Full Residual Information Protection	Both – FDP_RIP.1
		in the TFFWPP has
		been augmented to
		FDP_RIP.2 in the
FIA.	FIA AFI 1: Authentication failure handling	TFFWPP
Identification	FIA ATD 1: User attribute definition	TFFWPP
and	FIA PMG EXT 1: Password Management	NDPP
authentication	FIA UAU.1: Timing of authentication	TFFWPP
	FIA UAU.4: Single-use authentication mechanisms	TFFWPP
	FIA_UAU.6: Re-authenticating	NDPP
	FIA_UAU.7: Protected Authentication Feedback	NDPP
	FIA_UIA_EXT.1: User Identification and Authentication	NDPP
	FIA_UID.2: User identification before any action	TFFWPP
FMT: Security	FMT_MOF.1: Management of security functions behavior	TFFWPP
management	FMT_MSA.3: Static attribute initialization	TFFWPP
	FMT_MTD.1: Management of TSF Data (for general TSF data)	NDPP
	FMT_SMF.1: Specification of Management Functions	NDPP
	FMT_SMR.1: Security Roles	Both –
		TEEWDD and
		NDPP role
		terminology
FPT: Protection	FPT ITT.1(1): Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection (Disclosure)	CC – see above
of the TSF	FPT_ITT.1(2): Basic Internal TSF Data Transfer Protection	CC – see above
	(Modification)	
	FPT_PTD.1(1): Management of TSF Data (for reading of authentication	NDPP
	data)	
	FPT_PTD.1(2): Management of TSF Data (for reading of all symmetric	NDPP
	keys)	NIDDD
	FP1_KPL.1: Replay Detection	NDPP Deth
	Fr1_51W1.1: Kellable 11me Stamps	
	FFI_ISI_EALL ISF Testing FDT_TUD_EXT_1: Extended: Trusted Undete	NDPP
FRU: Resource	FRU RSA 1: Maximum Quotas	NDPP
utilisation		
FTA: TOE	FTA_SSL.3: TSF-initiated Termination	NDPP
access	FTA_SSL_EXT.1: TSF-initiated Session Locking	NDPP
		•

Requirement Class	Requirement Component	PP Source - Notes
	FTA_TAB.1: Default TOE Access Banners	NDPP
FTP: Trusted	FTP_ITC.1(1): Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Prevention of Disclosure)	NDPP
path/channels	FTP_ITC.1(2): Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Detection of Modification)	NDPP
	FTP_TRP.1(1): Trusted Path	NDPP
	FTP_TRP.1(2): Trusted Path	NDPP

Table 5 SFR Protection Profile Sources and Notes

8. Rationale

This section provides the rationale for completeness and consistency of the Security Target. The rationale addresses the following areas:

- Security Objectives;
- Security Functional Requirements;
- Security Assurance Requirements;
- Requirement Dependencies;
- TOE Summary Specification.

8.1 Security Objectives Rationale

This section shows that all secure usage assumptions, organizational security policies, and threats are completely covered by security objectives. In addition, each objective counters or addresses at least one assumption, organizational security policy, or threat.

Note that the rationale for the objectives from the NDPP and TFFWPP have been presented separately since the Security Problem Definitions and Security Objectives have also been presented separately earlier in this ST.

8.1.1 NDPP Security Objectives Rationale for the TOE and Environment

This section provides evidence demonstrating the coverage of organizational policies and usage assumptions by the security objectives. Note that the NDPP does not explicitly or clearly correspond or rationale correspondence between its Security Problem Definition and Security Objectives, so the mapping had to be inferred and correspondence rationale has been devised to complete this ST appropriately.

	P.ACCESS_BANNER	T.ADMIN_ERROR	T.RESOURCE_EXHAUSTION	T.TSF_FAILURE	T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS	T.UNAUTHORIZED_UPDATE	T.UNDETECTED_ACTIONS	T.USER_DATA_REUSE	A.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE	A.PHYSICAL	A.TRUSTED_ADMIN
O.DISPLAY_BANNER	X										
O.PROTECTED_COMMUNICATIONS					Х						
O.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION_CLEARING								Х			
O.RESOURCE_AVAILABILITY			Х								
O.SESSION_LOCK					Х						
O.SYSTEM_MONITORING		Х			Х		Х				
O.TOE_ADMINISTRATION					Х						
O.TSF_SELF_TEST				Х							
O.VERIFIABLE_UPDATES						Х					
OE.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE									Х		
OE.PHYSICAL										Х	
OE.TRUSTED_ADMIN											Χ

 Table 6 NDPP Environment to Objective Correspondence

8.1.1.1 P.ACCESS_BANNER

The TOE shall display an initial banner describing restrictions of use, legal agreements, or any other appropriate information to which users consent by accessing the TOE.

This Organizational Policy is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.DISPLAY_BANNER: To fulfill the policy to display advisory information to users prior to their use of the TOE, the TOE is expected to display a configured banner when users login to establish an interactive session.

8.1.1.2 T.ADMIN_ERROR

An administrator may unintentionally install or configure the TOE incorrectly, resulting in ineffective security mechanisms.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.SYSTEM_MONITORING: To reduce the potential of an administrative error that might be unnoticed or untraceable, the TOE is expected to log security relevant events and export those logs to an external log server.

8.1.1.3 T.RESOURCE_EXHAUSTION

A process or user may deny access to TOE services by exhausting critical resources on the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.RESOURCE_AVAILABILITY: To reduce the potential that critical resources might be unavailable, the TOE is expected to implement mechanisms that serve to mitigate the potential for exhaustion of critical resources.

8.1.1.4 T.TSF_FAILURE

Security mechanisms of the TOE may fail, leading to a compromise of the TSF.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.TSF_SELF_TEST: To reduce the potential for undetected TOE failures and to help ensure that the TOE security functions are operating properly, the TOE is expected to perform self-tests.

8.1.1.5 T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS

A user may gain unauthorized access to the TOE data and TOE executable code. A malicious user, process, or external IT entity may masquerade as an authorized entity in order to gain unauthorized access to data or TOE resources. A malicious user, process, or external IT entity may misrepresent itself as the TOE to obtain identification and authentication data.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- O.PROTECTED_COMMUNICATIONS: To reduce the potential that an attacker might gain unauthorized access to the TOE or its data via data transmitted across a network, the TOE is expected to protect its communication channels.
- O.SESSION_LOCK: To reduce the potential for unauthorized access to TOE security functions and data, the TOE is expected to lock or terminate unattended or inactive sessions.
- O.SYSTEM_MONITORING: To reduce the potential of unauthorized access attempts that might go unnoticed, the TOE is expected to log security relevant events and export those logs to an external log server.
- O.TOE_ADMINISTRATION: To reduce the potential of unauthorized access to TOE security functions and data, the TOE is expected to be designed to ensure that only presumably authorized administrators can log in and access security management functions.

8.1.1.6 T.UNAUTHORIZED_UPDATE

A malicious party attempts to supply the end user with an update to the product that may compromise the security features of the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.VERIFIABLE_UPDATES: To reduce the potential that an update might contain malicious or unintended features, the TOE is expected to provide mechanisms that serve to ensure the integrity of updates prior to their use.

8.1.1.7 T.UNDETECTED_ACTIONS

Malicious remote users or external IT entities may take actions that adversely affect the security of the TOE. These actions may remain undetected and thus their effects cannot be effectively mitigated.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.SYSTEM_MONITORING: To reduce the potential of security relevant actions occurring without notice, the TOE is expected to log security relevant events and export those logs to an external log server.

8.1.1.8 T.USER_DATA_REUSE

User data may be inadvertently sent to a destination not intended by the original sender.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION_CLEARING: To reduce the potential of data being erroneously sent to an unintended recipient, the TOE is expected to ensure that residual data is appropriately managed.

8.1.1.9 A.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE

It is assumed that there are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., compilers or user applications) available on the TOE, other than those services necessary for the operation, administration and support of the TOE.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

 OE.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE: There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., compilers or user applications) available on the TOE, other than those services necessary for the operation, administration and support of the TOE.

8.1.1.10 A.PHYSICAL

Physical security, commensurate with the value of the TOE and the data it contains, is assumed to be provided by the environment.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.PHYSICAL: Physical security, commensurate with the value of the TOE and the data it contains, is provided by the environment.

8.1.1.11 A.TRUSTED_ADMIN

TOE Administrators are trusted to follow and apply all administrator guidance in a trusted manner.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.TRUSTED_ADMIN: TOE Administrators are trusted to follow and apply all administrator guidance in a trusted manner.

8.1.2 TFFWPP Security Objectives Rationale for the TOE and Environment

This section provides evidence demonstrating the coverage of organizational policies and usage assumptions by the security objectives. Since the Security Problem Definition and Security Objectives have been adopted verbatim from the TFFWPP, the correspondence and rationale below have likewise been adopted from the TFFWPP.

	T.ASPOOF	T.AUDACC	T.AUDFUL	T.MEDIAT	T.NOAUTH	T.OLDINF	T.PROCOM	T.REPEAT	T.REPLAY	T.SELPRO	T.TUSAGE	A.DIRECT	A.GENPUR	A.LOWEXP	A.NOEVIL	A.NOREMO	A.PHYSEC	A.PUBLIC	A.REMACC	A.SINGEN
O.ACCOUN		Х																		
O.AUDREC		Х																		
O.ENCRYP					Х		Х													
O.IDAUTH					Х															
O.LIMEXT					Х															
O.MEDIAT	Х			Х		Χ														
O.SECFUN			Х		Х				Х											
O.SECSTA					Х					Х										
O.SELPRO			Х							Х										
O.SINUSE								Х	Х											
OE.ADMTRA											Х									
OE.DIRECT												Х								
OE.GENPUR													Х							
OE.GUIDAN											Х									
OE.LOWEXP														Х						
OE.NOEVIL															Х					
OE.NOREMO																Х				
OE.PHYSEC																	Х			
OE.PUBLIC																		Х		
OE.REMACC																			Х	
OE.SINGEN																				Х

Table 7 TFFWPP Environment to Objective Correspondence

8.1.2.1 T.ASPOOF

An unauthorized person may carry out spoofing in which information flow through the TOE into a connected network by using a spoofed source address.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.MEDIAT: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.ASPOOF, T.MEDIAT and T.OLDINF which have to do with getting impermissible information to flow through the TOE. This security objective requires that all information that passes through the networks is mediated by the TOE and that no residual information is transmitted.

8.1.2.2 T.AUDACC

Persons may not be accountable for the actions that they conduct because the audit records are not reviewed, thus allowing an attacker to escape detection.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.ACCOUN: This security objective is necessary to counter the threat: T.AUDACC because it requires that users are accountable for information flows through the TOE and that authorized administrators are accountable for the use of security functions related to audit.

• O.AUDREC: This security objective is necessary to counter the threat: T.AUDACC by requiring a readable audit trail and a means to search and sort the information contained in the audit trail.

8.1.2.3 T.AUDFUL

An unauthorized person may cause audit records to be lost or prevent future records from being recorded by taking actions to exhaust audit storage capacity, thus masking an attackers actions.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- O.SECFUN: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.NOAUTH, T.REPLAY and T.AUDFUL by requiring that the TOE provide functionality that ensures that only the authorized administrator has access to the TOE security functions.
- O.SELPRO: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.SELPRO and T.AUDFUL because it requires that the TOE protect itself from attempts to bypass, deactivate, or tamper with TOE security functions.

8.1.2.4 T.MEDIAT

An unauthorized person may send impermissible information through the TOE which results in the exploitation of resources on the internal network.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.MEDIAT: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.ASPOOF, T.MEDIAT and T.OLDINF which have to do with getting impermissible information to flow through the TOE. This security objective requires that all information that passes through the networks is mediated by the TOE and that no residual information is transmitted.

8.1.2.5 T.NOAUTH

An unauthorized person may attempt to bypass the security of the TOE so as to access and use security functions and/or non-security functions provided by the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- O.ENCRYP: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.NOAUTH and T.PROCOM by requiring that an authorized administrator use encryption when performing administrative functions on the TOE remotely.
- O.IDAUTH: This security objective is necessary to counter the threat: T.NOAUTH because it requires that users be uniquely identified before accessing the TOE.
- O.LIMEXT: This security objective is necessary to counter the threat: T.NOAUTH because it requires that the TOE provide the means for an authorized administrator to control and limit access to TOE security functions.
- O.SECFUN: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.NOAUTH, T.REPLAY and T.AUDFUL by requiring that the TOE provide functionality that ensures that only the authorized administrator has access to the TOE security functions.
- O.SECSTA: This security objective ensures that no information is comprised by the TOE upon start-up or recovery and thus counters the threats: T.NOAUTH and T.SELPRO.

8.1.2.6 T.OLDINF

Because of a flaw in the TOE functioning, an unauthorized person may gather residual information from a previous information flow or internal TOE data by monitoring the padding of the information flows from the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.MEDIAT: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.ASPOOF, T.MEDIAT and T.OLDINF which have to do with getting impermissible information to flow through the TOE. This security objective requires that all information that passes through the networks is mediated by the TOE and that no residual information is transmitted.

8.1.2.7 T.PROCOM

An unauthorized person or unauthorized external IT entity may be able to view, modify, and/or delete security related information that is sent between a remotely located authorized administrator and the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.ENCRYP: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.NOAUTH and T.PROCOM by requiring that an authorized administrator use encryption when performing administrative functions on the TOE remotely.

8.1.2.8 T.REPEAT

An unauthorized person may repeatedly try to guess authentication data in order to use this information to launch attacks on the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

• O.SINUSE: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.REPEAT and T.REPLAY because it requires that the TOE prevent the reuse of authentication data so that even if valid authentication data is obtained, it will not be used to mount an attack.

8.1.2.9 T.REPLAY

An unauthorized person may use valid identification and authentication data obtained to access functions provided by the TOE.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- O.SECFUN: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.NOAUTH, T.REPLAY and T.AUDFUL by requiring that the TOE provide functionality that ensures that only the authorized administrator has access to the TOE security functions.
- O.SINUSE: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.REPEAT and T.REPLAY because it requires that the TOE prevent the reuse of authentication data so that even if valid authentication data is obtained, it will not be used to mount an attack.

8.1.2.10 T.SELPRO

An unauthorized person may read, modify, or destroy security critical TOE configuration data.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- O.SECSTA: This security objective ensures that no information is comprised by the TOE upon start-up or recovery and thus counters the threats: T.NOAUTH and T.SELPRO.
- O.SELPRO: This security objective is necessary to counter the threats: T.SELPRO and T.AUDFUL because it requires that the TOE protect itself from attempts to bypass, deactivate, or tamper with TOE security functions.

8.1.2.11 T.TUSAGE

The TOE may be inadvertently configured, used and administered in a insecure manner by either authorized or unauthorized persons.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ADMTRA: This non-IT security objective is necessary to counter the threat: T.TUSAGE because it ensures that authorized administrators receive the proper training.
- OE.GUIDAN: This non-IT security objective is necessary to counter the threat: T.TUSAGE because it requires that those responsible for the TOE ensure that it is delivered, installed, administered, and operated in a secure manner.

8.1.2.12 A.DIRECT

Human users within the physically secure boundary protecting the TOE may attempt to access the TOE from some direct connection (e.g., a console port) if the connection is part of the TOE.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.DIRECT: Human users within the physically secure boundary protecting the TOE may attempt to access the TOE from some direct connection (e.g., a console port) if the connection is part of the TOE.

8.1.2.13 A.GENPUR

There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., the ability to execute arbitrary code or applications) and storage repository capabilities on the TOE.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.GENPUR: There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., the ability to execute arbitrary code or applications) and storage repository capabilities on the TOE.

8.1.2.14 A.LOWEXP

The threat of malicious attacks aimed at discovering exploitable vulnerabilities is considered low.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.LOWEXP: The threat of malicious attacks aimed at discovering exploitable vulnerabilities is considered low.

8.1.2.15 A.NOEVIL

Authorized administrators are non-hostile and follow all administrator guidance; however, they are capable of error.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.NOEVIL: Authorized administrators are non-hostile and follow all administrator guidance; however, they are capable of error.

8.1.2.16 A.NOREMO

Human users who are not authorized administrators can not access the TOE remotely from the internal or external networks.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.NOREMO: Human users who are not authorized administrators can not access the TOE remotely from the internal or external networks.

8.1.2.17 A.PHYSEC

The TOE is physically secure.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.PHYSEC: The TOE is physically secure.

8.1.2.18 A.PUBLIC

The TOE does not host public data.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.PUBLIC: The TOE does not host public data.

8.1.2.19 A.REMACC

Authorized administrators may access the TOE remotely from the internal and external networks.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.REMACC: Authorized administrators may access the TOE remotely from the internal and external networks.

8.1.2.20 A.SINGEN

Information can not flow among the internal and external networks unless it passes through the TOE.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

• OE.SINGEN: Information can not flow among the internal and external networks unless it passes through the TOE.

8.2 Security Requirements Rationale

This section provides evidence supporting the internal consistency and completeness of the components (requirements) in the Security Target. Note that the subsequent tables in this section identify the requirements that effectively satisfy the individual objectives.

Note that the rationale for the requirements from the NDPP and TFFWPP have been presented separately since the Security Objectives have also been presented separately earlier in this ST. The requirements in each case have been adjusted based on the final SFRS in this ST.

8.2.1 NDPP Security Functional Requirements Rationale

All Security Functional Requirements (SFR) identified in this Security Target are fully addressed in this section and each SFR is mapped to the objective for which it is intended to satisfy. Note that the NDPP identifies the correspondence between Security Objectives and SFRs, but fails to provide any rationale for the correspondence. As such, correspondence rationale has been devised to complete this ST appropriately.

	O.DISPLAY_BANNER	D.PROTECTED_COMMUNICATIONS	D.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION_CLEARING	D.RESOURCE_AVAILABIL/ITY	D.SESSION_LOCK	D.SYSTEM_MONITORING	D.T.OE_ADMINISTRATION	D.TSF_SELF_TEST	D.VERIFIABLE_UPDATES
FAU_GEN.1						X			
FAU_GEN.2						Х			
FAU_STG_EXT.1						Х			
FAU_STG_EXT.3		Х				Х			
FCS_CKM.1		Х							
FCS_CKM_EXT.4		Х							
FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1		Х							

	0.DISPLAY_BANNER	O.PROTECTED_COMMUNICATIONS	O.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION_CLEARING	O.RESOURCE_AVAILABIL/TY	O.SESSION_LOCK	O.SYSTEM_MONITORING	O.TOE_ADMINISTRATION	O.TSF_SELF_TEST	O.VERIFIABLE_UPDATES
FCS_COP.1(1)		X							
FCS_COP.1(2)		Х							Х
FCS_COP.1(3)		Х							Х
FCS_COP.1(4)		Х							
FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1		X							
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_RBG_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_SSH_EXT.1		X							
FCS_TLS_EXT.1		X							
FDP_RIP.2			X				**		
FIA_PMG_EXT.1							X		
FIA_UAU.6							X		
FIA_UAU.7							X		
FIA_UIA_EXT.1							X		
FMT_MTD.1							X		
FMT_SMF.1							X		
FMT_SMR.1		v					Х		
FP1_111.1(1)		Λ V							
FF1_111.1(2)		Λ					v		
FFT_FTD.1(1) FPT_PTD_1(2)		v					Λ		
FPT RPI 1		Λ X							
FPT_STM 1		Λ				x			
FPT TST EXT.1						Δ		x	
FPT TUD EXT.1									X
FRU RSA.1				X					
FTA_SSL.3					Х		Х		
FTA_SSL_EXT.1					Х		Х		
FTA_TAB.1	Х								
FTP_ITC.1(1)		Х							
FTP_ITC.1(2)		Х							
FTP_TRP.1(1)		Х							
FTP_TRP.1(2)		Χ							

Table 8 NDPP Objective to Requirement Correspondence

8.2.1.1 O.DISPLAY_BANNER

The TOE will display an advisory warning regarding use of the TOE.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

• FTA_TAB.1: The TOE is required to display the configured advisory banner whenever a user/administrator connects to the TOE.

8.2.1.2 O.PROTECTED_COMMUNICATIONS

The TOE will provide protected communication channels for administrators, other parts of a distributed TOE, and authorized IT entities.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_STG_EXT.3: The TOE is required to be able to detect when its audit server is not available and take an appropriate action.
- FCS_CKM.1: The TOE is required to be able to generate encryption keys to support other cryptographic operations.
- FCS_CKM_EXT.4: The TOE is required to zeroize keys when no longer need to prevent subsequent disclosure.
- FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement SSH or IPSEC and optionally TLS to protect its network communication channels.
- FCS_COP.1(1): The TOE is required to implement FIPS-conformant AES in support of cryptographic protocols.
- FCS_COP.1(2): The TOE is required to implement FIPS-conformant DSA, rDSA, and/or ECDSA in support of cryptographic protocols.
- FCS_COP.1(3): The TOE is required to implement FIPS-conformant SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384, and/or SHA-512 in support of cryptographic protocols.
- FCS_COP.1(4): The TOE is required to implement FIPS-conformant HMAC SHA-1, SHA-256, SHA-384, and/or SHA-512 in support of cryptographic protocols.
- FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement HTTPS properly to protect applicable network communication channels.
- FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement IPSEC properly to protect applicable network communication channels.
- FCS_RBG_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement NIST- or FIPS-conformant Random Bit Generation in support of cryptographic protocols.
- FCS_SSH_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement SSH properly to protect applicable network communication channels.
- FCS_TLS_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement TLS properly to protect applicable network communication channels.
- FPT_ITT.1(1): The TOE is required to protect communication between its distributed parts from disclosure and modification.
- FPT_ITT.1(2): The TOE is required to protect communication between its distributed parts from disclosure and modification.
- FPT_PTD.1(2): The TOE is required to prevent even administrators from readily accessing sensitive user and TSF data such as cryptographic keys.
- FPT_RPL.1: The TOE is required to prevent the replay of data to ensure that data cannot be collected and reused at some later time to benefit an attacker.
- FTP_ITC.1(1): The TOE is required to protect communication between itself and its external peers from disclosure and modification.
- FTP_ITC.1(2): The TOE is required to protect communication between itself and its external peers from disclosure and modification.
- FTP_TRP.1(1): The TOE is required to protect communication between itself and its administrators from disclosure and modification.
- FTP_TRP.1(2): The TOE is required to protect communication between itself and its administrators from disclosure and modification.

8.2.1.3 O.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION_CLEARING

The TOE will ensure that any data contained in a protected resource is not available when the resource is reallocated.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

• FDP_RIP.2: The TOE is required to clear all information when allocating storage resources for subsequent activities.

8.2.1.4 O.RESOURCE_AVAILABILITY

The TOE shall provide mechanisms that mitigate user attempts to exhaust TOE resources (e.g., persistent storage).

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

• FRU_RSA.1: The TOE is required to enforce resource quotas for defined resources to reduce the potential for critical resource exhaustion.

8.2.1.5 O.SESSION_LOCK

The TOE shall provide mechanisms that mitigate the risk of unattended sessions being hijacked.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FTA_SSL.3: The TOE is required to terminate remote sessions after an administrator defined period of inactivity indicating the user may not be in attendance.
- FTA_SSL_EXT.1: The TOE is required to lock or terminate local sessions after an administrator defined period of inactivity indicating the user may not be in attendance.

8.2.1.6 O.SYSTEM_MONITORING

The TOE will provide the capability to generate audit data and send those data to an external IT entity.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_GEN.1: The TOE is required to be able to generate audit events for security relevant activities on the TOE.
- FAU_GEN.2: The TOE is required to associate audit events to users to ensure proper accountability.
- FAU_STG_EXT.1: The TOE is required to be able to export audit records to an external audit server via a secure channel to protect the integrity and security of those records.
- FAU_STG_EXT.3: The TOE is required to detect when the external audit server is not available and take an appropriate action.
- FPT_STM.1: The TOE is required to generate reliable time stamps to be used in its audit records for proper accounting.

8.2.1.7 O.TOE_ADMINISTRATION

The TOE will provide mechanisms to ensure that only administrators are able to log in and configure the TOE, and provide protections for logged-in administrators.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FIA_PMG_EXT.1: The TOE is required to implement mechanisms allowing an administrator to constrain the construction of passwords to encourage more secure (or harder to guess) passwords.
- FIA_UAU.6: The TOE is required to ensure that users must be re-authenticated in order to change their password to further ensure the user changing the password is authentic.
- FIA_UAU.7: The TOE is required to not echo passwords when being entered to mitigate the chance of an accidental password disclosure.
- FIA_UIA_EXT.1: The TOE is required to ensure that users must be identified and authenticated in order to access functions, other than those specifically intended to be accessed without identification and authentication.

- FMT_MTD.1: The TOE is required to restrict access to security relevant data to administrators.
- FMT_SMF.1: The TOE is required to provide a minimum set of security functions to ensure the TOE security features can be properly managed.
- FMT_SMR.1: The TOE is required to implement a minimum of a Security Administrator role and can implement additional roles where necessary.
- FPT_PTD.1(1): The TOE is required to prevent even administrators from readily accessing sensitive user and TSF data such as passwords.
- FTA_SSL.3: The TOE is required to terminate remote sessions after an administrator defined period of inactivity indicating the administrator may not be in attendance.
- FTA_SSL_EXT.1: The TOE is required to lock or terminate local sessions after an administrator defined period of inactivity indicating the administrator may not be in attendance.

8.2.1.8 O.TSF_SELF_TEST

The TOE will provide the capability to test some subset of its security functionality to ensure it is operating properly.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

• FPT_TST_EXT.1: The TOE is required to exercise self-tests during start-up to periodically ensure that the TOE security functions appear to be operating correctly.

8.2.1.9 O.VERIFIABLE_UPDATES

The TOE will provide the capability to help ensure that any updates to the TOE can be verified by the administrator to be unaltered and (optionally) from a trusted source.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FCS_COP.1(2): The TOE is required to either use digital signatures or cryptographic hashes to ensure the integrity of updates.
- FCS_COP.1(3): The TOE is required to either use digital signatures or cryptographic hashes to ensure the integrity of updates.
- FPT_TUD_EXT.1: The TOE is required to provide update functions and also the means for an administrator to initiate and verify updates before they are applied.

8.2.2 TFFWPP Security Functional Requirements Rationale

All Security Functional Requirements (SFR) identified in this Security Target are fully addressed in this section and each SFR is mapped to the objective for which it is intended to satisfy. Since the Security Objectives have been adopted verbatim and the SFRs with only minor changes from the TFFWPP, the correspondence and rationale below have been adopted from the TFFWPP.

	0.ACCOUN	0.AUDREC	0.ENCRYP	0.IDAUTH	0.LIMEXT	0.MEDIAT	0.SECFUN	0.SECSTA	O.SELPRO	O.SINUSE
FAU_GEN.1	Х	Х								
FAU_SAR.1		Х								
FAU_SAR.3		Х								
FAU_STG.1							Х		Х	
FAU_STG.4							Х		Х	
FCS_COP.1 (1)			Х							
FDP_IFC.1						Х				
FDP_IFF.1						Х				

	0.ACCOUN	0.AUDREC	O.ENCRYP	O.IDAUTH	O.LIMEXT	O.MEDIAT	O.SECFUN	O.SECSTA	O.SELPRO	O.SINUSE
FDP_RIP.1						Х				
FIA_AFL.1									Х	
FIA_ATD.1				Х						Х
FIA_UAU.1				Х						Х
FIA_UAU.4										Х
FIA_UID.2	Х			Х						
FMT_MOF.1					Х		Х	Х		
FMT_MSA.3						Х	Х	Х		
FMT_SMR.1							Х			
FPT_STM.1		Х								
ADV_ARC.1									Х	

Table 9 TFFWPP Objective to Requirement Correspondence

8.2.2.1 O.ACCOUN

The TOE must provide user accountability for information flows through the TOE and for authorized administrator use of security functions related to audit.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_GEN.1: This component outlines what data must be included in audit records and what events must be audited. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.AUDREC and O.ACCOUN.
- FIA_UID.2: This component ensures that before anything occurs on behalf of a user, the user's identity is identified to the TOE. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.IDAUTH and O.ACCOUN.

8.2.2.2 **O.AUDREC**

The TOE must provide a means to record a readable audit trail of security-related events, with accurate dates and times, and a means to search and sort the audit trail based on relevant attributes.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_GEN.1: This component outlines what data must be included in audit records and what events must be audited. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.AUDREC and O.ACCOUN.
- FAU_SAR.1: This component ensures that the audit trail is understandable. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.AUDREC.
- FAU_SAR.3: This component ensures that a variety of searches and sorts can be performed on the audit trail. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.AUDREC.
- FPT_STM.1: FAU_GEN.1 depends on this component. It ensures that the date and time on the TOE is dependable. This is important for the audit trail. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.AUDREC.

8.2.2.3 O.ENCRYP

The TOE must protect the confidentiality of its dialogue with an authorized administrator through encryption, if the TOE allows administration to occur remotely from a connected network.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

• FCS_COP.1(1): This component ensures that if the TOE does support authorized administrators to communicate with the TOE remotely from an internal or external network that AES is used to encrypt such traffic. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.ENCRYP.

8.2.2.4 O.IDAUTH

The TOE must uniquely identify and authenticate the claimed identity of all users, before granting a user access to TOE functions.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FIA_ATD.1: This component exists to provide users with attributes to distinguish one user from another, for accountability purposes and to associate the role chosen in FMT_SMR.1 with a user. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.IDAUTH and O.SINUSE.
- FIA_UAU.1: This component ensures that users are authenticated at the TOE. The TOE is permitted to pass information before users are authenticated. Authentication must occur whether the user is a human user or not and whether or not the user is an authorized administrator. If the authorized administrator was not always required to authenticate, there would be no means by which to audit any of their actions. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.IDAUTH and O.SINUSE.
- FIA_UID.2: This component ensures that before anything occurs on behalf of a user, the user's identity is identified to the TOE. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.IDAUTH and O.ACCOUN.

8.2.2.5 O.LIMEXT

The TOE must provide the means for an authorized administrator to control and limit access to TOE security functions by an authorized external IT entity.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

• FMT_MOF.1: This component was chosen and modified to some extent via permitted CC operations in an attempt to consolidate all TOE management/administration/security functions. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SECFUN, O.LIMEXT, and O.SECSTA.

8.2.2.6 **O.MEDIAT**

The TOE must mediate the flow of all information from users on a connected network to users on another connected network, and must ensure that residual information from a previous information flow is not transmitted in any way.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FDP_IFC.1: This component identifies the entities involved in the UNAUTHENTICATED information flow control SFP (i.e., users sending information to other users and vice versa). This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.MEDIAT.
- FDP_IFF.1: This component identifies the attributes of the users sending and receiving the information in the UNAUTHENTICAED SFP, as well as the attributes for the information itself. Then the policy is defined by saying under what conditions information is permitted to flow. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.MEDIAT.
- FDP_RIP.2: This component ensures that neither information that had flowed through the TOE nor any TOE internal data are used when padding is used by the TOE for information flows. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.MEDIAT.
- FMT_MSA.3: This component ensures that there is a default deny policy for the information flow control security rules. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.MEDIAT, O.SECSTA, and O.SECFUN.

8.2.2.7 O.SECFUN

The TOE must provide functionality that enables an authorized administrator to use the TOE security functions, and must ensure that only authorized administrators are able to access such functionality.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_STG.1: This component is chosen to ensure that the audit trail is protected from tampering. Only the authorized administrator is permitted to do anything to the audit trail. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SELPRO and O.SECFUN.
- FAU_STG.4: This component ensures that the authorized administrator will be able to take care of the audit trail if it should become full. But this component also ensures that no other auditable events as defined in FAU_GEN.1 occur. Thus the authorized administrator is permitted to perform potentially auditable actions though these events will not be recorded until the audit trail is restored to a non-full status. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SELPRO and O.SECFUN.
- FMT_MOF.1: This component was chosen and modified to some extent via permitted CC operations in an attempt to consolidate all TOE management/administration/security functions. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SECFUN, O.LIMEXT, and O.SECSTA.
- FMT_MSA.3: This component ensures that there is a default deny policy for the information flow control security rules. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.MEDIAT, O.SECSTA, and O.SECFUN.
- FMT_SMR.1: Each of the CC class FMT components in this Protection Profile depend on this component. It requires the PP/ST writer to choose a role(s). This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.SECFUN.

8.2.2.8 O.SECSTA

Upon initial start-up of the TOE or recovery from an interruption in TOE service, the TOE must not compromise its resources or those of any connected network.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FMT_MOF.1: This component was chosen and modified to some extent via permitted CC operations in an attempt to consolidate all TOE management/administration/security functions. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SECFUN, O.LIMEXT, and O.SECSTA.
- FMT_MSA.3: This component ensures that there is a default deny policy for the information flow control security rules. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.MEDIAT, O.SECSTA, and O.SECFUN.

8.2.2.9 O.SELPRO

The TOE must protect itself against attempts by unauthorized users to bypass, deactivate, or tamper with TOE security functions.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_STG.1: This component is chosen to ensure that the audit trail is protected from tampering. Only the authorized administrator is permitted to do anything to the audit trail. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SELPRO and O.SECFUN.
- FAU_STG.4: This component ensures that the authorized administrator will be able to take care of the audit trail if it should become full. But this component also ensures that no other auditable events as defined in FAU_GEN.1 occur. Thus the authorized administrator is permitted to perform potentially auditable actions though these events will not be recorded until the audit trail is restored to a non-full status. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.SELPRO and O.SECFUN.
- FIA_AFL.1: This component ensures that human users who are not authorized administrators can not endlessly attempt to authenticate. After some administrator-configured number of failures, that must not be zero, the user becomes unable from that point on in attempts to authenticate. This goes on until an authorized administrator makes authentication possible again for that user. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.SELPRO.

8.2.2.10 O.SINUSE

The TOE must prevent the reuse of authentication data for users attempting to authenticate at the TOE from a connected network.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FIA_ATD.1: This component exists to provide users with attributes to distinguish one user from another, for accountability purposes and to associate the role chosen in FMT_SMR.1 with a user. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.IDAUTH and O.SINUSE.
- FIA_UAU.1: This component ensures that users are authenticated at the TOE. The TOE is permitted to pass information before users are authenticated. Authentication must occur whether the user is a human user or not and whether or not the user is an authorized administrator. If the authorized administrator was not always required to authenticate, there would be no means by which to audit any of their actions. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objectives: O.IDAUTH and O.SINUSE.
- FIA_UAU.4: This component was chosen to ensure that some one-time authentication mechanism is used in all attempts to authenticate at the TOE from an internal or external network. This component traces back to and aids in meeting the following objective: O.SINUSE.

8.3 Security Assurance Requirements Rationale

The Security Assurance Requirements (SARs), which correspond to EAL2 augmented with ALF_FLR.2, in this ST have been adopted from the TFFWPP. They represent a superset of the SARs identified in the NDPP.

Note that the NDPP includes a number of 'Assurance Activities' which are in effect refinements of the underlying SARs. As such, those assurance activities have been reproduced in this ST since they need be addressed in the context of the evaluation.

8.4 Requirement Dependency Rationale

As can be seen in the following table all of the SFR and SAR dependencies are satisfied in this ST with the exception of the dependency of FMT_MSA.3 on FMT_MSA.1. As explained in the TFFWPP, FMT_MOF.1 addresses that dependency by restricting the ability to manage the information flow policies settings.

ST Requirement	CC Dependencies	ST Dependencies				
FAU_GEN.1	FPT_STM.1	FPT_STM.1				
FAU_GEN.2	FAU_GEN.1 and FIA_UID.1	FAU_GEN.1 and FIA_UID.2 and				
		FIA_UIA_EXT.1				
FAU_SAR.1	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.1				
FAU_SAR.3	FAU_SAR.1	FAU_SAR.1				
FAU_STG.1	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.1				
FAU_STG.4	FAU_STG.1	FAU_STG.1				
FAU_STG_EXT.1	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.1				
FAU_STG_EXT.3	FAU_STG_EXT.1	FAU_STG_EXT.1				
FCS_CKM.1	(FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1) and	FCS_COP.1(*) and FCS_CKM_EXT.4				
	FCS_CKM.4					
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	(FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or	FCS_CKM.1				
	FCS_CKM.1)					
FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1	(FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 or	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 and				
	FCS_SSH_EXT.1 or	FCS_SSH_EXT.1 and				
	FCS_TLS_EXT.1)	FCS_TLS_EXT.1				
FCS_COP.1(1)	(FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or	FCS_CKM.1 and FCS_CKM_EXT.4				
	FCS_CKM.1) and FCS_CKM.4					
FCS_COP.1(2)	(FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or	FCS_CKM.1 and FCS_CKM_EXT.4				
	FCS_CKM.1) and FCS_CKM.4					
FCS_COP.1(3)	(FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or	FCS_CKM.1 and FCS_CKM_EXT.4				
	FCS_CKM.1) and FCS_CKM.4					
FCS_COP.1(4)	(FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or	FCS_CKM.1 and FCS_CKM_EXT.4				
	FCS CKM.1) and FCS CKM.4					

ST Requirement	CC Dependencies	ST Dependencies				
FCS HTTPS EXT.1	FCS TLS EXT.1	FCS TLS EXT.1				
FCS IPSEC EXT.1	FCS_COP.1	FCS_COP.1(*)				
FCS RBG EXT.1	none	none				
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	FCS_COP.1	FCS COP.1(*)				
FCS TLS EXT.1	FCS_COP.1	FCS_COP.1(*)				
FDP_IFC.1	FDP_IFF.1	FDP_IFF.1				
FDP_IFF_1	FDP_IFC 1 and FMT_MSA 3	FDP_IFC 1 and FMT_MSA 3				
FDP RIP.2	none	none				
FIA AFL.1	FIA UAU.1	FIA UAU.1				
FIA ATD.1	none	none				
FIA PMG EXT.1	none	none				
FIA UAU.1	FIA UID.1	FIA UID.2				
FIA UAU.4	none	none				
FIA UAU.6	none	none				
FIA UAU.7	FIA UAU.1	FIA UIA EXT.1				
FIA UIA EXT.1	none	none				
FIA UID.2	none	none				
FMT MOF.1	FMT SMR.1 and FMT SMF.1	FMT SMR.1 and FMT SMF.1				
FMT MSA.3	FMT SMR.1 and FMT MSA.1	FMT SMR.1 and FMT MOF.1 (see				
		above)				
FMT MTD.1	FMT SMR.1 and FMT SMF.1	FMT SMR.1 and FMT SMF.1				
FMT SMF.1	none	none				
FMT SMR.1	FIA UID.1	FIA UID.2 and FIA UIA EXT.1				
FPT ITT.1(1)	none	none				
FPT_ITT.1(2)	none	none				
FPT_PTD.1 (1)	none	none				
FPT_PTD.1 (2)	none	none				
FPT_RPL.1	none	none				
FPT_STM.1	none	none				
FPT_TST_EXT.1	none	none				
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	none	none				
FRU_RSA.1	none	none				
FTA_SSL.3	none	none				
FTA_SSL_EXT.1	none	none				
FTA_TAB.1	none	none				
FTP_ITC.1(1)	none	none				
FTP_ITC.I(2)	none	none				
FTP_TRP.1(1)	none	none				
FIP_IKP.1(2)	ADV ESD 1 and ADV TDS 1	ADV ESD 2 and ADV TDS 1				
ADV_ARC.1	$ADV_{\Gamma}SP.1$ and $ADV_{I}DS.1$	$ADV_FSP.2$ and $ADV_IDS.1$				
$\frac{ADV_{\Gamma}SP.2}{ADV_{TDS}}$	$ADV_IDS.I$	ADV = IDS.I				
$\frac{ADV_1DS.1}{ACD_0DE_1}$	ADV_FSP 1	ADV_TSF.2				
AGD_OFE.1	none	none				
AGD_I KE.I	ALC CMS 1	ALC CMS 2				
ALC CMS 2	none	none				
ALC DEL 1	none	none				
ALC FLR 2	none	none				
ATE COV.1	ADV FSP.2 and ATE FUN 1	ADV FSP.2 and ATE FUN 1				
ATE FUN.1	ATE COV.1	ATE COV.1				
ATE IND.2	ADV FSP.2 and AGD OPE.1 and	ADV FSP.2 and AGD OPE.1 and				
	AGD PRE.1 and ATE COV.1 and	AGD PRE.1 and ATE COV.1 and				
	ATE_FUN.1	ATE_FUN.1				

Hewlett-Packard

ST Requirement	CC Dependencies	ST Dependencies				
AVA_VAN.2	ADV_ARC.1 and ADV_FSP.2 and	ADV_ARC.1 and ADV_FSP.2 and				
	ADV_TDS.1 and AGD_OPE.1 and	ADV_TDS.1 and AGD_OPE.1 and				
	AGD_PRE.1	AGD_PRE.1				

Table 10 Requirement Dependencies

8.5 TOE Summary Specification Rationale

Each subsection in Section 6, the TOE Summary Specification, describes a security function of the TOE. Each description is followed with rationale that indicates which requirements are satisfied by aspects of the corresponding security function. The set of security functions work together to satisfy all of the security functions and assurance requirements. Furthermore, all of the security functions are necessary in order for the TSF to provide the required security functionality.

This Section in conjunction with Section 6, the TOE Summary Specification, provides evidence that the security functions are suitable to meet the TOE security requirements. The collection of security functions work together to provide all of the security requirements. The security functions described in the TOE summary specification are all necessary for the required security functionality in the TSF. **Table 11 Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping** demonstrates the relationship between security requirements and security functions.

	Security audit	Cryptographic support	User data protection	Identification and authentication	Security management	Protection of the TSF	Resource utilisation	TOE access	Trusted path/channels
FAU_GEN.1	Х								
FAU_GEN.2	Х								
FAU_SAR.1	Х								
FAU_SAR.3	Х								
FAU_STG.1	Х								
FAU_STG.4	Х								
FAU_STG_EXT.1	Х								
FAU_STG_EXT.3	Х								
FCS_CKM.1		Х							
FCS_CKM_EXT.4		Х							
FCS_COMM_PROT_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_COP.1(1)		Х							
FCS_COP.1(2)		Х							
FCS_COP.1(3)		Х							
FCS_COP.1(4)		Х							
FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_RBG_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_SSH_EXT.1		Х							
FCS_TLS_EXT.1		Х							
FDP_IFC.1			Х						
FDP_IFF.1			Х						
FDP_RIP.2			Х						
FIA_AFL.1				Х					
	urity audit	ptographic support	data protection	tification and entication	rrity management	ection of the TSF	ource utilisation	l access	sted path/channels
----------------------	-------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------	--------------------
	secu	Cryl	Jser	den	secu	Prot	Reso	LOE	lrus
FIA ATD.1				X					
FIA_PMG_EXT.1				Х					
FIA_UAU.1				Х					
FIA_UAU.4				Х					
FIA_UAU.6				Х					
FIA_UAU.7				Х					
FIA_UIA_EXT.1				Х					
FIA_UID.2				Х					
FMT_MOF.1					Х				
FMT_MSA.3					Х				
FMT_MTD.1					Х				
FMT_SMF.1					Х				
FMT_SMR.1					Х				
FPT_ITT.1(1)						Х			
FPT_ITT.1(2)						Х			
FPT_PTD.1 (1)						Х			
FPT_PTD.1(2)						Х			
FPT_RPL.1						Х			
FPT_STM.1						Х			
FPT_TST_EXT.1						Х			
FPT_TUD_EXT.1						Х			
FRU_RSA.1							Х		
FTA_SSL.3								Х	
FTA_SSL_EXT.1								Х	
FTA_TAB.1								Х	
FTP_ITC.1(1)									Х
FTP_ITC.1(2)									Х
FTP_TRP.1(1)									Х
FTP_TRP.1(2)									Х

Table 11 Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping